1	IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA					
2	FOR THE COUNTY OF YAVAPAI					
3	1 3					
4	STATE OF ARIZONA,) C. Flick					
5	Plaintiff,					
6	vs.) Case No. V1300CR201080049					
7	JAMES ARTHUR RAY,)					
8	Defendant.)					
9						
10						
11						
12						
13						
14	REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS					
15	BEFORE THE HONORABLE WARREN R. DARROW					
16	TRIAL DAY FORTY-FOUR					
17	MAY 11, 2011					
18	Camp Verde, Arizona					
19						
20						
21						
22						
23	ORIGINAL REPORTED BY					
24	MINA G. HUNT AZ CR NO. 50619					
25	CA CSR NO. 8335					

			4	INDEX
		1	1 2	INDLA
	1 IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA			EXAMINATIONS PAGE
	2 FOR THE COUNTY OF YAVAPAI			WITNESS
	3		5	MATTHEW DICKSON
	4 STATE OF ARIZONA,))		Cross continued by Ms. Do 16 Redirect by Mr. Hughes 149
	5 Plaintiff,))	6	Redirect by Mr. Hughes 149 Further redirect by Mr. Hughes 268
	6 vs.) Case No V1300CR201080049	7	Recross by Ms. Do 269
	7 JAMES ARTHUR RAY,))	'	
	8 Defendant))	8	FRANK BARBARO
	9	,		Direct by Ms. Polk 274
	10		9	Cross by Mr. Li 314
	11		40	
	12		10	EXHIBITS ADMITTED
	13		11	with the first of
1		ANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS	''	Number Page
		ORABLE WARREN R DARROW	12	1017 150
		DAY FORTY-FOUR		1008 222
		AY 11, 2011	13	274, 276 295
	_	Verde, Arizona	44	
	19		14 15	
	20		16	
	21		17	
	22		18	
	23	REPORTED BY	19	
	24	MINA G. HUNT AZ CR NO. 50619	20	
	25	CA CSR NO. 8335	21	
			22 23	
			24	
			25	
	400F404WGFG 67 60WGF	2		4
7 1	APPEARANCES OF COUNSE	:L:	1	Proceedings had before the Honorable
2	2 For the Plaintiff:			WARREN R. DARROW, Judge, taken on Wednesday,
3	YAVAPAI COUNTY ATTO	RNEY'S OFFICE	2	
"	BY: SHEILA SULLIVAN	POLK, ATTORNEY	3	May 11, 2011, at Yavapai County Superior Court,
4	BY: BILL R. HUGHES, A	TTORNEY	4	Division Pro Tem B, 2840 North Commonwealth Drive,
5	255 East Gurley Prescott, Arizona 86301	1-3868	5	Camp Verde, Arizona, before Mina G. Hunt, Certified
			6	Reporter within and for the State of Arizona.
6			7	
7	For the Defendant:		8	
	THOMAS K. KELLY, PC	ATT 0 D 11 E 11		
8	BY: THOMAS K. KELLY, 425 East Gurley	AITORNEY	9	
9		1-0001	10	
			11	
10	MUNGER TOLLES & OLS BY: LUIS LI, ATTORNEY		12	
11	BY: TRUC DO, ATTORN	EY	13	
1	355 South Grand Avenu		14	
12	Thirty-fifth Floor Los Angeles, California	90071-1560		
13			15	
14	MUNGER TOLLES & OLS BY: MIRIAM L. SEIFTER		16	
'-	560 Mission Street	,	17	
15		94105-2907	18	
16			19	
17 18			20	
18			21	
20			22	
21 22			23	
23			24	
24			25	
25		Page	1 to 4 of	344
	86 sheets			

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

16

22

25

2

3

8 9

10

11

15

16

17

19

21

22

(Proceedings continued outside presence of jury.)

THE COURT: The record will show the presence of Mr. Ray, the attorneys -- all the attorneys are present. Yes.

And I -- I was just informed that the state wanted to raise a legal point.

Mr. Hughes.

MR. HUGHES: Thank you, Your Honor.

Yesterday afternoon during the 12 cross-examination there was a use of what appeared 13 to be a transcript from another witness's testimony 14 in this trial. The transcript is not an exhibit at 15 trial. Parts of it were read into the record. There are a number of problems with doing

17 that sort of a procedure. The state objects to the 18 defendant's use of a transcript from another 19 witness for several reasons. First of all, the 20 rules of evidence don't explicitly allow the use of 21 a transcript.

I know it's statements that are made in 23 court. But the jury has been informed there will 24 be no transcript available to them. And then to be reading from portions of a transcript, I think,

will allow the jury or cause them to wonder if indeed they will have a portion available.

Another issue that's created, Your Honor, is it puts the opposing side at a disadvantage. The defense has the transcript. They can choose to 6 read a particular statement that was made. But it ignores the fact that the same topics may have been 7 made on direct or on recross, as well as the point where the particular statement that's being read into the record.

For 106 purposes, if the state does not 12 have the entire transcript available to it, it's 13 very difficult to put a proper context of what the 14 witness may have said in direct or in cross or in redirect into context with the particular statement that may be read.

For those reasons, I think, the state 18 does object to the use of it. If a transcript of a witness's testimony is going to be used, I would ask that the entire transcript of that witness's testimony from the beginning of direct through the end of redirect be marked and admitted.

23 It would be appropriate to read obviously 24 from something that's in evidence. But it's 25 inappropriate to read from something that is out of

evidence and that counsel is purporting to tell the jury is a transcript.

THE COURT: Mr. Hughes, do you have some legal 3 4 authority?

MR. HUGHES: I don't. And that's just the 5 problem, Your Honor. There's no legal authority 6 7 that I could find on this particular issue.

I do know, again, there's -- there's no 8 authority to permit a person to read from a 9 document that's not in evidence and to read that to 10 the jury. And that's -- that's one of the 11 12 problems.

The other issue is the 106 issue as far 13 as not having the ability to put proper context on 14 15 that statement.

THE COURT: Thank you. 16

Ms. Do.

17

6

18 MS. DO: Well, Your Honor, I first note that the state does have access to transcripts. I know 19 there was an issue earlier on about the defense 20 having live notes, and the Court took steps to make 21 sure that the state had that available to it. And 22 it's not availed itself of that. 23

When I -- first of all, I read from the 24 transcript. It is testimony that's been received 25

in evidence in this case. I don't think that there's a hearsay issue there. And counsel cannot 2 provide authority to the Court. 3

Thirdly, that was done after counsel made 4 an objection that I misstated the testimony. So to 5 make sure that that wasn't the case, I referred to 6 the transcript. And prior to doing that I showed 7 it to counsel. Counsel didn't object at that time. 8

9 MR. HUGHES: And, Your Honor --

THE COURT: Thank you. 10

Mr. Hughes.

MR. HUGHES: I'm sorry.

The issue, again, is these topics are things that the witness was asked in general on 14 direct, on cross, and on redirect. And so to take 15 a particular statement that may be in conflict with 16 the other witness's testimony and other parts of 17 that witness's appearance in court does misstate 18 what the witness had to say to the jury. 19 But without the complete trial

transcript, the state is unable to provide or give 21 22 that context to the jury with the same sort of authority that a jury will give to something that purports to be a transcript. Because all the state 24 can say is, well, don't you remember maybe on

8

11

12

13

20

23

10

1

1 redirect the witness said this, as opposed to reading it verbatim from what purports to be a transcript. 3

With respect to the live note, the live 5 note is something that is available transitorily only during the time the witness is testifying. If 6 7 the parties want an actual printed transcript, it's my understanding that they need to purchase that. 9 And, again, it's something the state doesn't have 10 the resources to purchase transcript from every 11 particular witness in this case.

MS. DO: I would just add to that, Your Honor, 13 again, when it was done, there was no objection 14 from the state. I showed counsel as a courtesy 15 what I was referring to. I do not intend to do 16 that with this witness any further based upon the 17 remainder of my cross-examination.

THE COURT: Another issue that is just 19 unusual. It doesn't come up. It would seem to me that in relaying a statement from another witness to someone who's on the stand for a reaction would 22 be something that would be done.

And, Mr. Hughes, to force paraphrasing is 23 rather dangerous when you're -- you know --24 25 anytime, I suppose, when you're talking about

testimony. 1

4

12

18

20

21

2

8

9

16

17

18

20

We've had many objections about that misstates the testimony from days ago, weeks ago. 3 And the Court has to go to that instruction I have 4 given so many times in this case. It's up to the 5 jurors to assess the question. And if it's valid, 7 based on the evidence, does it have a proper premise?

It appears the problem may be taken care 10 of now because Ms. Do indicates there are not -and she doesn't have more of that. But in the 11 12 future, my -- I think they're appropriate 13 questions. Whether or not giving it the sanction 14 of a transcript, which in many instances, probably 15 not an official transcript yet. Because I think when the transcripts are produced so rapidly, some of them are still unsigned and they're not even official.

19 So I understand your point.

And whether it has to do with the phrasing of the question, Ms. Do, if it were to 21 come up with another witness or something about if 22 23 there were testimony to this effect, without putting on the sanction of it being a transcript 24 25 because it may well be an unofficial transcript at

that time but probably pretty accurate, then if the

question is formed in that phrase without bringing

in that extra authority of saying, this is the

transcript, maybe that's the way to approach it. 4

But it appears that's not going to be presented

again with this witness anyway.

Anything else, Mr. Hughes, from the 7

state? 8

9

MR. HUGHES: No, Your Honor.

10 THE COURT: Mr. Li.

MR. LI: Your Honor, just quickly. Just a 11 12 scheduling issue. All of us are -- you know -trying to figure out what -- when the end of trial 13 might be and -- and -- you know -- deal with our 14

families and all of those sorts of things. 15 And I don't know whether or not we are 16 going to stick with the June 10th -- I sure hope we 17

are planning to stick to that as a date for the end 18

19 of this trial.

And I heard the Court yesterday 20 suggest -- you know -- that the remaining state 21 witnesses would be -- that the Court would monitor 22

how much time and how much would be cumulative. 23

But I just want to get a feeling for what I can 24

25 tell the home front.

Also we have some scheduling issues

2 relating to our -- our expert, who has a prepaid

trip from June 4th through the 12th. You know --

we are going to work with the Court. But we 4

obviously just want to make sure we have some sense

of what -- what this trial schedule -- when it's 6

going to terminate. 7

THE COURT: I'm glad you brought that up. If 8 9 I'm going to impose time limits, they have to apply to both sides. And I indicated an issue that can 10 come up with putting a time limit on the defense is 11 what everybody knows, especially because it has to 12

be fair. So any time limits have to work for both 13

sides. And I have to keep that in mind if I'm 14

going to impose that. And there has to be a fair 15

16 limitation.

And Mr. Li, I'm just going to say, there 17

was a request for this hiatus. I was reluctant. 18

Mr. Hughes, I think, spoke to it directly from 19

that -- at that time. And there was not an 20

objection, but it was really a jury request, this 21 22 hiatus.

The examinations have been lengthy on 23 both sides. And I don't know exactly what to say. 24 I think the trial should be completed. I

Page 9 to 12 of 344

indicated -- I'm not going to puesome limitation 2 on the state's witnesses at this time. I want to see what happens when these witnesses from the 2009 4 event are called.

I do have to bring up to the jury the fact that somebody has made a request and expressed his or her concern, I'll say, about trial length. I need to let the jury know, that person know, that it's not just being ignored. I don't want that juror to be preoccupied with that.

11 But I said before I expected this trial 12 to be done within -- in the time limits. And the 13 state filed the pleading indicating that they did 14 not believe it was unrealistic. But since that time there has been a reduction of witnesses. 15

So, Mr. Hughes or Ms. Polk, if you could 17 address where you are with that and what Mr. Li has just raised.

18 MS. POLK: Your Honor, the state does have a 19 20 concern about the ability of all the parties to 21 complete within the time limits. As the Court knows from my statements yesterday, we have done a 22 significant reduction of our witness list. We've 23 24 withdrawn several witnesses. Although we do 25 reserve the right to change our opinion or call

them in rebuttal.

5

7

8

9

10

16

2

3

4

5

9

11

12

13

15

16

17

19

20

21

But in an attempt to move this case along, we have significantly reduced the number of witnesses we originally intended to call.

I believe, without including Dr. Dickson,

we've called 26 witnesses in 34 trial days, which 6 is not a trial schedule that I've ever encountered 7 before. My experience -- my anticipation was we'd 8 be able to move through two witnesses a day with the exception of experts and -- and we just have 10 not moved along at that pace.

We are doing our best. We are doing our best to narrow down the number of witnesses and conclude it, giving the defense the time that they 14 need. Mr. Li estimated five to six days yesterday. We are doing our best to make that happen.

I'm concerned, however, that if it can't happen, Your Honor, what happens with this jury and 18 our reading of the cases is that the Court should poll the jury to see if they're going to be available. That would be my request.

Without committing that you are going to 22 go beyond our last trial date, which I believe is 23 24 June 10th, I believe it would be prudent to at 25 least poll the jury to see who's available beyond

that date, and to have some kind of plan in place

if, for some reason, we can't finish within the

3 time.

4

15

21

We're going to do our best to do it. I think we have got ourselves -- we've narrowed 5

ourselves down to nine witnesses. And I'm not sure

7 how many trial days we have left.

MR. LI: Your Honor, it would be helpful in 8

this if we could know who the nine witnesses are. 9

Because there may be -- you know -- it may be 10

easier to figure out how many trial days are 11

required for the nine witnesses. 12

MS. POLK: And, Your Honor, I've already given 13 14 that to Mr. Li.

MR. LI: Not -- not quite.

THE COURT: Okay. Well, that's going to 16 happen. That's what I'm hearing. I've been in a 17 position of having to deal with these issues and 18 extend trials and work with jurors and have 19 repeated meetings and scheduling issues. 20

I have a number myself that arise by the end of June. So I -- I expect the trial to be 22 completed. That's what I'm going to say. At some 23 point soon after you both look at the witnesses and 24

25

give me a realistic gauging of time, if I have to,

14

I'll bring it up with the jurors and have them

express their -- I'll probably do that by having

them tell me what their plans are over the next two

weeks or something like that past the regular time.

I don't even want to think about that. I want to

think about the trial being completed on time.

MR. LI: On June 10th? 7

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. LI: Thank you, Your Honor. 9

THE COURT: Thank you. 10

(Recess.)

(Proceedings continued in the presence of 12

13 jury.)

8

11

14

15

18

19

22

24

THE COURT: The record will show the presence of the defendant, Mr. Ray; the attorneys, the jury.

The witness, Dr. Dickson, has returned to the 16

17 stand.

Ms. Do.

MS. DO: Thank you, Your Honor.

CROSS-EXAMINATION (Continued) 20

21 BY MS. DO:

Q. Good morning, Dr. Dickson.

Α. Good morning. 23

Let me pick up -- yesterday we spoke

about this mnemonic, SLUDGE. And then one other

- 1 doctor had an "M" in there. You recognize the
- 2 mnemonic; correct?
 - A. I do.
- **Q.** And this is a mnemonic that they teach in
 - medical school to help you remember the various
- 6 signs and symptoms that might show up when you have
- 7 a cholinergic toxidrome; correct?
 - A. Correct.
- **Q.** And we all know now that a cholinergic
- 10 toxidrome includes organophosphate exposure;
- 11 correct?

8

- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. There is another dumbbell -- I'm sorry.
- 14 Another mnemonic. And you agree with me that when
- 15 someone uses SLUDGE with an "M," the "M" stands for
- 16 miosis; correct?
- 17 A. I don't use that. A DUMBBELL is where
- 18 miosis is and what I learned.
- 19 Q. Okay.
- 20 A. I'm sure that works fine.
- 21 Q. All right. I don't want you to agree if
- 22 you don't know. But do you have any reason to
- 23 dispute that the "M" on the SLUDGEM is for miosis?
- 24 A. I do not.
- **Q.** Okay. You're also familiar with another
 - mnemonic, DUMBBELL; correct?
 - A. Correct.
- 3 Q. Okay. And this is another mnemonic that
- 4 helps you, and they teach it in medical school, to
- 5 remember the signs and symptoms, again, of a
- 6 cholinergic toxidrome; correct?
- 7 A. Correct.
- 8 Q. And, again, that includes organophosphate
- 9 exposure?

1

2

- 10 A. Correct.
- 11 Q. What does the "D" stand for?
- 12 A. Well, it's got a couple ones. It could
- 13 be diarrhea or it could be diaphoresis.
- 14 Q. And diaphoresis, we talked about
- 15 yesterday, is sweating; correct?
- 16 A. Correct.
- 17 Q. What does the "U" stand for?
- 18 A. Urination.
- 19 Q. And the "M"?
- 20 A. That's the one that I learned was for
- 21 miosis.
 - **Q.** And miosis is pinpoint pupils; correct?
 - 23 A. Correct.
 - **Q.** And the "B"?
- 25 A. Well, there's a couple "Bs." It can be

- bronchorrhea, which means -- we talked about
- 2 salivation. Your saliva can -- your mouth can
- 3 secrete saliva. But your bronchials can secrete
- 4 liquid as well.
- **Q.** Okay. So that bronchorrhea, broncho-
- 6 refers to the -- the bronchial mucosa; correct?
- 7 A. Right. The farther -- not all the way to
- 8 the tissue of your lungs but the airways between
- 9 your mouth. And then as it breaks down, it goes to
- 10 your trachea, and then it goes to bronchials. Kind
- 11 of like branches of a tree. It's still part of the
- 12 tree, not the leaves.
- 13 Q. Thank you. And when we say,
- 14 bronchorrhea, that means that there is an excessive
- 15 buildup of mucus; correct?
- 16 A. Yes. Of fluid.
- **17 Q.** Fluid?
- 18 A. Yeah.

21

1

18

- 19 Q. And that comes out through the trachea,
- 20 the mouth, as foaming or frothy sputum; correct?
 - A. No.
- Q. What does it come out as?
- 23 A. It looks a little like saliva.
- Q. You think it's saliva?
- 25 A. Correct.

.. .

- Q. Okay. Have you seen any literature that
- 2 says that it comes out as frothy sputum?
- 3 A. Well, pulmonary edema is frothy sputum.
- 4 And that can -- if you get excessive bronchorrhea,
- 5 salivation -- basically what happens with these
- 6 people is they drown in their own spit. It
- 7 sounds -- I'm sorry to be frank. But that's what
- 8 happens.
- 9 And that's the dangerous part of
- 10 organophosphate poisoning. There are a lot of
- 11 symptoms of them, but the one that -- and as we
- 12 talked about before, if you come to my ER and I'm
- 13 suspecting that, I'm looking for are you drowning
- 14 in your own spit. And that's where people do very
- 15 poorly with.
- 16 And that's the signs and symptoms that we
- 17 treat. That's where the drugs are used. The one
- 18 we use is atropine. And that just dries you out.
- 19 And that's the -- that's the problem with it.
- **Q.** Okay. It's atropine and 2-PAM that's
- 21 used; right?
- 22 A. Well, we can -- would you like to discuss 23 that?
- 24 Q. We can get to it.
- 25 A. Okay.

2 So, to be clear, it is your

understanding, it's your opinion, that when a

person is poisoned with organophosphates or some

cholinergic substance, they literally drown in

6 their spit?

1

10

13

18

23

24

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

25

7 Α. That is one of the most initial life-threatening causes. When you come to me, 8 9 that's what I'm looking for to reverse.

Now, there are a lot of other effects 11 which we're discussing, but that's the 12 life-threatening cause of organophosphate poisoning in the acute phase when somebody comes.

14 Okay. So I can understand it, either bronchorrhea, pulmonary edema results in excessive 15 16 fluid in either the lung cavities or in the 17 bronchial; correct?

A. Okay. Well, we're -- we're doing a different name calling here. The difference is --19 20 between pulmonary edema is more of a late-stage 21 finding. So initially you start to drown in your 22 own spit. You can't breathe because there's too much saliva in your lungs.

Now, when your lungs start getting worse and worse and worse and they start leaking fluid, 25

that means blood -- we talked a little bit earlier about the way that your lungs and your blood 2 systems exchange oxygen and carbon dioxide is right 3 4 down at the small level, there's a thin layer between lung and the arteries and the veins. And

5 that's where gas can go back and forth. 6

Now, when that membrane starts to break down, the blood and fluid from your system -- from your circulatory system leaks into your lungs and then that starts coming up. And that's where it gets pink because of -- there's some blood involved there.

The difference is in -- generally when you're salivating, your saliva isn't bloody, isn't 14 pink. Now, it can be if you've got a cut in your mouth. But that's the telltale sign, the difference, between pulmonary edema and excessive lacrimation or excessive bronchorrhea. That's saliva that's going down and clogging up your -your lungs.

21 So is it your opinion that when a person is poisoned by organophosphates or some other 23 cholinergic substance that they literally drown in 24 their spit?

> That is the most life-threatening cause Α.

of it. 1

3

5

8

14

18

21

22

Okay. We're going to return to that. 2 Q.

What does the other "B" stand?

4 Α. Bradycardia.

> Are you sure about that? Q.

Uh-huh. I'm sure there are other ones 6

7 too.

> What does "bradycardia" stand for? Q.

9 Bradycardia is slow heart rate. Α.

Tachycardia -- the normal heart rate for a human 10

being generally is between 60, that's on the low 11

side, and high side is a hundred. So if you're 12

bradycardic, you're generally below 60. 13

Slow heartbeat; correct?

15 A. Correct.

Can you also see fast heartbeat? Q. 16

17 Α. Yeah.

> Q. That's tachycardia?

That's tachycardia. 19 Α.

When would you see tachycardia? Q. 20

Well, that's when we need to go into --

that's not part of DUMBELLS. Do you want to do 22

that? 23

No, no, no. If you can tell the jury, 24 Q.

25 when would you see tachycardia?

A. Well, the organophosphates bind -- effect 1 in three ways. They bind two different receptors, 2

ones called a muscarinic, ones called a nicotinic. 3

And those have two separate effects. 4 The last one is it can cause central 5 nervous system signs and symptoms to the brain. So

the -- I hope I'm getting this right, because I 7

have to look it up every time. The muscarinic is

this and the nicotinic -- again, I look this up 9

every time. I don't propose to say that I memorize 10

this all the time. Every time we have this, we 11

12 look this up.

13

The nicotinic is a different receptor.

And that's going to cause sometimes the opposite 14

symptoms. It can cause you to be hypertensive, 15

which means your blood pressure goes up. It can 16 make your pupils get big instead of small. And it

17 can make your heart rate go up and make it 18

19 tachycardic.

20 So this is where it becomes challenging. You can say, which receptors are we looking at? 21

Okay. So you didn't -- slow heartbeat, 22

bradycardia, when it binds to the muscarinic 23

receptor; right? 24

I believe that's the right receptor. I

have to look it up to guaranteent for you.

Q. And you're going to see fast heart rate when the organophosphate compound binds to the nicotinic receptor?

A. Yes. There's two -- there's two different receptors.

7 Q. Got it. Can you tell the jury what a 8 receptor is.

Α. Great question. So what happens is on 10 the ends of these -- these nerves, there's a place where the messenger goes in and gives it's message. 12 So it's, basically, a receiving dock. Think of the 13 back of your local Walmart. You know, the 14 messenger is the big truck backing up in its

receiving bay at Walmart. And it's receiving in 15 the information or the product. So that's the 16

17 receptor.

2

5

6

9

11

18 Q. Okay. Receptors live on, basically, the 19 cell surface; correct?

20 Α. Correct.

21 Q. And it tells the cells what to do;

22 correct?

2

23 Α. Correct.

24 Q. Sometimes it tells it to divide?

A. 25 Sure.

26

1 Q. Sometimes it tells it to break down?

Α. Sure.

Okay. And the organophosphate compound 3 Q.

binds to one of those two receptors, and that's 4

5 what happens when you see these problems is that it

inhibits the receptors; correct? 6

7 Actually, that's not how it works. It's kind of complicated. What happens is that you have 8 a receptor. You have a compound --9

10 Can I draw a picture?

11 Q. If you need to, yeah.

12 A. It's a -- there's a chemical called

13 "acetylcholinesterase." Try not to fall asleep. I

14 know this is boring.

15 But you have acetylcholine, ACH. And 16 that's actually the messenger. Okay? Now, you're always putting -- if you have one cell here and one 17

cell here, and they're talking to each other --18

okay? -- with the acetylcholine. Okay? There is 19

20 this little receptor.

7 of 86 sheets

Now, there is acetylcholinesterase, ACHE. 21 22 And what that does is breaks down acetylcholine.

23 Okay? You've got some coming in. It sends its

24 message. And then it's got to be broken down,

25 otherwise it'll keep sending message, and you're

1 going to get more and more and more of this acetylcholine in there.

What organophosphates do is they bind 3 this acetylcholinesterase, which breaks down that. 4

So what happens is it sends out acetylcholine. It

send its message, and it's received. And instead

of going away, we have it broken down. It's still 7

there. And it sends out another ACH and another

9 ACH.

18

21

1

3

4

12

And the next thing you know, you just got 10 tons and tons and tons of this stuff around. And 11 so these receptors are just getting bombarded with 12 13 all this information.

14 So it's not that the -- it's not the organophosphates are actually sticking here, 15 they're just preventing the messenger from being 16 broken down. 17

Q. These are enzymes; right?

This is an enzyme. An enzyme is 19 something that does an action --20

> Q. Right.

Breaks it down. This is the messenger. 22 Α.

The enzyme breaks down the messenger. 23

Okay. And I think that's what I meant is 24

the organophosphate compound inhibits the enzyme, 25

which you told the jury, acetylcholinesterase,

2 ACHE: correct?

Q.

Α. Yes.

Which then results in an abundance of ACH; correct? So one of things that you'll see in 5

lab results of somebody who's been exposed to

organophosphates is excessive ACHE activity; 7

correct? 8

Well, that's the question. So if you 9 Α. have somebody that's got acetylcholinesterase 10 11 that's been bound, the theory is --

You want to go back to that.

So that's -- we talked a little bit about 13 this yesterday. Is there a test? So why don't we 14

know -- if this thing is bound and not working, 15

actually the activity would be not working; right? 16

So you think, well, let's see how much 17

acetylcholine is in here and how much activity is 18

there. So that would tell you, well, yes. The 19

acetylcholinesterase, the breakdown, isn't working. 20

And then you say, wow. This is or is not 21

organophosphate poisoning. 22

23 The problem with that test is if I test your level and your level and your level, they're 24 all different. You check kids' levels, they're

Page 25 to 28 of 344

1

5

6

9

11

13

16

21

24

25

30

different. There are medications that you take that can adjust these levels.

So the blood test, as we talked about 3 yesterday, flip of the coin. That's why it's not available in my hospital and in most hospitals. And the usefulness of it at the time -- again, we're talking about when somebody is dying and 7

sick. I'm not -- if someone is just salivating out 9 their mouth and they're drowning, I'm not going to

draw an acetylcholinesterase level and see what 10

happens before I treat. 11

This is an important thing we have to 13 treat right away. And that's when we do things like put them on a ventilator. We give them atropine, which is a medication that, basically, 15 reverses that.

Dr. Dickson, I think we understand that 17 Q. 18 when you are in the ER and you've got a person 19 coming in whose life may be threatened, your first 20 goal and priority is to treat; right?

A. Absolutely.

22 Q. And you're going to do that based upon

the objective manifestation of signs and symptoms. 23

You may not be able to access lab tests right away. 24

25 Correct?

12

14

16

21

2

4

5

6

7

8

18

21

A. Absolutely. 1

And I think Dr. Cutshall, who testified to this jury, explained that.

Is it your testimony to this jury that there is no reliable test to determine whether or not somebody has organophosphates in their system?

A. It's a 50/50 chance, so --

Q. Flip of the coin?

Yeah. So I wouldn't call that a reliable 9 10 test.

Q. Okay. We're going to get back to that. 11 12 Now, are you sure this is bradycardia?

In my mnemonic that's what I learned. 13 Α.

Q. What does the "E" stand for? 14

15 Α. Emesis.

Can you tell the jury what that is? Q. 16

Α. 17 Vomiting.

> Q. What does the "L" stand for?

19 Α. Lacrimation, which is tearing, crying.

Q. And the "S"? 20

> Α. Salivation.

Q. Okay. So this is "essentially" just 22

23 another mnemonic to remember the various signs and

symptoms you see in a cholinergic toxidrome; 24

25 correct?

2 Q. Now, I know we talked a lot about

yesterday how you use eMedicine to write your

report on heat illnesses. Correct?

Α. Yes. We did.

And when I met with you, I think it was Q.

two days ago, we talked a little bit about 7

organophosphates; correct?

Α. We did.

And you'd indicated to me that you had 10 also looked up eMedicine to review organophosphate

12 toxicity; correct?

> We -- yes. Absolutely. Α.

And that was a stack of documents that 14 Q.

you gave me on the 9th?

Yes. Α.

Q. And, as we talked about yesterday, these 17

were documents that appear to have been printed on 18

May 3rd; correct? 19

20 Α. Correct.

> Q. About eight days ago?

Α. Yes. 22

Q. Now, let me refer --23

May I have one moment, Your Honor?

THE COURT: Yes.

1 MS. DO: Thank you.

Q. I'm going to -- you would agree with me 2

that, for example, Wikipedia is not a very reliable

4 source; correct?

I would not use it as my medical 5

judgment. But I do look for information. One of 6

the reasons I do do that is because a lot of people 7

get information from that, and we have patients 8

that say they read things online. I want to know 9

what they're reading and to be able to verify what 10

they're reading and see if it's true or not true. 11

Understood. You are aware, are you not, 12

that, for example, they tell students at 13

universities and colleges not to rely on Wikipedia 14

because it's unreliable? 15

Again, exactly. But unfortunately a 16 large population uses it, and I've got to be able 17 18

to communicate with my patients.

Q. Okay. I understand. Putting that aside, 19

I'm talking about the research you conducted on or 20

about May 3rd, 2011, for which you provided me with 21

22 some documents.

Okay?

24 Α. Okay.

And that's a stack that the jury has seen

23

1

2

4

7

8

- that you gave to me two days ago when we met in
- 2 Mr. Hughes' office?

3

- A. Correct.
- And that included research that you had conducted about the various chemicals you talked
- 6 about on Wikipedia: correct? A. Yeah. Yes, I did. 7
- 8 Q. And also eMedicine; correct?
- 9 A. Yes. And the MSDS as well.
- 10 Q. All right. Now, you agreed with me
- yesterday when I showed you what was marked as 11
- Exhibit 1008 that this actually comes from a book
- called "Goldfrank's Toxicologic Emergencies"; 13
- 14 correct?
- 15 A. Correct.
- 16 Q. And by that title you understand that
- 17 this deals with exactly what we're talking about --
- poisons; right? 18
- 19 A. Toxicologic emergencies. Yeah.
- Q. Okay. Now, I'm going to refer you to 20
- page 1502 under the title, "Clinical 21
- Manifestations," acute toxicity, organic phosphorus 22
- 23 compounds. Correct?
- A. 24 Yes.
- Q. Organic phosphorus is another way to say 25
 - 34

- organophosphates; correct? 1
- 2 Α. Correct.
- Q. Do you see where it has DUMBELLS? 3
- A. Correct. 4
- Q. Okay. And let's review that. DUMBELLS, 5
- the "D" stands for? 6
- 7 A. Diarrhea or diaphoresis.
- Q. What does it say in the article? 8
- 9 A. This says, defecation.
- 10 Q. And, again, you would agree that this is
- a reliable source for doctors to refer to; correct? 11
- A. Well, it's a mnemonic. 12
- 13 Q. No, this, sir.
- Oh, yeah. Absolutely. 14 Α.
- Q. Okay. And so in this article, the "D" 15
- stands for what? 16
- In this article it stands for defecation. Α. 17
- Q. And the "U"? 18
- 19 Α. Urination.
- Okay. So we got that one right. 20
 - The "M"?
- Α. Miosis. 22
- Q. The "B"? 23
- Bronchospasm or bronchorrhea. 24 Α.
- 25 Q. Not bradycardia; right?

- That's what this one says. Α.
- Q. And the "E" is emesis, "L" is
- lacrimation, and the "S" is salivation; correct?
 - Α. Correct.
- Have you ever seen a mnemonic published 5 Q.
- with one of the "Bs" being bradycardia?
 - I'm sure I have.
 - Q. Okay. Let me refer back to -- well,
- maybe the jury will remember. 9
- 10 When Dr. Cutshall was here, we talked
- about something called the "killer bees." Have you 11
- ever heard of that? 12
- 13 Α. No.
- 14 Q. Never heard of killer bee?
- Nope. Well, killer bees --15
- 16 Q. Not those.
- A. -- not as in the sense of 17
- organophosphates poisoning. No. 18
- Q. All right. Now, is it your understanding 19
- that the reason why organophosphates is -- is so 20
- fatal, is so lethal, is because of the bronchorrhea
- and the bradycardia, which all has to do with our 22
- respiratory system? 23
- 24 A. Well, I thought you said it wasn't
- bradvcardia. 25
 - Q. I'm sorry. I said -- thank you for
- 2 correcting me.

1

5

16

- Bronchorrhea -- meaning what you have 3
- there. Bronchorrhea and bronchospasm?
 - Well, the biggest problem is you're
- actually getting too much fluid in your lungs. 6
- Now, when you get anything in your lungs, like 7
- people with asthma, if you have asthma, you get 8
- bronchospasm. Those tubes spasm down. 9
- If you have something in your lungs, like 10 you inhale pollen, you inhale dust, you inhale 11
- fluid, you can get spasm, which means your 12
- artery -- or your bronchials contract down. 13
- Q. Okay. Can you take a look at the
- 14
- authority that we're looking at, page 1502. 15
 - Α. Okay.
- 17 Q. And in the left-hand column, the
- second-to-last paragraph, the last two sentences, 18
- it reads, of these, miosis -- and "of these" 19
- meaning signs and symptoms -- miosis may be the 20
- most consistently encountered sign. Bronchorrhea 21
- can be so profuse that it mimics pulmonary edema. 22
- 23 Correct?
 - That's what it says. Α.
 - And bronchorrhea is excessive secretions

24

25

8

9

12

- 1 from the bronchial mucosa; corre
 - Α. Correct.
- 3 Q. Resulting in the production of frothy
- 4 sputum --

2

5

6

- A. Again, yes.
- **Q.** -- correct?
- 7 Now, on the right-hand column, do you see
- 8 in the second-to-last paragraph this authority
- 9 states, the most common pulmonary complications of
- these compounds are bronchorrhea and 10
- 11 bronchoconstriction --
- 12 A. Okav.
- 13 Q. -- correct?
- And it goes on to explain that people die 14
- from organophosphates because of the killer bees, 15
- 16 the depression of the respiratory system; correct?
- 17 Α. Correct.
- 18 Q. Means it makes you stop breathing;
- 19 correct?
- 20 Α. Correct.
- Q. It isn't that you drown in your spit 21
- 22 according to this authority; correct?
- 23 I don't think it says that. I think we
- 24 said actually the same thing. So when you're
- saying this says right here -- where did you just 25
- read from? 1
- 2 Second-to-last paragraph?
- Q. Yes. 3
- Α. Where did you just read from? 4
- The right-hand column, second-to-last 5 Q.
- 6 paragraph.

8

- 7 A. Okay.
 - Q. The most common pulmonary complications
- of these compounds are bronchorrhea and 9
- 10 bronchoconstriction.
- 11 A. Exactly. The most common pulmonary,
- lung, complications of these compounds are 12
- 13 bronchorrhea and bronchoconstriction.
- So you get the fluid into your lungs, and 14
- they constrict. You can't breathe. You're 15
- drowning in your own spit. I think they're saying 16
- 17 the same thing.
- 18 And I'm not saying that you can't lead to
- 19 pulmonary edema. And that's what this says here,
- what you just read to me. 20
- 21 Bronchorrhea can be so profuse that you
- get so much water into your lungs that it can mimic 22
- pulmonary edema. You get so much water in there, 23
- those -- those arteries where they bind up or they 24
- go right next to the lungs, they start to die and 25

- you leak. Anait gets so bad it can be pulmonary
- edema. I think we've said the same thing.
- I'm not sure. The jury would -- can 3 decide that. 4
 - Α. Okav.
- Let's make one thing clear. You're not 6 Q.
- saying water is coming in; right? 7
 - Α. I'm saying that --
 - Water is coming into the lungs. It's not
- coming in. It's that there's an excessive 10
- secretion from the bronchial mucosa; correct? 11
 - Α. That's coming in.
- 13 Q. Coming out?
- Well, no. It starts by coming in. You 14
- got to get it into the lung first to get all these 15
- problems. So you start salivating. And where 16
- this -- if it can come out this way, great. But if 17
- it's -- you're on your back, it goes down into your 18
- 19 lungs.

25

8

38

- It's the same thing with vomiting. It's 20
- called "aspiration" when the things that belong 21
- outside the lungs get up into the lungs. So 22
- saliva -- when you're sitting here -- we all 23
- salivate. It's not going into our lungs. Right? 24
 - Is it your opinion, Doctor, that

 - bronchorrhea and bronchospasm has to do with saliva
- 2 which is secreted in the mouth is going into the
- lungs and the bronchial -- is that what you're 3
- 4 saving?
- I'm saying the bronchus, same thing, 5 Α.
- secret fluid into your lungs. A bronchus is the 6
- tube. It's not the gas-exchanging part of the 7
 - lung.
- 9 If you look at -- think of a tree upside
- down. The trunk of the tree is your mouth, the 10
- trachea, where the air goes down. And then the air 11
- goes in the smaller and smaller branches. And 12
- those are the bronchus. They don't exchange gas. 13
- They don't -- they don't have blood vessels right 14
- next to them that are exchanging gas back and 15
- 16 forth.
- You get all the way down to the leaves. 17
- The leave are called "alveoli." And they're just 18
- these little, little, paper-thin, microscopic, 19
- little bubbles in there. And that's where the 20
- oxygen and carbon dioxide is exchanged. 21
- Now, if the branches of that upside down 22 23
 - tree -- if anywhere along that tree, whether it's
- coming from the top of the tree of the trunk or all 24
- the way down on the upside down tree in those 25

- 1 bronchials start secreting fluid, mat's
- 2 bronchorrhea. Then -- and that get into the
- alveoli. That's the leaves of the tree. That's 3
- where you start having problems.
 - Q. What is respiratory depression?
- 6 Α. Respiratory depression is when you're not
- 7 breathing fast enough. That can be called
- 8 "bradypnea," which means your respiratory rate is
- 9 slow. Normally if you're breathing 12, 16 times a
- minute, if you're a little more excited, you're 10
- 11 breathing 20 times a minute. But if I'm
- respiratory depression, you start breathing slower 12
- 13 and slower and slower.

- 14 All right. Dr. Dickson, let me ask you
- 15 this question, and then we're going to move on: Is
- 16 it true that paralysis of the respiratory muscles,
- 17 respiratory depression, in combination with the
- bronchorrhea and the bronchospasm and CNS 18
- 19 depression, is what leads to death in
- 20 organophosphate poisoning?
- 21 Α. Yes. Which --
- 22 Q. First of all, is that yes or no? Do you
- 23 agree with that?
- 24 Α. I'd have to expand upon that.
- 25 Q. Go ahead.

Α.

1

2

- Okay. So what we talked about and what
- she's -- she's nicely gone over is the different
- signs and symptoms of how the three areas we talked 3
- a little bit about where organophosphates or
- 5 cholinergic works.
- 6 One is the first receptor, which is the
- 7 muscarinic. And that's the ones that we can see
- the symptoms on. That's where they're doing all 8
- these things. While people are pooping, they're 9
- 10 drooling, they're vomiting, guess what? I can
- 11 watch all that happening.
- 12 Then you get to the nicotinic or the
- other effects. That's where it can get confusing 13
- because this says it slows the heart rate down. 14
- But on the other receptors it can make it go 15
- faster. It can make your pupils big instead of 16
- small. 17
- 18 And it can, in some cases, affect the
- 19 nerve to your diaphragm. Your diaphragm is thing
- that helps you -- the big muscle that makes you 20
- breathe. It can cause paralysis or paralyze that 21
- muscle. So if your diaphragm stops working, you're 22
- 23 not breathing anymore.
- The last of the third areas where it 24
- 25 works is on the brain. It can make you act goofy.

- It can make you have seizures. It can make you do 1
- things like that, have bizarre behavior. People
- can look like they're having a stroke or things 3
- 4 like that.
- 5 Q. Okay. So the last question on this
- subject is, it is your opinion that when people are 6
- poisoned by organophosphates. They die because 7
- they drown in their spit; correct? 8
 - That's the simplified version of it.
- Okay. Now, you would agree with me 10
- that -- I mean, you're the expert here called by 11
- the state. And in answering these questions, you 12
- want the jurors to get accurate information; 13
- 14 correct?

9

15

18

1

42

- Α. Yes.
- So you're not going to answer a question 16 Q.
- to which you don't know the answer; correct? 17
 - I will do my best to always reference
- anything that I don't know. And I do a lot of 19
- 20 that. I read a lot.
- Now, you would agree with me that these 21 Q.
- various signs and symptoms -- I think you testified 22
- 23 to these yesterday. These various signs and
- 24 symptoms don't always show up all together, meaning
- DUMBELLS, all of it; or SLUDGEM, all of it; right? 25
- 44
- You showed me a piece of paper that you
- thought said that. But it actually said, the onset 2
- of symptoms vary according to the mode of 3
- inhalation. That wasn't really saying that -- that 4
- means the onset of something, means how quickly 5
- you'll start to have the symptoms. 6
- So depending on how much you drink or how 7
- much you're exposed to, you'll have symptoms 8
- quicker. That's what you showed me yesterday. 9
- I think I showed you something else too. 10
- But let me ask you this, because I think you did 11 12 tell the jury yesterday -- you can correct us --
- correct yourself if you need to. You testified 13
- that based upon the rate -- I'm sorry -- the route 14
- of exposure, the dosage, and the specific 15
- compounds, some of these signs and symptoms may be 16
- more predominant than others. Do you -- do you 17
- 18 recall testifying to that first?
 - Α. It's possible. Yes.
 - Possible that you said that yesterday? Q.
 - If that's what it said in the book, then Α.
- show it to me. I'd love to see it. 22
- 23 Q. No, no, no, no. That's not my question,
- 24 Doctor.

19

20

21

25 Α. Oh. Okay.

1

2

6

12

18

22

25

1

2

9

12

24

25

Page 45 to 48 of 344

46

- We'll talk about what's m the book. Q.
- 2 A. Okay.

1

8

- 3 Q. Did you tell this jury yesterday that
- based upon the route of exposure, the dosage, and
- the specific compound involved, some of the signs
- and symptoms may show up more dominant than others?
- 7 It's definitely possible.
 - Q. Definitely possible you testified to that
- yesterday? 9
- 10 Α. Definitely possible that I testified to
- 11 that vesterday.
- 12 Okay. So let me ask you the question
- 13 again.
- 14 Α. Okay.
- 15 Q. Do you know whether or not any one of
- 16 these signs and symptoms may show up less or more
- based upon the route of exposure, the dosage, and 17
- 18 the specific compound?
- 19 So do I know based on if it's a compound
- 20 how it's going to present with -- whether it's
- going to be these symptoms or the other symptoms? 21
- 22 Do I know what they're going to do? No. I can't
- 23 tell you by if you name a gas, name an
- 24 organophosphate, and if it was inhaled versus if
- somebody drank a bunch, how they're going to 25
- present. I can't tell you that. 1
- 2 Q. I'm not asking you to explain to the jury
- which sign or symptom will show up or which will 3
- show up less or more. I'm just asking you a very 4
- 5 general principle --
 - Α. Okay.
- 7 -- which I asked you yesterday.
 - Do you know whether or not generally,
- based upon the route of exposure, meaning either 9
- inhale, ingestion, or absorption through the 10
- skin --11

6

8

- 12 Α. Okay.
- 13 -- plus the specific compounds involved,
- plus the dosage -- do you know generally whether or 14
- 15 not that will affect whether or not you see miosis
- and bronchorrhea more than you might see 16
- 17 salivation?
- 18 A. So -- no. I guess I wouldn't tell you if
- it happened what -- I don't know if I'm answer --19
- asking -- understanding your question correctly. 20
- Do I know what will happen with these? 21
- 22 Q. I'll try it again, Dr. Dickson.
- 23 Α. Please.
- 24 Q. I'm asking a very simple question about a
- 25 general principle.

- Q. Okay?
- The jury now knows that there are various 3
- signs and symptoms that you will see under this 4
- toxidrome; correct?
 - Α. Okay. Yes.
- 7 My question is, and I want you to help
- them understand, are you always going to see 8
- diarrhea, urination, miosis, bronchorrhea, 9
- bronchospasm, emesis, lacrimation, and salivation 10
- every time you have organophosphate poisoning? 11
 - Α. No.
- You won't because it depends on those 13 Q.
- three variable factors; right? It depends on the 14
- specific compound involved; correct? 15
- Yes. Different compounds are going to 16 Α.
- 17 have different effects.
 - You know that; correct?
- Α. Correct. 19
- 20 And it depends on the rate -- I'm
- sorry -- the route of exposure; correct? 21
 - Α. Correct.
- Whether it's through inhalation, 23 Q.

You know that?

- 24 ingestion, or through the skin --
 - Correct.

 - Q. -- correct?
- A. 3 Correct.
- Q. And it also depends on the dosage, how 4
- much? 5
- Right. You take more, you're going to 6 Α.
- 7 get sicker guicker.
- And you know that; correct? 8
 - Α. Correct.
- Do you remember me asking you those 10
- specific questions only two days ago? 11
 - I'm sure you did.
- Do you remember me asking you -- and this 13
- is from our interview on the 9th. What I'm asking, 14
- Dr. Dickson, is somebody who has OP poisoning may 15
- show excessive salivation but not necessarily
- 16 emesis, or sometimes they show emesis and not
- 17
- necessarily lacrimation? And your answer was, 18
- 19 correct.
- Do you remember that? 20
- It's definitely possible. 21 Α.
- 22 And then I asked you, and do you know if
- that depends on the route of exposure? 23
 - Do you remember your answer?
 - No.

MR. HUGHES: Objection, Your Honor. May we

2 have a copy of this?

3

6

7

THE COURT: Yes.

MS. DO: I'm sorry. I just have this one. I 4 5 don't have an extra copy.

THE WITNESS: Actually, I do. You asked if it would depend on how much, and I said, yeah. The more -- I think I said the more you take, the

9 faster the symptoms are going to happen. And you

10 said that was obvious.

11 BY MS. DO: Well, let me show you the 12 transcript that Mr. Hughes has now reviewed. I asked you, do you know if that depends on the route 13 14 of exposure?

15 And your answer was?

16 A. I don't know, it says.

17 Q. Well, you don't disagree with me that

18 that's what you said; right?

19 If that's what was recorded, that's what Α.

20 I said.

24

2

5

9

11

22

24

25

21 Q. So -- and do you know if that depends on

the route of exposure? Your answer?

23 Α. It says, I don't know.

> Q. Okay. Do you know if that depends on the

25 compound? And your answer?

A. It's, I don't know. 1

And my question, what about on the

dosage? Your answer? 3

4 A. I don't know.

Q. That was just two days ago that you

didn't know the answers to the same questions I'm

7 asking you today for which you do have an answer;

correct? 8

Α. Well, that's where it comes into what was the question you're asking. That's why I was kind 10 of confused. If you're asking me if I know what medication or what drug specifically and which ones 12

13 are going to show up.

14 Q. Do you feel that the questions I asked you about, if somebody has OP poisoning, may show 15

excessive salivation but not necessarily emesis, or 16

sometimes they show emesis and not necessarily 17

18 lacrimation -- was that vague do you?

19 A. Well, I'm learning through my experience

here to listen very carefully to the questions and 20

21 clarify them. So --

Q. So was it vague to you when I asked you

23 two days ago?

> Α. I think so. Yes.

Q. And was it vague to you when I asked you, and do you know if that's -- and you answered,

correct. It was vague to you two days ago when I

asked, and do you know if that depends on the route 3

4 of exposure?

5

6

15

18

19

22

1

2

6

14

15

50

If I know if depends -- well, now we've A. defined what you're asking.

7 My question is, two days ago when I asked you that question, do you know if -- meaning what 8 signs and symptoms show up -- if that depends on 9 the route of exposure? Was that vague to you two 10

days ago? 11

Well, yes. Because thinking that if it's 12 Α. 13 the route of exposure is going to give you which one of these, I can't answer you that. 14

Q. Okay. So you're not overreaching here.

16 You're not giving the jurors answers to which

you're not certain about; correct? 17

Correct. I mean, I can only give you what the literature gives you. That's what I know.

Q. Now, you told this jury that the test for 20 organophosphates is like a flip of a coin; correct? 21

That's what my readings have shown.

Well, Doctor, you told the jury that you 23

work in the ER and you've seen ten cases of 24

organophosphate exposure; correct? 25

Α. Yes.

And you told the jury -- and I think that Q.

it was inferred that your testimony is not just

based on literature reading. It's based on your 4

experience as a doctor; correct? 5

> Α. Yes.

So based on your experience as a doctor, 7

is it your testimony to this jury that the test for 8

organophosphates is like a flip of a coin? 9

10 I have no basis on experience because I've never run that test. My only basis is on that 11 reading. We don't have that test available. I 12 13 don't know.

> So you've never run any of these tests? Q.

Acetylcholinesterase activity test, no. Α.

I'm going to ask you about which tests 16 17 are available. But is it your testimony that

you've never run a test for organophosphates? 18

I've never run a blood test for 19 Α.

20 organophosphates.

Okay. Now, so when you told the jury 21 it's like a flip of a coin, what did you base that 22

23 on?

Based on the readings in eMedicine. 24 Α.

Okay. Do you have that article?

13 of 86 sheets

			53				
1	A.	I do.					
2	Q.	And does it indicate in there that it's a					
3	flip of a coin?						
4	A.	Should I read it to you?					
5	Q.	Sure.					
6	A.	Okay.					
7	Q.	And this, again, is eMedicine; correct?					
8	A.	Correct. You guys ready? Okay.					
9		Laboratory studies on organophospha	te				
10	toxicity	workup. Organophosphate toxicity is a					
11	clinical diagnosis. That's what I represented to						
12	you many, many times yesterday. It's based on						
13	seeing tl	ne patient.					
14		Confirmation of organophosphate pois	soning				
15	is based	on the $\ensuremath{\text{measurement}}$ of cholinesterase					
16	activity.	That's that enzyme that breaks it down	١.				
17		These results are not readily available	: .				
18	Although, red blood cell and plasma,						
19	pseudoc	holinesterase levels can be used can	both				
20	be used.	Red blood cell cholinesterase correlate	es				
21	better with CNS. Acetylcholinesterase activity is,						
22	therefor	e, a more useful marker of organophosp	hate				
23	poisonin	g.					
24		If possible, draw blood for the					
25	measure	ements of red blood cell and plasma					

And if this the apy working, the activity should increase and increase and increase. If the -- it's not working, the activity should say stay the same. Does that make sense? You fix the 4 5 problem. 6 So it says, red blood cell acetylcholinesterase represents the 7 acetylcholinesterase found on red blood cell membranes. 9 Doctor, if you don't mind, let me just 10 11 ask you this rather than reading through this 12 entire page. Anywhere on this page that you're reading 13 from, does it say that testing for organophosphates 14 under this standard is a flip of a coin? Does it 15 say that? 16 17 A. Nobody uses the term "flip of the coin" -18 19 Q. Does it say ---- in medical literature. 20 Α. Does it say in here that it's unreliable 21 to a degree of 50/50? Would you like me to read to them what it 23 A. 24 is? 25 Q. I'm asking you, does it say it's 56

54

2

8

9

11

13

16

1 cholinesterase activities levels prior to treatment
2 with 2-PAM. That's the antidote for it. Monitor
3 levels serially can be used to determine a response
4 to therapy.

So what that means a -

Q. I'm sorry. I think you read that wrong.

7 Monitoring serial levels; correct?

8 A. I'm sorry. Monitoring serial levels can 9 be used to determine a response to therapy.

10 So if you're having -- you're measuring

11 the activity --

5

18

19

20

21

22

23

12 Do you mind if I flip that back?

13 Q. Sure.

A. So what the test in theory does is this -- this enzyme that, basically -- you're producing the acetylcholine. You're breaking it down. You're producing. You're breaking it down.

The organophosphates, again, bind to that and prevent it from working. You get a buildup, a buildup, a buildup. And it makes sense. Let's see if this thing is working or not. If it's not working, well, then that probably means there's organophosphates.

What they say is, let's measure it now.Let's measure it tomorrow and then the day after.

1 unreliable to a degree of 50/50?

A. It does not.

3 Q. Okay. Rather than using eMedicine, why

4 don't we look at the medical textbooks, which have

5 been marked 1015. For example, this is the

6 Occupational Medicine dealing with human health

7 effects of pesticide; correct?

A. That's what it says.

Q. And I'm going to refer you -- excuse me.

10 Let me take that back.

We're going to look at the Goldfrank's

12 <u>Toxicologic Emergencies</u>.

A. When was Goldfrank's published?

14 Q. I don't have this edition. It's the

15 eighth edition. I can find it for you.

But let me ask you this.

17 A. Just so you know, this was updated on

18 March 16th, 2010, the one that I'm reading from.

Q. Understood. It's an eMedicine article;

20 correct?

21 A. Correct.

22 Q. Looking at this textbook, which looks

23 like the edition is 2006.

A. Okay.

Q. Under diagnostic testing, do you see the

24

1

7

first sentence? Can you read that for the jury?

Well, I'd like to read the whole thing if you'd like me to.

4 If you don't mind, let me ask the Q. 5 question, and then you can read the whole thing.

Okay. So you want me to read this?

7 Q. The first sentence.

> A. The most reliable and appropriate

9 laboratory test for confirming cholinesterase

10 inhibition by insecticide is a test that measures

specific insecticides and active metabolites in 11

12 biologic tissue.

1

2

3

6

8

13 Q. And then it goes on to say that such

testing is rarely attainable within few minutes or 14

15 an hour. Correct?

16 A. Unfortunately, urine -- although urine

and serum assays for organophosphorus compounds and 17

18 their metabolites are being investigated, such

19 testing is rarely --

20 So this is investigational? Is that what

21 it's saying.

22 Q. Rarely attainable.

23 Α. Such testing is rarely attainable within

24 a few minutes or hours.

Let me stop you there.

58

1 MR. HUGHES: Your Honor. Pursuant to 106, I think the next sentence is very important to the

3 context.

25

2

5

8

18

19

21

23

4 THE COURT: You may read the next sentence.

THE WITNESS: Moreover, normal ranges and

toxic levels are not established for most 6

7 compounds.

> BY MS. DO: Go ahead and continue Q.

9 reading.

10 Another useful research tool -- so we're A.

11 talking about research tools -- is the measurement

of acetylcholinesterase activity in neuronal 12

13 tissue. But this requires central nervous system

14 or neuronal tissue biopsy. So you have to take a

15 chuck of their brain to get that test.

16 Even this test is not very helpful unless

17 the baseline activity is noted.

So what that means is --

Q. Known.

20 A. What?

> Q. It says, known.

22 A. Is known. Sorry.

> Q. Just read the last paragraph, and

24 we'll -- we'll talk about it. The last sentence in

the paragraph.

Currently the only practical diagnostic

study for verifying cholinesterase inhibitory 2

poisoning is a measurement of cholinesterase

activity in readily accessible tissue, such as 4

plasma and erythrocytes, which are the red blood

cells. That's what we were just reading. 6

Okay. Now, after reading that -- and now

I'm going to give you -- I think you want to 8

9 provide an explanation. What I'm asking is when

you told this jury yesterday that it's unreliable 10

to a flip of a coin, that tells a layperson, who's 11

12 not an expert, that it's a 50/50 reliability test;

13 correct?

A. 14 Correct.

Q. Okay. You just pulled that number out of 15

16 the air -- right? -- because it's not in your

eMedicine article and it's not in the medical 17

18 textbooks; correct?

19 Α. Would you like me to read why I said

20 that?

21 Q. I'd like you to answer the question

22 first.

23

24

2

I can't answer that question. A.

Did that number come from -- the 50/50

flip of a coin -- did that come from a book or an

1 article?

> A. That specific quote was my representation

3 of what it says right here.

So you interpreted what you have in your 4

eMedicine article to mean 50/50; is that right? 5

It's a -- as you said, a spirit of the 6

7 reliability of the test.

I don't remember using the word "spirit."

9 I --

8

10 A. Maybe I used it.

11 I'm asking you, Doctor --Q.

12 Α. Yeah.

13 -- is it your interpretation of the Q.

eMedicine article that this test for cholinesterase 14

activity is 50/50? 15

16 A. My interpretation is this is that it's

17 not useful.

18

21

Page 57 to 60 of 344

Q. Which you then extrapolated to 50/50?

19 A. Correct.

Okay. I just want the jurors to 20 Q.

understand. Because when you quote numbers or

statistics, it gives the impression that it comes 22

23 from a reliable source. If it's your

interpretation, then I'd ask you to explain that. 24

25 Okay?

15 of 86 sheets

- 1 Α. I'd love to explain it it you'd give me 2 the opportunity.
 - Q. Go ahead.

6

12

14

15

16

17

18

8

9

10

11

- 4 Α. Can I read this to you now? Is that 5 okav?
 - Q. Sure.
- 7 Α. Okay. Cholinesterase levels, so that 8 level, do not always correlate with clinical 9 illness. So that level when we test doesn't mean 10 that if it's low, they're sick, high, they're not 11 sick. It doesn't correlate. Okay?

The level of cholinesterase activity --13 so how much that thing is working -- is relative and is based on population estimates. So estimate this group will have so much activity. This group will have a different activity.

Neonates, that's newborn and infants, have a baseline level that are lower than adults.

19 Because most people do not know their 20 baseline levels, the diagnosis can't be confirmed 21 by observing a progressive increase in 22 cholinesterase value until their values have 23 plateaued over time.

24 So what that means is that's when you have somebody and you are going to use this test, 25

diet or are sick in the first place; neoplasm, 1

cancer; hypersensitivity reactions, if you're

allergic. If you have an allergic reaction, it can 3

- 4 affect it.
- 5 Certain drugs can cause it.
- 6 Succinylcholine. That's the drug that they
- sometimes use to put people to sleep when they put 7
- them on the ventilator. Codeine. It's a pain 8
- medicine. Morphine. Pregnancy can cause falsely 9
- depressed levels. And genetic deficiency, so 10
- 11 problems in genetics.

12 So -- and then they talked about other 13 laboratory findings. But this is the -- the reason where I came up with the 50/50 is there's a lot of 14 things that can mess up this test. 15

So as your physician, do you want me to 16 hang my hat on the diagnosis based on this test? 17 That's the question. I'm not hanging my hat on my 18 mom or my dad or my children's therapy based on 19 20 this test. So it's not a test I would use.

Thank you, Dr. Dickson. I understand 21 Q. 22 that.

23 Again, when you're in the emergency room, you need to treat the patient right away. And 24

sometimes these tests are not available within 25

62

2

3

6

9

19

20

21

23

24

- you don't know when you first do it -- a single 1 point isn't going to help you because you don't
- 3 know what their baseline is.

4 But is it getting better and better and 5 better? Well, then you can say, hey. We're 6 heading in the right direction. If it's staying

7 the same or getting worse, then we're probably not

heading in the right direction. Falsely depressed levels of red blood cell cholinesterase can be found in -- so these are the falsely depressed levels. Because when we're

12 thinking someone has had organophosphate poisoning,

13 their level is going to be low. But they can be

- 14 low in people with pernicious anemia,
- hemoglobinopathies, use of antimalarial drugs, and 15
- oxalate blood tubes. So these are other things 16
- 17 that can cause the level to be low.

18 Falsely depressed levels of plasma 19 cholinesterase activity -- so we're comparing this 20 activity of this enzyme in red blood cells versus 21 in just the blood itself, the plasma.

22 Falsely depressed levels of plasma 23 cholinesterase are observed in liver dysfunction,

24 so people who have liver problems; low protein

25 conditions, people that don't have maybe the best

minutes or hours; correct? 1

> Α. If at all.

If at all. Understand.

4 And you're telling the jury you've never

5 run the test yourself; correct?

> A. Correct.

7 Do you know whether other doctors have Q.

run this test? 8

> Α. Obviously somebody has.

Correct? Right? Because it's there? 10 Q.

11 Α. Yeah.

Now, Dr. Cutshall testified that if he 12 Q.

had an inkling of -- well, let me take that back. 13

14 If Dr. Mosley testified that if he had an idea or evidence presented to him that possible 15 organophosphate poisoning was involved, that he 16 would have asked for fresh blood samples not only 17

18 from Liz Neuman but all the participants.

Okay?

Α. Okav.

> Q. And that would be because he wants to

22 test the samples; correct?

> Α. Okay.

> > Q. Is that right? Would you agree with

25 that?

1

7

A. I assume that's why ne wants them.

Q. All right. And do you know whether ornot medical examiners, when they have evidence

presented to them of organophosphate poisoning,

will ask for fresh samples to be preserved

specifically to test for cholinesterase activity?

A. I do not know if that's the case.

Q. And that's because your not a forensic

9 pathologist; correct?

A. I'm not.

Q. You're not somebody who is trained and

12 educated specifically involved in a case to

13 determine the cause of death in a criminal matter;

14 correct?

1

7

8

10

11

15

18

A. Say that again?

Q. Well, you're not a forensic pathologist;

17 correct?

A. No, I'm not.

19 Q. Which -- which means it's usually in the

20 province -- it actually is always in the province

21 of medical examiners, such as Dr. Mosley or

22 Dr. Lyon, to determine cause of death; correct?

23 A. Well, I think they take into account more

24 than just that. They look into the medical

25 record --

66

1 Q. That's not my question.

2 A. Oh. I'm sorry.

3 Q. The question is, it's in the province of

4 medical examiner under Arizona law specifically;

5 right?

6

9

15

18

A. I don't know if that's the law or not.

Q. Okay. Now, are you telling the jury that

8 this is the only test for organophosphates?

A. Well, according to --

10 Q. I'd like you to try and answer without

11 reading first. Do you know whether or not there

12 are any other tests?

13 A. I read for all these things. And that's

14 my only way of knowing.

Q. Okay.

16 A. I would have to read to look if there are

17 other tests.

Q. Well, let's try this first. You've done

19 ten cases of organophosphates; correct?

20 A. Yes.

21 Q. And so I assume that before treating

22 patients for organophosphate poisoning, you have a

23 wealth of information and knowledge from medical

24 school; correct?

25 A. Yes.

Q. About -- right?

2 A. Well, it's a good question. So when we

talk about organophosphates, it's not something

4 that everybody sees a lot of. And it's something

5 that I look this thing up whenever I suspect it.

6 I've got to read it again and again and again.

We have a program that -- I do it

8 selfishly to keep me learning -- is we have a tox

9 medic program in our community. We treat -- have

10 special training for our medics to deal with

11 toxicologic emergencies. And every year we sit

12 down, go over all the toxidromes to remind us

13 because it's something difficult to remember every

14 single time. If you don't see it every day, you're

15 not always going to remember all the specifics

16 about it.

21

5

6

11

15

17 Q. Do you believe that's true for all

18 doctors, that in order to remember the various

19 disorders and signs and symptoms, you always have

20 to go back to the literature and read from it?

A. I always try to read as much as possible.

22 And that's part of our board certification. We're

23 required to do -- they actually have these

24 articles. It's called the "lifelong learning and

25 self-assessment." Every year they come out with

1 10, 15 articles that we are required to read to

2 maintain our board certification. And then we're

3 tested on it. So continued learning is an integral

4 part of being an up-to-date doc.

Q. I understand what you're saying.

A. Okay.

Q. But from the witness stand the last two

8 days, when you're asked questions about heat

9 illness or organophosphates, you've had to rely, on

10 the witness stand, on your literature; correct?

A. Yes. Absolutely.

12 Q. You've had to go back and read -- and

13 literally you did just read from that article to

14 the jury; correct?

A. Absolutely.

16 Q. Okay. And what I'm asking you, for

17 example, Dr. Cutshall, when he testified to the

18 jury, didn't do that. Do all doctors do what you

19 do?

20 A. I can't tell you. I would recommend that 21 they do because I definitely don't want to get

22 misquoted. And my information comes from the

23 literature. It's not -- we talked about this

24 yesterday.

25 I try to make all the things I do
Page 65 to 68 of 344

17 of 86 sheets

5

8

16

18

25

1

6

- evidence based. If you look at medicine through
- the years, there's a new process called
- "evidence-based medicine." When you saw your
- doctor a hundred years ago or 50 years ago, they
- based it on what their evidence was, and maybe they
- 6 only had 20 patients or 30 patients. But now
- there's great research out there where they can 7
- look at a thousand, a hundred thousand, a million 8
- 9 patients.
- 10 One of the examples are -- you know --
- they used to give hormone replacement therapy to 11
- all women. They all got hormone replacement 12
- 13 therapy for years and years and years. And they
- 14 started doing studies --
- 15 Q. I'm sorry. Let me just stop you there
- because we're going off into an area that might not 16
- 17 be relevant to the jury.
- 18 What I'm asking you -- and then we're
- 19 going to move on. Okay?
- 20 It's clear from what the jury can see is
- 21 that anytime you are asked a question -- or most of
- 22 the times when you were asked a question about
- organophosphates or even heat illnesses, you have 23
- 24 had to rely from the witness stand on what you have
- 25 in front of you; correct?

- 70
- I probably rely on organophosphates more 1
- 2 because I see this -- reading from it more because
- I see it less frequently. The heat illness I see a
- lot more frequently, and I teach on it more
- 5 frequently. So I rely less to on having to read
- 6 it.
- 7 Q. Could it be that you're relying on it
- 8 because it simply is not an area of expertise for
- you? 9
- 10 A. No.
- 11 Q. All right. Now, my question -- and
- 12 without reading, Dr. Dickson -- is we've talked
- 13 about the blood testing for cholinesterase
- 14 activity; correct?
- 15 A. Correct.
- 16 Do you know of any other tests where you
- can determine whether or not someone has been 17
- 18 exposed to organophosphates? Is that yes or no?
- 19 Do I know of any other tests that
- 20 specifically designed -- well, the one we just read
- 21 from your paper talked about there's actually ones
- in research for specific ones --22
- 23 Again, something you read from what I
- 24 just showed you. I'm asking from your own personal
- 25 knowledge, your independent knowledge, do you know

- 1 of any other tests for organophosphate exposure?
- 2 All my knowledge comes from work or
- experience or reading. So it's kind of an 3
- interesting question. 4
 - Q. I understand that, Doctor. And you're
- coming in with 11 years of experience? 6
- 7 Α. Correct.
 - Based upon those 11 years of experience, Q.
- I'm asking you, do you know whether or not there is 9
- another test for organophosphates? 10
- The two tests I know of are the ones that 11
- we've discussed here and discussed there. 12
- 13 Let me show you an exhibit. It's been
- marked as 1001. Do you see an email here dated 14
- 15 March 3rd, 2011?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. And do you see Dr. Fischione? 17
 - Uh-huh. Α.
- 19 Q. Do you know who he is?
- 20 Α. I don't.
- 21 Q. Dr. Lyon. You know who he is; right?
- 22 Α. Uh-huh.
- 23 Q. And Dr. Mosley?
- 24 A. Uh-huh.
 - Q. You know who he is?
 - Correct.
- 2 Q. And Dr. Dickson, that's you.
- 3 Α. Yes.

A.

- Q. And the email is dated importance is 4
- 5 high; correct?
 - Α. Correct.
- 7 And attached to the email is a letter
- dated March 2nd, 2011; correct? 8
- 9 Α. Correct.
- Q. Hand delivered to Luis Li? 10
- 11 Α. Correct.
- And you've met Mr. Li? He's sitting over 12 Q.
- 13 there.
- 14 Α. Yep.
- 15 Q. And this was signed by Mr. Hughes, the
- prosecutor; correct? 16
- 17 A. Correct.
 - Q. And this informed you -- you got this
- letter along with the other medical examiners --19
- the medical examiners on March 2nd informing you 20
- 21 that the state had conducted a test for
- organophosphates; correct? 22
- 23 Α. Correct.
- 24 And it says here, the state wants to
- apprise you of information we recently learned 25

- regarding the recent testing of brood samples for
- 2 organophosphates. The state has been informed by a
- 3 lab employee, Mr. -- or Dr. Bloom, that the
- organophosphate test results may not be significant
- 5 due to the passage of time between when the blood
- was drawn and the time Dr. Bloom's lab tested the 7 samples.
- 8 Bloom also indicated that the results of 9 the test could be affected by the way the blood
- samples were stored, either frozen or refrigerated. 10

Correct?

- 12 A. Correct.
- Q. So you were told by the state that there 13
- 14 was a test for organophosphates that was done in
- this case; correct? 15
 - A. Yes, I was.
- 17 Q. And based upon receiving that
- information, you do know that there is another way 18
- 19 to test for the exposure of organophosphates;
- 20 correct?

11

16

- A. I don't -- doesn't say anything here 21
- 22 about what the test was. It just says that it's
- 23 not a reliable test.
- Q. I understand that. The state also 24
- 25 forwarded you a copy of the results themselves;
- right? 1
- A. 2 Correct.
- 3 Q. And you know that that was a test that
- was done by a forensic lab in Indiana -- I'm sorry.
- Indiana then to West Virginia, called "NMS Labs"; 5
- 6 correct?
- 7 A. I don't know that. I'll take your word
- for it. 8
- Q. Okay. So in addition to what can be done 9
- in the emergency room, there is also another test 10
- that is done by medical examiners looking for the 11
- cause of death in a forensic setting; right?
- 12
- 13 Α. Yes.
- Q. Now, when you told the jury generally 14
- that the test for organophosphates is a flip of a 15
- coin, did you mean to also tell the jury that 16
- testing organophosphate compounds in a forensic 17
- setting -- in a forensic setting is a flip of a 18
- 19 coin?
- A. I have no idea what the forensic setting 20 of organophosphate tests are. 21
- Q. Okay. And -- so that's beyond your 22
- 23 expertise and knowledge; right?
- 24 Α.
- Q. So if there was evidence presented to the 25

- doctors or to the medical examiner on the night of
- the 8th or even on the 9th and they had retained
- fresh blood samples and submitted that to a
- forensic lab for testing of organic compounds, that 4
- is a reliable test, to your knowledge; correct?
- A. So you're saying that there -- I don't 6 know anything about the test, so I can't say it's a reliable test.
- Okay. So you don't know one way or the 9 Q. 10 other?
- Α. Well, according to this, it says it's not 11 reliable. 12
- 13 Q. Due to the passage of time?
- Α. Correct. 14
- And that was tested some 17 months later; Q. 15
- right? 16

17

74

- Α. Correct.
- So my question -- and I'm sorry if you Q. 18
- didn't hear. My question is this: If the medical 19
- examiners and the doctors had been presented with 20
- evidence that organophosphates may be an issue, 21
- they would have taken the fresh samples and 22
- submitted it in a forensic testing -- forensic 23
- setting -- I'm sorry -- to test for organic 24
- compounds, do you know whether or not that can be 25
 - 76

1 done?

2

5

- A. Well, you're telling me yes.
- Q. Okay. And do you know whether or not 3
- that test is reliable? 4
 - A. I do not know.
- Q. Okay. Now, you talked about yesterday 6 whether or not the -- you talked about the symptoms 7
- of organophosphates being -- and I think that your 8
- words were minimal. Do you remember that? 9
- The symptoms of organophosphate cases 10
- have been minimal because the stuff you buy at Home 11
- Depot don't have high concentration of 12
- 13 organophosphates.
- Do you remember saying that? 14
- A. Yes. 15
- Q. How do you know that? 16
- Well, actually, it's in this article. 17 Α.
- Q. In which article? 18
 - The eMedicine article.
- Okay. Let's put the eMedicine aside. Q. 20
- How do you know that? 21
 - Because I read the eMedicine article. Α.
- Just the one you printed up about eight 23 Q.
- 24 days ago?
- 25 Correct.

19

2

5

7

8

9

Α.

Q.

Α.

Q.

be toxic; right?

A.

Q.

2-ethyl-1-hexonal?

Correct.

Correct.

in the manufacturing of pesticides; right? 11 I thought it was used in -- that's --12 that's what you said. I'd have to read what you 13 14 said again. 15 Q. Well, the jury has it. It's an exhibit 16 now. 17 Α. Okay. Do you remember that, though? 18 Q. I remember discussing it. Yes. 19 Okay. But you didn't mention to the jury 20 Q. any LDs for organophosphates; right? 21 I have to look them up. Don't know them. 22 You don't know them; correct? 23 Q. 24 A. No. And I've gone through that stack that you 25 Q. 80

You told them about the lethal dose of

Which we all now understand is an

But you also know from the EPA that it is

inactive ingredient, so no one would expect that to

a possible marker for pesticides because it's used

Α. Yes.

Q. Have you ever seen Ortho ant killer?

A. 3 Yes.

2

8

9

12

15

23

4 Q. Do you know what's in Ortho ant killer?

I don't off the top of my head. 5 A.

Do you know if it contains 6 Q.

7 organophosphates?

> Α. I don't know off the top of my head.

Q. Do you know if acetate is an

10 organophosphate?

11 Α. I don't know off the top of my head.

Do you know whether or not Ortho ant

killer -- and ants are a problem in Arizona; right? 13

14 Fire ants?

> Α. Well, got lots of them in my backyard.

16 Yes, I do.

17 Q. Ortho ant killer. Do you know whether or

not it contains acetate, which is an 18

organophosphate, up to 50 percent of the product is 19

20 organophosphate?

21 A. I couldn't tell you what was in -- what 22 chemical was in any chemical in Home Depot.

Okay. And you talked to the jury a lot

yesterday about lethal dose, LD. Do you remember 24

25 that?

provided me and I didn't see it in there. Right? 1

> I don't know them. A.

But you don't know what the lethal dose 3 Q.

is for organophosphates? 4

Have to look it up.

Right. And so when you told the jurors 6

7 that what you've seen in organophosphate cases is

minimal, that doesn't mean that there aren't cases

where it has been severe enough that people have 9

died? 10

2

5

11

12

No. It definitely doesn't mean that.

Okay. Now, some of the other questions

that Mr. Hughes has asked of other witnesses, I 13

think, who have or have not treated 14

organophosphates, suggest that death may not occur 15

frequently. Do you know whether or not mass 16

poisoning still occur today with organophosphates? 17

From my readings, the problems where you 18 see it mostly are in third-world countries where

19

these higher concentrations of the organophosphates 20

are present. And they're available through Central 21

America -- talks about it in this article. That's 22

where you see the bigger cases. 23

And the other kind of place we see it is 24

in these terrorist attacks. And that's where --25

3

5

8

9

11

19

1 the potential is there.

- 2 Q. And when you say "the article," you're
- 3 referring again to eMedicine; right?
 - A. Yes.

4

11

- Q. Well, in that eMedicine article,
- 6 Dr. Dickson, didn't it state that in the
- 7 United States, the American Association of Poison
- 8 Control Centers receive 96,307 calls related to
- 9 pesticide exposure, many of which involved
- 10 organophosphate agents and 80 uses of 2-PAM?
 - A. Yes. That's what it says.
- 12 Q. All right. And in that article that I
- 13 gave you, the Goldfrank article, if you would look
- 14 at page 1498. Take a look at this paragraph
- 15 starting with, during the five year.
- 16 During the five-year period of 1998 to
- 17 2002, the American Association of Poison Control
- 18 Centers recorded more than 55,000 exposures to
- 19 organic phosphorus compounds and more than 25,000
- 20 exposures to carbonates. The number of fatalities
- 21 averaged about eight per year. These insecticides
- 22 still rank as the most frequent lethal insecticides
- 23 in use in the United States and among the most
- 24 lethal poisonings.

2

5

12

25 Correct?

82

- 1 A. That's what it says.
 - Q. And then it goes on to say that direct
- 3 dermal contact with certain types of these
- 4 insecticides may be rapidly poisonous; correct?
 - A. Lost you there. But yes.
- 6 Q. So while you may not have seen in your 11
- 7 years, you're not telling the jury that it doesn't
- 8 occur; correct?
- 9 A. No, I'm not.
- 10 Q. Because, in fact, it does; correct?
- 11 A. Correct.
 - Q. Now, you had also told the jury yesterday
- 13 that the signs and symptoms of organophosphates are
- 14 very similar to the signs and symptoms of heat
- 15 stroke.
- 16 Do you remember that?
- 17 A. There can be overlap in their
- 18 presentation.
- **19 Q.** I'm sorry?
- 20 A. There can be overlap in their
- 21 presentation.
- 22 Q. All right. And we went through this
- 23 chart with Dr. Cutshall, so I'm going to ask you
- 24 the same questions.
- 25 You would agree that there are

- 1 overlapping signs and symptoms; right?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. And some of the overlapping symptoms
- 4 start with -- and let me back up a little bit.
 - We've heard from many witnesses, and I
- 6 think you testified to yesterday, that heat
- 7 illnesses exist on a continuum. Right?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. And on the mild end you have heat
- 10 exhaustion; right?
 - A. Correct.
- 12 Q. And heat exhaustion is caused by volume
- 13 depletion and dehydration; correct?
- 14 A. Heat exhaustion is not necessarily caused
- 15 by volume depletion and dehydration. Heat
- 16 exhaustion is made worse by volume depletion and
- 17 dehydration. And it can cause volume depletion and
- 18 dehydration.
 - Q. So if Dr. Cutshall, the ICU doctor who
- 20 treated Neuman, testified that heat exhaustion is
- 21 caused by volume depletion and dehydration, you
- 22 would disagree with him?
- 23 A. They can happen together. But they don't
- 24 have -- it makes sense. If it's really hot, you
- 25 sweat. But -- you know -- you can be well
- 84
- 1 hydrated. We talked about the cases of people in
- 2 Yuma who drink tons and tons of water. They're
- 3 well hydrated. But it's 120 outside or 115.
- 4 They're getting heat exhaustion even though they're
- 5 well hydrated. So they're not mutually exclusive.
- 6 Q. My question is, if Dr. Cutshall testified
- 7 that heat exhaustion is caused by -- not that you
- 8 can -- but it's caused by volume depletion and
- 9 dehydration, do you agree or disagree with that?
- 10 A. I would have to disagree.
- 11 Q. All right. Now, under heat exhaustion
- 12 you can see fatigue and weakness; correct?
- 13 A. Correct.
- 14 Q. You can also see that under
- 15 organophosphate poisoning?
 - A. Correct.
- 17 Q. You can see malaise?
- 18 A. Correct.
- 19 Q. And malaise is?
- 20 A. Just feeling weak, like you've got the
- 21 flu, virus. You just don't feel good.
- 22 Q. And you can see that under
- 23 organophosphate poisoning --
- 24 A. Correct.
- 25 Q. -- right?

- 1 Nausea, vomiting, and abdominal cramps.
- 2 You see that in heat exhaustion?
- 3 A. Correct.
- 4 Q. Also under organophosphate poisoning?
- A. Correct.
- Q. Vertigo or dizziness?
- 7 A. Correct.
- **Q.** You see it in both cases; right?
- 9 A. Correct.
- 10 Q. Headaches. You can see in heat
- 11 exhaustion and organophosphates; right?
- 12 A. Correct.
- **Q.** So if someone testified that -- that you
- 14 never see headache under organophosphates, that
- 15 would be wrong; right?
- 16 A. Well, I'm sure if you're very sick, you
- 17 can get a headache. Headaches are a pretty
- 18 nonspecific finding. Lots of things that cause
- 19 headache.
- 20 Q. Okay. And I appreciate that
- 21 clarification. These various signs and symptoms
- 22 are nonspecific, meaning it's not -- it doesn't say
- 23 this is heat stroke or this is organophosphate;
- 24 right?
- 25 A. If that -- those things can go on -- the
 - 86
- 1 list can go on for miles of what it -- what that
- 2 causes --
- **Q.** Muscle cramps and twitching. You can see
- 4 that in both cases; right?
- 5 A. Correct.
- 6 Q. And dehydration. You can see actually
- 7 also in organophosphates; right?
- 8 A. Yes. I mean, if somebody is to that
- 9 extreme, if you're salivating, vomiting, diarrhea
- 10 so much that that would cause dehydration,
- 11 absolutely.

- **Q.** And you told this jury yesterday that the
- 13 key marker dividing heat exhaustion and heat stroke
- 14 is the altered mental status?
 - A. Correct.
- 16 Q. You can also see that in organophosphate
- 17 poisoning; right?
- 18 A. Correct.
- 19 Q. The temperature -- now, I know that the
- 20 eMedicine article that you copied said 106 degrees
- 21 Farenheit. Is that right?
- 22 A. That's what the eMedicine article says.
- 23 Q. But when you copied it, you changed it to
- 24 104; right?
- 25 A. Correct.

- 1 Q. So you can see high temperatures actually
- 2 under both authorities; correct?
- 3 A. I don't believe that the acute setting of
- 4 heat -- of organophosphate is going to cause a
- 5 fever.
- 6 Q. Thank you. So you're only going to see
- 7 that high temperature in heat stroke; right?
- 8 Right?
- 9 A. You'd only see an -- I don't believe
- 10 you'd see an elevated temperature in
- 11 organophosphate poisoning.
- **Q.** Right. You're only going to see that in
- 13 heat stroke?

14

21

25

- A. Correct.
- 15 Q. Metabolic acidosis, which this jury had
- 16 heard, is, basically, a lot of acid -- right? -- in
- 17 your blood?
- 18 A. Correct.
- 19 Q. You can see that also in
- 20 organophosphates?
 - A. Sure.
- **Q.** Is that right?
- 23 A. Sure.
- 24 Q. It's nonspecific?
 - A. It's nonspecific. The list of metabolic
 - 88

- 1 acidosis is huge.
- 2 Q. But rhabdo -- I'm going to shorten it.
- 3 Rhabdo is the breakdown of muscles; correct?
- 4 A. Correct.
- 5 Q. You can see that in both heat exhaustion,
- 6 heat stroke -- I'm sorry -- heat stroke and
- 7 organophosphates; right?
- 8 A. Yes. Be more predominant, I think, in a
- 9 heat-related illness, especially if dehydration is
- 10 part of it. But yes. In theory you can definitely
- 11 have that.
- 12 Q. All right. So you would agree with
- 13 Dr. Cutshall, who said you can see both -- you can
- 14 see it in both cases?
 - A. Correct.
- 16 Q. The elevated creatinine. Also in both
- 17 cases; right?
 - A. Correct.
- 19 Q. Acute renal failure. Also in both;
- 20 correct?

15

- 21 A. Definitely possible.
- 22 Q. Now, Dr. Mosley testified that there are
- 23 two things that are inconsistent with heat
- 24 stroke -- inconsistent with heat stroke. And that
- 25 are -- that is pinpoint pupils or miosis and

Page 89 to 92 of 344

22

23

24

25

And you looked at their conclusion. You

I think that maybe they didn't have the

thought maybe they're not qualified to recognize or

diagnose heat stroke or heat illnesses; right?

23 of 86 sheets

22

23

24

that you did see Dr. Neff and Dr. Kennedy's

25 that Mr. Ray had heat stroke.

conclusion on the 10th and 11th, a day, I think,

before he was discharged, that they did not believe

5

8

9

11

15

21

94

1 information that they needed to make that 2 diagnosis.

3 Q. Did you testify yesterday, Dr. Dickson, that they might not be qualified? 4

A. I don't know if I said that. But I think it's probably that maybe they didn't have the information they needed to make that diagnosis.

Q. And that would be really important -right? -- to have all the information before you reach any conclusion in a case?

10 11 A. Absolutely.

12 Q. All right. Now, do you have the medical 13

records in front of you? Α. I do. 14

5

7

8

9

15 Q. And are you referring -- let me come up to you and see what you're referring to.

Do you have a binder like me? 17

A. 18 Yes.

And it starts -- and this is going to be 19 Q.

20 Exhibit 213; right?

Α. 213 -- there it is. 213. Yes. 21

22 Q. Now, your binder is a little bigger than

23 mine. Do you mind if I look through it?

24 Α. Sure.

25 Q. Okay. Let's look through 213, which you

said you looked at before you reached your

Correct.

conclusion; right? Α.

3 4 Q. You did note in Mr. Ray's emergency

department evaluation done at 6:30 p.m. that he had 5

6 pinpoint pupils; right?

7 A. At that point, he -- let me look.

Because if -- he had dilated pupils at the 8

beginning. 9

10 Q. We're going to go to that. Look at 7093,

if you will. 11

A. I don't think I have the same numbers you 12

13 do.

15

19

21

24

Q. You don't have Bates stamp 7093? 14

Α. I don't think I have stamps at all on

16 mine.

Q. Okay. Let me see. Well, why don't we --17

why don't we use this. 18

> Α. Okay.

Looking at that page, Dr. Dickson, do you 20 Q.

see the reference to pinpoint pupils and moist

22 skin?

A. 23

Now, we know from the signs and symptoms

of organophosphate poisoning that it's a 25

cholinergic toxiarome; correct? 1

A. Correct.

But here they have anti-? 3

A. Correct. 4

> Q. And based upon the moist skin and

pinpoint, that would be more consistent with a

7 cholinergic; correct?

> Α. Correct.

And you looked at this before you reached

your conclusion and considered it; right? 10

> Α. Correct.

12 Q. Then if you will look at the reference

here, you did also note that they consulted with

Arizona Poison Control? 14

> Α. Correct.

Q. You also noted when you looked at this 16

before you reached your conclusion that one of 17

their differential diagnosis was acute toxidrome --18

and, I'm sorry. That's a little hard to read. 19

20 A. Yes.

> Q. Right? Is that right?

That is correct. 22

But when you looked at this before you 23

reached your conclusion, you weighed it and thought 24

the big picture more consistent with heat stroke;

96

1 right?

2

6

A. Correct.

Now, you had mentioned yesterday that 3

there was one patient who had dilated pupils. Is 4

it Stephen Ray? 5

> Α. Yes.

Q. So it was just Stephen Ray and nobody 7

else; right? 8

Could be other ones as well. Not that I 9 Α. remember right now. The one that stands out is 10

11 Stephen Ray.

Q. All right. And you did note that in the 12 ER diagnosis he was pinpoint; right? 13

A. Let's see what they say here. Well, he 14 says, eyes are equal -- pupils are equally round 15

16 and reactive to light.

No, no, no. What I'm asking is 17

earlier -- let me put it back up here. 18 19

There is the ER evaluation; right?

Right. And I'm looking under physical 20 exam. And it says, pupils are equal and reactive 21

22 to light.

Q. All right. What I'm looking at, 23

Dr. Dickson, is this. Pinpoint pupils. 24

Okay.

- Q. All right?
- A. There seems to be a discrepancy. One
- 3 says --

1

2

4

9

10

11

- **Q.** You think that -- I'm sorry. Go ahead.
- 5 A. Well, one says, pinpoint, and one says, 6 equal and reactive.
- 7 Q. You think equal and reactive is not8 pinpoint; right?
 - A. Well, pinpoint are generally very, very small. Doesn't really get the size. But we can go with pinpoint. That's fine.
- Q. Okay. And you looked at all this beforeyou reached your conclusion; right?
- 14 A. Correct.
- 15 Q. All right. Now, you would also note --
- 16 you had the EMS records; right?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. Before you reached your conclusion?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. And so let's look at that. That's going
- 21 to be page Bates stamp 6995 in the Exhibit I gave
- 22 you.

2

3

- 23 A. Correct.
- 24 Q. You talked about how what you would
- 25 expect to see in a case of organophosphates is a
 - lot of drooling and salivation.
 - Do you remember that?
 - A. Yes.
- **Q.** Did you note when you -- before you
- 5 reached your conclusion that Mr. Ray was observed
- 6 by Guardian Air paramedics upon arrival to be
- 7 drooling from the mouth?
- 8 A. That's what we started to talk about this
- 9 a little bit yesterday. When you look through --
- 10 this comes back to looking at the whole, big
- 11 picture.
- 12 So initially he said drooling from mouth
- 13 and dilated pupils. Then under the initial
- 14 physical exam, he said vomitus coming from the
- 15 mouth. So question is, is it drooling or is it
- 16 vomit? And that's the question.
- 17 Q. And these were the thought processes that
- 18 you got -- you went through when you looked at
- 19 these records before you drafted your report;
- 20 right?
- 21 A. Right. And then when he went in to look
- 22 at the airway, and it says, the patient had both
- 23 eyes open with tears, vomitus, and sputum. So --
- 24 and the pupils are dilated. So here we are looking
- 25 at the big picture. You've got somebody that has

- got -- is it drewling? Is it vomiting?
- 2 And looks like the initial -- when you do
- your subjective -- that's the beginning part --
- 4 you're trying to take a big picture. And it
- 5 looks -- well, maybe they're drooling. And a good
- 6 medic is thinking, is this -- is this a cholinergic
- 7 toxidrome? It's a good thing they have to know is
- 8 if there's organophosphates, they need to wash the
- 9 people off because they can get sick too.
 - And that's a big thing with
- 11 organophosphates is you don't want to get your
- 12 first responders or your physicians and nurses sick
- 13 with all the clothes they have on that can carry
- 14 this. So they thought about it, which is really,
- 15 really good.

10

- 16 But now when you get down to the actual
- 17 physical exam when you're actually looking at their
- 18 airway, it doesn't look like it's drooling and it's
- 19 actually vomit, which is a big difference.
- 20 And this is where I take it a little
- 21 further of why looking at the big picture I felt
- 22 this wasn't organophosphates. Even the patients
- 23 that they were coding -- Kirby Brown and James
- 24 Shore -- those are the people that had no cardiac
- 25 arrest. They did something called "CCR."

- And we've always heard CPR, but they've now changed it. It's cardiocerebral resuscitation.
- 3 And instead of actually breathing for them and
- 4 pressing on their chest, they've done these studies
- 5 that if you just press on their chest and put some
- 6 oxygen on them, it does better for them. And this
- 7 is what they did. They did this protocol called
- 8 "CCR."
- 9 Now, if you are going to be dying from
- 10 acute respiratory distress from organophosphate
- 11 poisoning, you're going to have massive amounts of
- 12 fluid coming out your mouth, and you aren't going
- 13 to be able to do that. If you just put the mask
- 14 on, if their airway is full of secretions and
- 15 things like that, then it's -- and you can't
- 16 suction out, that these people with
- 17 organophosphates -- if they're going to die,
- 18 they're going to have this massive amount of fluid
- 19 coming out.
- You can't lie somebody on their back and stick a mask on them and press on the chest and expect the air to get in there. And that's where the difference really comes in in the acute
- setting. If these people are really that sick withorganophosphate, then the patients that are dying

- wouldn't be able to have this process done. They
 lie these people down on the gurney. If I'm having
 profuse amounts of fluids coming out of my mouth, I
 don't want to be on my back. That's not going to
 - don't want to be on my back. That's not going to be good.
- These medics wouldn't put them on their
 back. They'd put them on their side. And there
 would be a description of continuously flowing
- 9 fluids. So this is where making this distinction 10 came to light for me.
- 11 Q. And you went through this very thoughtful 12 consideration of the records; right?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. That you just explained to the jury;
- 15 right?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. That then led you to exclude
- 18 organophosphates; correct?
- 19 A. That's part of the -- and then you go
- 20 into the doctors --
- 21 Q. I just want to -- because you just went
- 22 through a very thoughtful explanation to the
- 23 jury --
- 24 A. Okay.
- 25 Q. -- about what your thinking was when you
 - 102
 - 1 went through the records before reaching your
- 2 conclusions.
- 3 A. Yes. That was part of it.
- 4 Q. Okay. And that's what led you to exclude
- 5 part of it -- to exclude organophosphates?
- 6 A. Correct.
- 7 Q. And to conclude that it's heat stroke;
- 8 correct?
- 9 A. Correct.
- 10 Q. 99 percent certain you told us; right?
- 11 A. Correct.
- 12 Q. Now, we talked about how when you were
- 13 retained on December 6th, 2010, the state provided
- 14 you with materials to review on December 16, 2010;
- 15 right?
- 16 A. Uh-huh.
- 17 Q. And I'm just going to show you this --
- 18 for your edification here. This is a letter to you
- 19 dated December 16th; correct?
- 20 A. Correct.
- 21 Q. And it says, we're enclosing the autopsy
- 22 reports?
- 23 A. Correct.
- 24 Q. The medical records for the three
 - 5 victims?

- A. Coirect
- Q. And the medical records relating to the
- 3 surviving participants of the 2009 sweat lodge;
- 4 right?

5

8

15

- A. It says, selected supplements for --
- Q. I'm concentrating on the record.
- 7 A. Oh. Okay.
 - Q. The medical records; right?
- 9 A. Yep.
- 10 Q. Now, Mr. Hughes marked yesterday all of
- 11 the remaining medical records in this case, which
- 12 you received; right?
- 13 Let's confirm. You received 151, which
- 14 is Linda Andresano?
 - A. Let me get my list.
- 16 Q. Right?
- 17 A. Yes. There it is.
- 18 Q. And you see at the bottom its Bates stamp
- 19 numbers; right?
- 20 A. Correct.
- 21 Q. And so the jury understands, when the
- 22 state produces documents to the defense, they put
- 23 at the bottom right-hand corner a numbering called
- 24 a "Bates number." Right?
- 25 A. Correct.
 - 1 Q. And it's sequential; right?
 - 2 A. Correct.
 - 3 Q. So you received on December 16th, 2010,
 - 4 Exhibit 151, which is Linda Andresano's medical
 - 5 records; correct?
 - 6 A. Yes. I have the record here.
 - 7 Q. Okay. And you also received Exhibit 152,
 - 8 which is Ms. Andresano's ambulance company record;
 - 9 right?

14

17

18

- 10 A. Let's see if it's in here.
- 11 There it is.
- 12 Q. All right. And it's the same Bates stamp
- 13 number, 1562?
 - A. Okay
- 15 Q. You also received Sandra Andretti's,
- 16 which is 384?
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. So just bear with me.
- 19 And that's also sequential; right?
- 20 This binder that you have is sequentially numbered?
- 21 A. I hope so.
- 22 Q. All right. And you also received 385,
- 23 which is Sandra Andretti's ambulance company
- 24 records; right?
- 25 A. Yep.

Okay. That's Exhibit 390.

You got Exhibit 391 at 1760; correct?

Q.

24

25

27 of 86 sheets Page 105 to 108 of 344

Q. Dr. Dickson, again, thank you for your

24

25 patience.

8

12

15

18

110

- A. Yes.
- 2 Q. And that would be Amy Grimes's ambulance
- 3 records; correct?
- 4 A. I have 1760. I have to look up the name
- because they don't have the name -- oh. There it
- 6 is. Amy Grimes.
- 7 Q. And the next one you got is Dennis
- 8 Mehravar?

1

- 9 A. Correct.
- 10 Q. Okay. That's Exhibit 192. Did you also
- 11 get Bates stamp 1815, Exhibit 193?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. Brandy Rainey, Exhibit 208, starting at
- **14** Bates stamp 1943?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. You got Exhibit 209 starting at Bates
- 17 stamp 1948?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Sean Ronan, Exhibit 392, starting
- 20 exhibit -- Bates stamp 2001; correct?
- 21 A. There it is.
- 22 Q. Exhibit 393, starting at Bates stamp
- 23 2028?
- 24 A. 2028?
- 25 **Q.** Yeah.
- 1 A. There is it.
- 2 Q. And so, again, the jurors understand, the
- 3 set of records you received from the state on
- 4 December 16th, 2010, was in somewhat a sequential
- 5 order according to the Bates stamp; right?
- 6 A. Okay.
- 7 Q. Is that right? Appears to be?
- 8 A. It appears to be. Yes.
- **9 Q.** All right. And then let's look at 2043,
- 10 which may be in that book because it pertains to
- 11 James Shore.
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. Right?
- 14 A. Right here.
- **Q.** Okay. And then you also received
- 16 Exhibit 379, starting at 2046 Bates stamp; right?
- 17 That's James Shore's Verde Valley Fire District EMT
- 18 record.
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. And these came to you on a disk that was
- 21 dated December 16th, 2010; right?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. You also got Exhibit 222, which is Sidney
- 24 Spencer's records at 2083; right?
- 25 A. Yes.

- Q. Almest done. 394 at 2120.
- 2 A. Who's that?
- 3 Q. This is Linnette Veguilla.
- 4 A. 2120. There it is.
 - Q. That's Exhibit 394. And last,
- 6 Exhibit 395, would be 2128 Bates stamp. Linnette
- 7 Veguilla's EMT record; correct?
 - A. There it is.
- 9 Q. Okay. So the jury understands, these
- 10 records came to you on a disk dated
- 11 December 16th, 2010; right?
 - A. Correct.
- 13 Q. And at the break you were kind enough to
- 14 give me the copy of what was given to you; right?
 - A. Correct.
- 16 Q. Since you've printed out, do you mind if
- 17 I keep this?
 - A. That's up to them, I guess.
- 19 MS. DO: I'd like to mark this, Your Honor.
- 20 THE COURT: It can be marked. But we can
- 21 discuss that at a later time.
- MS. DO: Okay. That's fine.
- 23 MR. HUGHES: Your Honor, we did provide a copy
- 24 to the defense.
- 25 THE COURT: We'll have a mark on it when we
 - 112
 - 1 get it back. The copy -- a copy will be marked at
- 2 that time.
- 3 MS. DO: Thank you, Your Honor.
- 4 Q. One set that was missing in this binder
- 5 that we just went through that you received
- 6 December 16th, 2010, is this -- which has been
- 7 marked as Exhibit 581. Did you get records that
- 8 were Bates stamp 1955 to 1992? Do you know?
- **9** Let me show you Exhibit 581.
- 10 A. Is this Liz Neuman, by any chance?
- 11 Q. No. This is Stephen Ray.
- 12 A. Oh, yeah. Stephen Ray's over here.
- Q. Can you find the original 1955 to 1992?
- 14 A. These are not stamped.
- 15 Q. Okay. So let's put that aside for a
- 16 second.

17

21

- A. Okay.
- 18 Q. You would agree with me that the set that
- 19 we just went through is Bates stamped sequentially
- 20 starting with 1554 and ending in 2129; right?
 - A. Uh-huh.
- 22 Q. So Stephen Ray's medical records, which
- 23 is 38 pages here, 1955 to 1992, would fit into this
- 24 sequential order; correct? Is that right?
 - A. Makes sense.

2

Here's 1948; right? h-would fit right 1

2 here.

3

Α. That's the order of the numbers.

4 Q. And I had Ms. Seifter -- she's on the defense team -- look at your CD that you gave to me. And it appears that the records you were 6 7 provided on December 16th, 2010, contained 38 pages from Mr. Ray's records, which is Bates stamp 1955. 8 9

Do you have any reason to dispute that?

Α. 10 No.

Q. Okay. We're going to mark this that 11 12 there isn't a dispute.

13 Now, I showed you earlier a letter to again confirm that you received these records, 14

15 including the 38 pages for Mr. Ray on

December 16th, 2010; right? 16

17 Α. Uh-huh.

18 Q. And that was -- that came with the CD

that you have in front of you that we're going to 19

20 mark; right?

Α. 21 I believe so.

22 Q. No reason to dispute it?

23 Α. No.

2

6

12

24 Q. Okay. Now, you wrote your report on

January 10, 2011; right?

114

A. Correct. 1

Q. Or you finalized it rather; right?

That was my preliminary report then. 3

Which is -- marking it as evidence here. 4 Q.

And it was dated January 10, 2011? 5

A. Correct.

7 Q. 25 days after you received what's

8 contained on that CD?

9 A. Okav.

10 Q. Is that right?

11 Α. Sure. Yes.

> Q. Okay. I think I counted my days

13 correctly.

14 Now, my question to you is, you earlier

15 had told the jury, and you -- you went through a

16 rather long explanation that you had considered

everything you had seen in Mr. Ray's medical 17

records, the EMT record regarding the drooling, the 18

vomitus, the tears, and then Dr. Neff and 19

Dr. Kennedy's conclusion that he did not have heat 20

21 stroke? Correct?

A. Correct. 22

23 Q. And you did that all, and you went

through a very thoughtful consideration of that 24

25 evidence -- Α.

-- before you wrote your report; correct?

Well, I've looked at this stuff so many 3

times. I'm not sure when. And there's also been times that -- when more information, somebody

asked, I was told that there was possible 6

organophosphate poisoning again, I went back and looked at it again, all the records, to see, well, 8

9

let's make sure that the evidence shows it.

So when -- are you trying to say I didn't 10

have this information --11

Q. I'm not trying to say anything. I'm 12 asking you questions. 13

Okay. 14 Α.

And it's the same questions I've asked 15 Q.

you many times earlier.

You told this jury that you went through 17 a very thoughtful consideration, that you looked at 18

all the evidence and you discounted it using your 19

judgment and excluded organophosphates and 20

concluded heat stroke; right? 21

> Α. Correct.

23 And, in fact, the thought process of why

you disagreed with Dr. Neff or Dr. Kennedy is 24

perhaps they didn't have all the information; 25

116

right? 1

22

2

14

15

Α. They could not have.

Q. And perhaps they -- they may not be 3

qualified? You don't know. But that's something

you had said yesterday; right? 5

Well, it's possible if they don't know 6 7

about the illness or see it very frequently or organophosphates.

Q. Okay. Let's take a look, then, at 9

Exhibit 581, which is 38 pages, which Ms. Seifter 10

confirmed is on your CD. And can you go through 11

that and -- and identify for the jury where it is 12

13 that you see the EMT record.

> Α. I don't see it.

Can you tell the jury where in that

Exhibit 581 that you received on December 16, 2010,

that -- that there is the two reports by Dr. Neff 17

18 and Dr. Kennedy?

I'm guessing it's not in here. Is that 19 Α.

correct? 20

I don't want you to guess. Do you see it Q. 21

22 in there?

It's not in there; right?

I don't -- I don't want to waste your 24 25 time. If you're saying no, I say no. I don't see

8

11

12

18

22

2

5

118

- 2 Q. Okay. So the records you received for
- 3 Stephen Ray on December 16, 2010, 25 days before
- 4 you report, that you considered didn't contain the
- 5 EMS record; correct?
 - A. Correct.
- 7 Q. And it didn't contain Dr. Neff's report;
- 8 right?

6

- 9 A. I don't know. I'd have to look at it.
- 10 This is what I have here.
- 11 Q. And we're going to get to that.
- 12 A. Okay.
- 13 Q. But in Exhibit 581 that you received 25
- 14 days before you finalized your conclusion in this
- 15 case -- those records did not contain Dr. Neff's
- 16 conclusion that Mr. Ray did not have heat stroke;
- 17 right?
- 18 A. If that's what you're saying. I don't
- 19 know what's on the disk to tell you for sure.
- **Q.** Okay. Well, we can confirm. That's why
- 21 we're going to mark it as an exhibit.
- 22 A. Please.
- 23 Q. But 581, sir -- is it in there? This
- 24 exhibit right here.
- 25 A. This exhibit. No, it is not.
- 1 Q. Neither is Dr. Kennedy's report; right?
- 2 A. Right.
- 3 Q. Okay. Now, on February 8th, 2011,
- 4 after -- about a month after you wrote your report,
- 5 you received a letter from the state after several
- 6 emails. And I've marked this as Exhibit 1016.
- 7 Do you recognize this?
- 8 A. Sure. Yes.
- 9 Q. And this email is from Kathy Durrer,
- 10 who's not in court right now. But you know that
- 11 she works for Ms. Polk and Mr. Hughes?
- 12 A. Correct.
- 13 Q. And it's emailed to Dr. Dickson?
- 14 A. Correct.
- **Q.** On February 4, 2011?
- 16 A. Correct.
- 17 Q. And it says here, Dr. Dickson, we have
- 18 received the complete medical records of Stephen
- 19 Ray, who was hospitalized at Flagstaff Medical
- 20 Center for several days following his participation
- 21 in the 2009 sweat lodge ceremony; correct?
- 22 A. Correct.
- 23 Q. Before we only had partial records for
- 24 Mr. Ray?
- 25 A. Correct.

- 1 Q. Bill Haghes wanted me to send the records
- 2 to you for your review?
 - A. Correct.
- 4 Q. And they are attached; correct?
- 5 A. Correct.
- 6 Q. And then you wrote back and said that
- 7 you're having trouble downloading it; correct?
 - A. Correct.
- **9** Q. And then they -- they emailed you back
- 10 and said that they would send it to you --
 - A. Okav.
 - Q. -- which they did on February 8th; right?
- 13 A. Yes. That's correct.
- 14 Q. And what they sent to you -- and I'll
- 15 represent to you this is what we received on or
- 16 about February 8th is Exhibit 213, which contains
- 17 the full volume that you've been looking at; right?
 - A. Yes
- 19 Q. And it's in that volume that you received
- 20 on February 8 that the EMS records show Mr. Ray to
- 21 have drooling, tearing at the eyes; right?
 - A. Correct.
- 23 Q. And it's in that record that you received
- 24 on February 8th that Dr. Neff and Dr. Kennedy's
- 25 reports contained -- are contained, and the
- 120
- 1 conclusion is he did not have heat stroke; correct?
 - A. Okay.
- 3 Q. Correct?
- 4 A. That's what their conclusion was. Yes.
 - Q. So obviously, Dr. Dickson, you didn't
- 6 have those records, you didn't consider it, you
- 7 didn't look through them, before you reached your
- 8 conclusion on January 10th because you didn't have
- 9 them; correct?
- 10 A. Correct.
- 11 Q. And so when you explained to the jurors
- 12 yesterday and today that you did go through and
- 13 consider the evidence of Mr. Ray's records and went
- 14 through your thought processes and rejected
- 15 Dr. Neff's conclusion, that was wrong?
- 16 A. No. It's not wrong at all. Let me
- 17 explain to you. That's the information I had in
- 18 front of me at this point in time. So I did
- 19 consider it when I discussed my reasoning was based
- 20 on all this information.
 - Q. Maybe you don't understand my question.
- 22 Let me -- let me ask it again.
- 23 You've made several points when I asked
- 24 you about Dr. Mosley or Dr. Lyon or Dr. Cutshall,
- 5 and even Dr. Neff and Dr. Kennedy that perhaps

2

12

2

3

- their conclusions are wrong because they didn't
- have all the available information.
- Do you remember that?
- 4 A. Uh-huh.
 - Q. And that's what you called the "big
- 6 picture." You have the big picture but maybe they
- 7 don't?

3

5

- 8 A. Correct.
- **9 Q.** Right?
- 10 A. It's possible.
- 11 Q. And what I'm asking you, and I've asked
- 12 you for two days now, is you told the jury that you
- 13 did have this information of Mr. Ray prior to
- **14** reaching your conclusion on January 10, 2011.
- 15 A. No. I don't think I said that.
- 16 Q. You didn't say that?
- 17 A. No. I give you the information as it
- 18 comes to me and --
- 19 Q. This morning before we took the break --
- 20 A. Okay.
- 21 Q. -- we looked at the records again, and
- 22 you went into a very -- what we lawyers call
- 23 narrative.
- 24 A. Okay.
- **Q.** You looked to the jury and you gave them
- 1 a very thoughtful answer --
 - A. Correct.
- 3 Q. -- that you went through all of this
- 4 information before you reached your conclusion, did
- 5 you not?

2

- 6 A. Today before I -- what my information is
- 7 in front of you is the information that's in front
- 8 of you. And that's where I reached my conclusion.
- 9 Whenever some more information came to me, because
- 10 it did come in several events --
- 11 There's one other thing that she didn't
- 12 mention. Liz Neuman. Her initial amount that I
- 13 got was this much. And now I have five of these
- 14 lovely binders all on Liz Neuman. And I've gone
- 15 through them again to make sure that it wouldn't
- 16 change my opinion when I came here to speak on the
- 17 stand.

- 18 Q. That's not my question, Dr. Dickson. And
- 19 I know that you received additional information on
- 20 Ms. Neuman. I'd like to focus on Mr. Ray.
 - A. Okay.
- 22 Q. Before we took the break, I asked you
- 23 probably a dozen times, you considered, you looked
- 24 at, the information contained in the EMS record for
- 25 Mr. Ray before reaching your conclusion?

- 1 Your answer was yes; correct?
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. And your conclusion was reached, as the
- 4 jury has seen, in your report dated
- **5** January 10, 2011; correct?
- 6 A. That's where I think we're differing. My
- 7 conclusion has been -- information has been added,
- 8 and I relooked at the data again. And I might have
- 9 adjusted it if I'd found information that had said,
- 10 well, maybe the original information now that I
- 11 have more information, I would change my opinion.
- 12 But I've looked at it. And it's a
- 13 dynamic process. Now that I have the information
- 14 that I have before me now, this is my opinion.
- 15 Here it is.
- 16 Q. We -- we get that.
- 17 A. Okay.
- 18 Q. My question is very specific.
- 19 A. Okay.
- 20 Q. And I've asked this many times. You told
- 21 this jury -- you looked at them. And you told them
- 22 that you had Mr. Ray's complete medical records,
- 23 which you reviewed with thoughtfulness and
- 24 considered before rejecting the conclusions of
- 25 Dr. Neff and Dr. Kennedy prior -- prior to reaching
- 124

- 1 your conclusion in this case?
 - A. My conclusion in this case --
- **Q.** Just answer that question first, and then
- 4 we'll go to the next one.
- 5 A. Well, it's not a yes or no question. My
- 6 conclusion of this case came as I get the data. So
- 7 if you presented -- just like in this trial you've
- 8 had these hypothetical situations of if Dr. "X" or
- 9 Dr. "Y" says, well, I changed my opinion, you asked
- 10 me, does that change my opinion? And I think about
- 11 it. I mull it over. And I give you an answer.
 - So there's -- this is a continuous
- 13 process. If you provide me with more information,
- 14 it could change things as well. But with the
- 15 information you see in front of me today and that
- 16 you've presented with me, I give you all my
- 17 opinions.
- **Q.** I understand that, Dr. Dickson. I'm
- 19 going to go back to my question.
- 20 The question I asked you, the same
- 21 questions I asked you yesterday and the same
- 22 questions I asked you this morning before the break
- 23 is, you considered, reviewed, and thought about the
- 24 records from Mr. Ray, which included the EMS and
- 25 Dr. Neff and Dr. Kennedy's report before you

- reached your conclusion on January 10, and your
- 2 answer was yes; correct?
- A. I don't think you said January 10th. The 3 question is is where is my opinion now?
 - Q. Well, the record is the record --
- 6 Α. Okav.
- 7 Q. -- and it's going to be up to the jury to
- decide. 8
- 9 A. Absolutely.
- 10 Q. So are you saying now that you never told
- this jury that you considered the EMS records, 11
- Dr. Neff and Dr. Kennedy's conclusion, thought 12
- 13 about it, looked at the big picture, discounted it
- 14 for your reasons prior to writing your report? Is
- that your testimony now? 15
- 16 A. Well, apparently I didn't have all that
- 17 when I wrote my report. But this, again, is the --Q. Dr. Dickson, I have to stop
- you because --19

- Α. 20 Okay.
- 21 Q. -- the jury is entitled to an answer to
- the question. Okay? 22
- 23 A. Absolutely.
- 24 Q. The question is, is it now your testimony
- that you never told the jury yesterday or this 25
 - morning that you had looked at those records, the
- 2 EMS, Dr. Kennedy's and Dr. Neff's reports,
- considered it, thought about it, looked at the big
- picture, and discounted it before writing your 4
- 5 report? That's yes or no.
- 6 I couldn't tell you. You have to look at the record. If that's what I said, that's what I 7
- 8 said.
- Q. So --9
- 10 The answer to that is, ultimately, if I
- 11 spoke incorrectly, I apologize. My information is
- based on what I have now. Again, if more 12
- 13 information comes to me, obviously I -- you can
- change your opinion. But this is a fluid, dynamic 14
- process. As I get more information, I look at it 15
- again. I can't tell you how many times I've looked 16 at all this stuff. 17
- 18 **Q.** I'm going to show you the reports you
- wrote, Exhibit 111 -- 1011, 1012, 1013, all dated 19
- 20 January 10; right?
 - A. Correct.
- **Q.** 2011? 22

21

- Α. Correct. 23
- 24 Q. When you wrote that report, you only had
- 25 38 pages of Mr. Ray's records, which is on that CD

- you gave us; correct? 1
- 2 Uh-huh. Α.
 - Is that yes? Q.
- A. 4 Yes.
 - Q. Which you received on December 16, 2010;
- 6 right?

3

5

7

8

- A. Yes.
- Q. So if you told the jury earlier several
- times that you looked at the big picture and 9
- considered all the evidence, including Mr. Ray's 10
- EMS records and Dr. Neff and Dr. Kennedy, but felt 11
- that the signs and symptoms big picture was heat 12
- 13 stroke --
- 14 Α. Correct.
- -- that would have been false testimony 15 Q.
- 16 if you said that; correct?
- Well, you have to add the January 10th 17
- onto that. 18

19

126

- Q. Correct. Right?
- 20 A. If I said that, I apologize.
- So when you went through and gave them 21
- that long explanation of why it was you considered 22
- all of these things before you reached your 23
- conclusion, that's demonstrably wrong because, in 24
- fact, Doctor, you didn't receive the information 25
- 128
- regarding Mr. Ray's EMS or Dr. Kennedy's report
- saying no heat stroke and Dr. Neff's report saying 2
- no heat stroke until a month after you wrote your 3
- 4 report; right?

5

11

- Α. Say the question again?
- Q. You didn't receive the information 6
- regarding Mr. Ray's EMS records, Dr. Neff's 7
- conclusion of no heat stroke, Dr. Kennedy's 8
- conclusion of no heat stroke, until a month after 9
- 10 you wrote your report?
 - A. That is absolutely correct.
- Okay. So when you had speculated that Q. 12
- Dr. Mosley or Dr. Lyon or Dr. Cutshall or Dr. Neff 13
- or Dr. Kennedy didn't have all the available 14
- information before they reached their conclusion, 15
- that obviously is speculation; right? 16
 - A. Correct.
- But what is true is that you had not 18
- received all of the available information before 19
- you reached your conclusion on January 10th; 20
- 21 correct?
- A. I had not -- when I wrote this on 22
- January 10th, I did not have everything. This was 23
- my opinion at the time of the information I had on 24
- January 10th. Correct. 25

- 1 Q. Okay. So now you have all the available 2 information.
- 3 A. Correct.
- Q. You told the jury yesterday 99 percentcertain that this is a case of heat stroke; right?
- 6 A. Correct.
- **Q.** You told the jury this morning that you
- 8 believe, based upon your review of the records,
- 9 that Mr. Ray is one of the people you reference in
- 10 the report as having heat stroke; right?
- 11 A. Yeah.
- **12 Q.** Right?
- 13 A. Correct.
- 14 Q. And that date -- that report is dated
- 15 January 10th; right?
- 16 A. Correct.
- 17 Q. One of the multiple patients who had heat
- 18 stroke would include Stephen Ray; correct?
- 19 A. Correct.
- 20 Q. Now it's clear to the jurors that you
- 21 didn't have these medical records, the complete
- 22 medical records, until one month after you reached
- 23 that conclusion; right?

- A. I don't think that's clear.
- 25 Q. Well, we've marked into evidence
 - Exhibit 1016 showing that you received the complete
- 2 medical records on February 8th; correct?
- 3 A. Yes. So you're saying that the jury
- 4 knows -- you guys know that I didn't get everything
- 5 all at once? Yes. I agree with that.
- 6 Q. Specifically Stephen Ray?
- 7 A. Correct.
- 8 Q. Now you know that two doctors who looked
- 9 at Mr. Ray concluded Mr. Ray does not appear to
- 10 have heat stroke?
- 11 A. Correct.
- **12 Q.** Right?
- 13 A. Correct.
- 14 Q. Does that change your opinion in any way?
- 15 A. We already did this. No.
- 16 Q. Doesn't at all?
- 17 A. No.
- 18 Q. You kept saying yesterday that maybe
- 19 these other doctors didn't have all the information
- 20 or maybe they didn't look at the big picture.
 - Let me ask you this, Dr. Dickson: You're
- 22 the only doctor in this case that has testified to
- 23 a degree of certainty 99 percent heat stroke, that
- 24 you can rule out organophosphates, that the signs
- 25 and symptoms are inconsistent with

- 1 organophosphaces, and that Dr. Paul is wrong. That
- 2 puts you in stark difference to Dr. Cutshall,
- 3 Dr. Lyon, and Dr. Mosley, and will when Dr. Paul
- 4 testifies.
- 5 What information do you have that allows
- 6 you to reach the conclusions that you have in this
- 7 case that makes Drs. Cutshall, Lyon, Mosley, or
- 8 Dr. Paul wrong?
- 9 A. Well, let's back up a little. We redid
- 10 this discussion yesterday for a while.
- 11 Q. Let me -- let me maybe ask a more
- 12 specific question.
- 13 A. Okay.
- 14 Q. What information, i.e., medical records
- 15 autopsy reports -- what information do you have
- 16 that these doctors don't have?
- 17 A. I don't know what they had at the time.
- 18 I assume they have the same.
- 19 Q. The same as you; right?
- 20 A. Correct.
 - Q. So looking at the same records, four
- 22 doctors reached a different conclusion than yours?
- 23 A. Well, not in the medical records they
- 24 didn't.

21

130

- 25 Q. I understand. But you know now that

132

- 1 they've testified; right?
- 2 A. Well, you told me hypothetically
- 3 yesterday.
- 4 Q. Correct.
 - A. Okay.
- 6 Q. Okay. So no reason to dispute that;
- 7 right?

5

- 8 A. A hypothetical?
- 9 Q. Right.
- 10 A. Okay. No.
- 11 Q. Okay. So if this jury has heard from
- 12 three doctors and will hear from a fourth, and four
- 13 doctors have said they cannot rule out
- 14 organophosphates, and the signs and symptoms are
- 15 consistent with organophosphates, that would be an
- 16 opinion very different from the one you've offered
- 17 on the stand; right?
- 18 A. Hypothetically, yeah. But that's what
- 19 we're going back to. You're telling me that all
- 20 these doctors said that all these signs and
- 21 symptoms are consistent with organophosphates, not
- 22 consistent with heat stroke. That's completely
- 23 opposite to what they said in their medical record.
- 24 Q. And it's what you relied on -- right? --
- 25 the medical records?

Page 129 to 132 of 344

8

19

25

11

15

21

134

- Α. Absolutely.
- Q. And the autopsy report?
- 3 And it's completely opposite to their 4 physical exam findings.
- 5 Q. So you're saying now the doctors' testimony in this case to this jury is all wrong 7 compared to the medical records? Is that what you're saying?
- MR. HUGHES: Objection. Misstates the 9 10 doctor's total testimony to the jury.
- THE COURT: Sustained. 11
- 12 BY MS. DO: You've just told the jury that -- and I understand you weren't here. 13
- 14 The jury was.

1

2

- 15 A. Right.
- 16 Hypothetically that was the testimony of
- 17 Dr. Cutshall, Dr. Lyon, Dr. Mosley.
- Α. Uh-huh. 18
- 19 Q. You're saying that it's all inconsistent
- 20 with the medical records, their physical exam, you
- 21 said; right?

1

- 22 A. No. I said their physical exam was
- 23 consistent with heat illness.
- 24 Q. Okay. You're not following me. Let me
- 25 ask it one more time.

 - Α. Okay.
- 2 You understand in the hypothetical that
- 3 if the jury has heard testimony, evidence, from
- that witness stand from Dr. Cutshall, Dr. Lyon, and 4
- Dr. Mosley that they cannot rule out 5
- 6 organophosphates, that there are signs and symptoms
- 7 consistent with organophosphates?
- 8 A. There are signs and symptoms that can be
- consistent to both. I -- we agreed with this 9
- 10 yesterday. There are signs and symptoms, and we
- did a whole list of heat illness and 11
- 12 organophosphates. There are overlap, absolutely,
- of the symptoms. 13
- 14 Dr. Dickson, I believe yesterday you
- testified under direct that you believe the signs 15
- 16 and symptoms were inconsistent with
- 17 organophosphates, which is why we wrote on the
- 18 easel, signs and symptoms inconsistent with
- 19 organophosphates; right?
- 20 A. Yes.

21

- Q. That's your testimony; right?
- 22 Α. Absolutely.
- 23 Okay. So now my question is this: The
- jury has heard testimony from three doctors and 24
- 25 will hear from a fourth that their opinions are

- different from yours. I would like you to tell the 1
- jury what piece of fact or information you have
- 3 that these doctors didn't?
- I guess I'm not understanding your 4 question. You're saying that the doctors --5 according to your question, do those doctors have 6 7 information that I don't have? I don't think so.

Is that answering your question -

- 9 I'm asking you this question because you had speculated a number of times that perhaps these 10 doctors don't have the big picture that you have. 11
- Actually, I said when -- we talked about 12 13 when you're seeing a patient at a certain time, for example, an ER doc. One of the classic problems 14 with ER docs, is, if you ever heard the expression 15 "Monday morning quarterbacking," you only have so 16 much information when -- when you're the ER doc 17 or -- and you're working through a history in a 18
- case with a patient, and you do the best you can. 20 Now, somebody goes back and looks at this
- whole record, they get to see it all after the game 21 is over. And they can say, well, it was obvious it 22
- was this. But at the beginning you wouldn't have 23
- 24 that information.
 - So what I said was maybe at the time of

their description, they didn't have all the

- 2 information. Now, when they're making their
- 3 decision, they should have all the same
- information. 4
- Okay. So it was speculation on your 5
- part, then, when these doctors testified they 6
- didn't have all the available information; correct? 7
- Let me -- let me ask you this question: 8
- You understand Dr. Mosley is the one who autopsied
- 10 Ms. Neuman; right?
 - Α.
- Do you have any evidence or any knowledge 12 Q.
- of whether or not Dr. Mosley had received all of 13
- the same information that you have? 14
- I don't know what he received, but I assume it would be the same. 16
- Okay. So let's not speculate as to 17 Q.
- whether or not the doctors had information or not. 18
- Okay? What I'm asking you is this: Assuming --19
- 20 let's do this. It's easier.
 - Assuming hypothetically they had the same
- information you had but more in the sense that 22
- 23 Dr. Lyon --
- I'm just trying to get -- assuming 24
 - hypothetically that they had the same information

- 1 that I had. Okav.
- 2 Dr. Lyon did the autopsy of two
- 3 decedents; right?
 - Α. Okay.

5

- Q. Which you didn't?
- 6 A. I did not.
- 7 Q. And Dr. Mosley did the autopsy of one
- 8 decedent; right?
- 9 Α. Okay.
- 10 **Q.** That you did not do?
- 11 Α. Correct.
- Q. 12 Dr. Cutshall treated one of the patients
- 13 that died; right?
- 14 Α. Correct.
- 15 Q. Including Sidney Spencer and Tess Wong;
- 16 right?
- 17 Α. Correct.
- 18 Q. Okay. What I'd like to try and
- 19 understand is, since your opinion in this case is
- 20 so different from those three doctors, is there a
- piece of information that you have received that 21
- 22 these doctors may not have had?
- 23 MR. HUGHES: Objection, Your Honor. Misstates
- 24 the totality of the other doctors' testimony.
- 25 THE COURT: Sustained.

138

- Q. BY MS. DO: You reviewed the same 1
- 2 evidence as those doctors; right?
- I assume that -- I don't know what they 3
- reviewed. They had the same available as I did. I
- 5 assume. You have to tell me.
- 6 Q. And you reached a totally different
- 7 conclusion than them?

8

- A. Well, I don't think so.
- 9 Q. Well, let's look up on the easel. The
- 10 things we wrote up there after you testified,
- 11 Dr. Dickson, you would agree are different; right?
- 12 A. Well, you wrote is what's different. But
- 13 you're telling me that hypothetically these doctors
- 14 that presented to you -- I mean, you guys heard it,
- 15 not me -- said that these patients all had signs of
- 16 organophosphate poisoning.
- 17 Q. Let me correct you there. I didn't say
- 18 all. I said signs and symptoms, specifically
- 19 miosis and foaming.
- Okay. Well, that's a different thing. 20 A.
 - Well, Dr. Dickson, I'm not going to argue
- 22 with you, but I believe I've asked you those
- 23 questions.

21

- 24 Let's do this and then we're going to
- 25 finish. Since there are four doctors who reviewed

- the same evidence you have, concluded they cannot
- rule out organophosphates, the signs and symptoms,
- specifically miosis and foaming, are consistent 3
- with organophosphates, you differ with that 4
- opinion. Is there any possibility that you, being
- 6 the outlier, is wrong?

7

18

- Well, I don't think I'm the outlier.
- What you're saying is you said that they have 8
- miosis and foaming of the mouth. And we already 9
- discussed that those too can present in heat 10
- illness and in organophosphate. I think we're 11 12 saying the same thing.
- Q. Okay. Then let me go with that, then. 13
- You're saying now to this jury that miosis and 14
- foaming is consistent with organophosphates? 15
- No. That's not what I said. I said that 16 Α.
- it can present in organophosphate and heat illness. 17
 - Okay. I don't want to play semantics.
- My question to you is, is it now your testimony 19
- that miosis, pinpoint pupils, and foaming is 20
- consistent with organophosphate as well as heat 21
- illnesses? 22
- 23 Α. Yes.
- 24 Q. Okay. So since it's consistent with
- organophosphates as well as heat illnesses, you 25

- can't really testify, Doctor, that the signs and
- 2 symptoms are all inconsistent with
- 3 organophosphates; correct?
- A. Well, yes, I can. Because it's a big 4
- picture. That comes down to if you look at -- it's 5
- like looking at one little speck of the big 6
- picture. And what we discussed thoughtfully a 7
- little while ago was what kills people with 8
- organophosphate poisoning. And that's what --9
- 10 that's what we're talking about, people that are
- dying or really, really sick. And that's because 11
- they, essentially, drown. 12
- And when I looked at these cases, we have 13
- several cases of people that lived and died that 14
- were stuck on their back with a mask on their face. 15
- Now, if you've got a mouthful of water and that's 16
- 17 going to keep going, you're going to kill these
- patients. You're not going to help them. And 18
- 19 that's the big difference.
- I go back to the literature. This is a 20
- clinical diagnosis. You have to look at clinically 21 what the presentation was. And that -- these 22
- people didn't have those symptoms. 23
- So there is a lot of overlap between heat 24 illness and organophosphate poisoning and the flu, 25

8

13

24

4

5

142

- a million things. I mean, malaise. I feel some 2 malaise after being on the stand too long.
 - Q. Me too.

3

5

6

7

13

15

16

Dr. Dickson, let me -- let me --

- Well, I just want to finish. This is something we have to look at. You've got overlapping -- you've got overlapping symptoms that are in tons of things. And so you need to look 8 down at the big picture, what can you look 9 10 clinically that's going to say this is
- 11 organophosphates, this is something else, like heat 12 illness.
- And these guys did a great job. They 14 even called the toxicologist. And the toxicologist went with, well, it doesn't sound like organophosphates. They are not --
- 17 I'm sorry. Let me stop you there. Where 18 in the evidence do you see somebody calling a 19 toxicologist who said it's not consistent with 20 organophosphates?
- 21 A. Well, they said actually -- they said, well, it still could be carbon monoxide poisoning. 22
- 23 Q. Dr. Dickson, you just told the jury --
- 24 A. Yeah.
- 25 Q. You just told the jury that you looked in
 - the records and you saw that they were thoughtful
- and did a great job because they also called the 2
- toxicologist who said not consistent with
- 4 organophosphates.

7

8

9

15

25

- 5 Show me where in the evidence that 6 appears.
- No, I don't -- well, if I said that --Α. what they went with is they looked at all the differential diagnosis. They looked at anticholinergic. They looked at toxidromes. And 10 they called -- this is what I would do. They 11 12 called the specialist. Look in the book, and say, 13 well, what do you think? We've got these
- constellation of symptoms, and we're trying to put 14

them into a box. What could it be?

- 16 So when you just told the jury less than a minute ago that you looked at the records and you 17 18 saw evidence they called the toxicologist who said
- not organophosphates, that was wrong; correct? 19
- 20 Α. Well, they said consider carbon monoxide. So they --21
- 22 Q. Dr. Dickson, please answer my question.
- 23 Α. Okav.
- 24 Q. And then we can go to lunch.
 - Α. Okay. That was wrong.

- Q. My question is this --1
- 2 Α. I misspoke.
- 3 Q. It was wrong; right?
- 4 Α. I misspoke.
- It was wrong. It's not anywhere in the 5 Q.
- evidence; is that right? 6
 - Do you want me to read it to you? Α.
 - Dr. Dickson, if you believe it's in the
- evidence where somebody called a toxicologist who 9 said it's not organophosphates, please do that. 10
- A. That's not in the evidence. It says they 11 called, and they said, well, probably it sounds 12
- 14 So what I'm trying to have the jury
- understand, because it's really important, 15

like carbon monoxide poisoning.

- especially coming from an expert trying to educate 16
- the jury from what the evidence is of medical cause 17
- in this case, that you be accurate; right? 18
- 19 Α. Absolutely.
- That you don't overreach; right? 20 Q.
- 21 Α. Absolutely.
- 22 Q. And that you aren't flippant in your
- 23 answers; right?
 - Α. Definitely.
- 25 Q. Okay. There's nothing in the evidence

that supports what you just testified to --

- correct? -- regarding a toxicologist who said it's 2
- not organophosphates? 3
 - Can I read it to you? Α.
 - We're going to go back there. You just Q.
- told me it's not in the evidence; right?
- 7 Yes. They did not say organophosphates were ruled out. They said it was likely carbon 8 monoxide could still be the possibility. 9

But what you do -- I guess it's an error 10 of omission -- you discuss what are the toxidromes, 11

and you go through them. Is it anticholinergic? 12

Is it a stimulant? Is it cholinergic? Is it 13

14 carbon monoxide?

- They go through it -- and this is the way 15 it works -- and you go with, well, sounds like 16
- carbon monoxide. That's the one they were going 17
- with. And it was throughout the whole -- the whole 18 medical record they were thinking carbon monoxide,
- 19 which is a great thought. And they ruled it out. 20
- And they just go through checking off the different 21
- possibilities. 22 Q. Okay. Now, that we've corrected that 23
- piece of testimony that was wrong, my question to 24 you is this. Okay? You reviewed hypothetically

8

9

16

3

9

146

everything that Dr. Mosley and Jr. Lyon had at the time they reached their autopsy conclusions; right?

A. Hypothetically. Yes.

Q. Hypothetically. And you've now heard hypothetically that Dr. Lyon is only certain to 1 percent better than a coin toss, 51/49, that it

7 was heat stroke; right?

A. Okay.

Q. Right?

10 A. Correct. I've heard that.

Q. And your conclusion that it's 99 percent 11 heat stroke is based on his conclusion in part; 12

13 right?

3

4

8

9

25

3

4

5

11

13

15

18

19

14 A. In part, yes.

15 Q. Okay. Same thing with Dr. Mosley and

16 Dr. Cutshall. Now, you're reviewing the same thing

that they have, but you've reached a very different 17

conclusion in the sense that they say they cannot 18

19 rule out organophosphates conclusively and that

there are signs and symptoms consistent with 20

21 organophosphates.

22 When you say somebody is "Monday

23 quarterbacking," isn't it you that's Monday

24 quarterbacking here?

A. This is absolutely the -- this is the

time where you get to look at all of this. It's all Monday morning quarterbacking.

Q. Isn't it possible, Dr. Dickson, that the doctors who treated the patients, the doctors who autopsied the decedents, would actually know better

than somebody who's just reviewing the cold record? 6 7 A. Could be.

Q. So can you concede the possibility that 8 perhaps one doctor against four, that one doctor is 9

10 wrong?

A. I still don't see how it's one versus

four. 12

Q. Hypothetically.

A. Hypothetically. Hypothetically what? 14

Q. Hypothetically you're the only one with

this conclusion that is different from 16

17 Dr. Cutshall, Lyon, and Mosley?

A. Hypothetically pigs can fly, but I'm not going to concede that.

20 Q. You understand in this case that certain questions have to be formed in a hypothetical; 21

right? 22

23 A. I'm not a lawyer. I don't know.

24 Q. All right.

A. I'm sorry. 25

Q. I'll just represent to you that's the way

it's done. The jury has heard the testimony. 2

My question to you is, since you're

Monday quarterbacking the doctors who treated these 4

patients, who autopsied them, whose opinion that

was offered on the witness stand differs from

yours, isn't it possible that you're wrong? 7

A. Well --

Q. Isn't possible that you're wrong?

A. That comes back to the question of 10 possible. When you brought that to these 11

patients -- these people cannot rule out 12

exclusively or -- is that -- what was the word you 13

used? These doctors could not rule out 14

15 organophosphates --

Q. With any certainty or conclusively.

Conclusively. I guess I'm not ruling it 17 out conclusively because I'm saying 99 percent of 18 the time. Conclusive is something that's not 19 available in this diagnosis. It's not. 20

Q. So what you're telling the jury is that 21

it is possible that this was a case of 22

23 organophosphates?

A. There -- there's is 99 percent sure that 24

it's heat illness. And there's always a 1 percent.

148

1 Q. So you have a 1 percent possibility; is 2 that right?

A. That's what I said.

Q. Okay. Now, if these doctors who treated 4 the patient and autopsied them have a different 5 opinion, would you defer to them? 6

A. I'd love to talk to them about it, see if 7 they have any --8

Q. You could have, but you didn't; right?

I didn't know I was offered that Α. 10 actually. 11

Q. You didn't know you could call them? 12

13 Α. No idea.

Q. Okay. So, final question, Doctor. Isn't 14 it possible, since you're Monday quarterbacking, 15

don't have the personal hands-on experience in the 16

case investigation, that you're the one who's 17

wrong? 18

Again, I don't think we're on different 19 pages. All their evidence that I have here says 20 21 we're on the same page.

So it's possible that you're wrong?

I'm not saying it's possible that I'm 23

24 wrong.

Okay. So we're back to you being the

22

1 outlier; right?

- 2 Α. I don't see that.
- 3 Q. You don't see that up on the easel?
 - Α. Are we going to do this all day long?
 - Q.
- Right? Looking at the easel, you are the
- 7 outlier?
- 8 A. I -- I disagree with you.
- 9 Q. All right. Thank you, Doctor.
- 10 A. Thank you.
- 11 **Q.** I appreciate your patience.
- 12 THE COURT: Mr. Hughes.
- 13 MR. HUGHES: Thank you, Your Honor.
- 14 REDIRECT EXAMINATION
- BY MR. HUGHES: 15
- 16 Q. Doctor, I apologize. We've got a lot of
- 17 ground to cover. But one thing I wanted to ask
- before we break for lunch, you were asked some 18
- 19 questions about Mr. Ray's medical records and the
- 20 consultation with the toxicologist.
- 21 Do you recall that?
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. That was more recently. I'll kind of 23
- 24 work my way backwards.
- 25 Okay.

- 150
- Do you have the exhibit in front of you 1 Q.
- that contains Dr. Ray's medical records? And I
- know you have your own copy, but I want to find out
- if you have the exhibit itself, which is 4
- Exhibit 213. 5
- 6 MS. DO: Your Honor, at this time will counsel
- 7 agree to mark the CD that's dated
- December 16th, 2010? I'd like to move it into 8
- 9 evidence.
- 10 MR. HUGHES: I have no objection.
- THE COURT: Okay. If there's no objection, 11
- then we'll mark that. 12
- 13 MR. HUGHES: Is this the CD you got from
- 14 Dr. Dickson?
- 15 MS. DO: Yes.
- 16 MR. HUGHES: Okay. I don't have any objection
- 17
- 18 THE COURT: 1017, then, will be marked and
- 19 admitted.
- 20 (Exhibit 1017 admitted.)
- 21 BY MR. HUGHES: And, Doctor, would you --
- 22 on your copy -- is it correct your copy does not
- have Bates numbers on the bottom corner? 23
- 24 A. It does not.
- 25 Q. Okay. Let me show you the record --

- well, I'll put it up here. 1
- 2 Α. Okay.
 - There's multiple-page records starting Q.
- Bates No. 7092, which is the emergency department 4
- evaluation that Ms. Do had asked you some questions
- 6 about.

3

7

8

- A. Yes.
- Turning to the next page, which it Q.
- continues on to, which would be Bates No. 7093, is 9
- there some discussion about contacting medical -- a 10
- 11 medical poison control?
- Yes. And this is where I came to you all 12 Α.
- with the evidence that they were thoughtful about 13
- 14 this.

15

18

19

- And I'm going to ask you a question. Q.
- Have you prepared similar reports to this report in 16
- your career as an emergency department doctor? 17
 - Α. Similar reports to --
 - What's the purpose of preparing a report
- like this for an emergency department doctor? 20
- As a medical record and as to show to the 21
- next doctor, the ER doc. You're going to have an 22
- admitting doctor. They want to know what happened 23
- to get that information carried along. And then, 24
- of course, if it ends up in a place like this, you 25
- 152

- know what happens. 1
- 2 And if -- if you -- have you had the Q.
- opportunity in your career to speak to poison
- 4 control?

5

- A. Quite frequently.
- And these -- what sort of a person at 6 Q.
- poison control does one -- does an emergency doctor 7
- speak to? 8
- 9 A. They have a myriad of people that are
- working there. Some techs, Ph.D.s. They have the 10
- residents sometimes that are in the toxicology 11
- fellowships. They have always had a specifically 12
- board certified toxicologist on call to actually 13
- 14 discuss the case with.
- Q. And you gave the opinion that you believe 15
- that the toxicologist at poison control had -- had 16
- considered and ruled out that there could be some 17
- sort of an organophosphate or cholinergic poisoning 18
- in this case? 19
 - Α. Yes.
 - Q. What's that opinion based upon?
 - Well, it's based upon when you call the
- poison control, you go through the signs and 23
- symptoms, and you go through it with somebody who 24
- does this all the time, and check off the boxes. 25

20

21

```
Is it anticholinergic? Well, it wesn't fit. Is
2
   it cholinergic? No. It doesn't fit. Is this a
3
   stimulant? Is it -- did they overdose on heroine?
             You go through the toxidromes with them
   to try to find a possibility to adjust your
6
   treatment.
7
        Q.
             And when you're preparing a report like
   this, would you note in the report all the
8
```

9 toxidromes that the toxicologist and you had ruled 10 out? 11

Α. No. You wouldn't go through them all.

12 What would you try and note as

significant in your report? 13

14

15

16

17

18

A. Mostly what their -- what the working diagnosis is. So, basically, well, you've gone through them and the most likely cause at that time you would go with.

MS. DO: Your Honor, I have to object to this line of questioning unless it's made clear that the 19 doctor is talking about what he would do, not what 20 the Dr. Boyer, Arizona poison control, in fact, 21 22 did. 23 THE COURT: Just to clarify the question,

24 please, Mr. Hughes.

25

MR. HUGHES: Okay. I apologize. I thought it

1 was clear.

2

4

5

6

7

18

21

25

Doctor, I'm asking in your particular Q. case when you prepare reports such as this, do you note all the things that the -- do you put a transcript, if you would, of what you and the doctor at the poison control talk about?

Α. No, we don't.

8 Q. What is significant for you, as an emergency department doctor, to put into an 9 10 emergency department record?

> The working diagnosis. Α.

11 And in this particular case -- again, 12 13 referring to Bates 7093 -- does this indicate that

14 Dr. Daniel, the emergency department doctor,

considered a whole host of things, including carbon 15

monoxide poisoning, cyanide poisoning, anoxia, 16

hyperthermia, toxidromes? 17

> Α. Yes.

19 Q. And later on it talks about

20 anticholinergic toxidrome?

> A. Correct.

22 Q. And something about cyanide poisoning

23 later on?

24 A. Correct.

> Q. And this indicates -- does this indicate

that this -- that Dr. Daniel spoke at some length 1

with Dr. Boyer down at the poison control?

MS. DO: Objection. Calls for speculation. 3

The document speaks for itself. 4

THE COURT: It's -- it's noting a particular 5

passage, I believe, Mr. Hughes, so --6

7 MR. HUGHES: It is noting a passage. Yeah.

THE COURT: Overruled.

9 You may answer that, Dr. Dickson.

THE WITNESS: Yes. It does show that they had 10 a good, diligent working on this patient and going 11

through the diagnosis possibilities. 12

MR. HUGHES: Your Honor, would you like me to 13

14 break here for lunch?

THE COURT: Yes. We'll do that.

Ladies and gentlemen, we'll take the noon 16

recess. Please remember the admonition. Please be 17

back in the jury room by 20 after -- 20 after 1:00. 18

And I'm going to ask the parties remain. 19

Dr. Dickson, you're excused at this time 20

21 too.

2

8

15

22 THE WITNESS: Thank you, sir.

THE COURT: Parties, please remain a moment. 23

24 (Proceedings continued outside presence

of jury.)

154 MS. DO: May I raise one issue? 1

THE COURT: Yes.

3 MS. DO: Thank you.

That CD that we marked as an exhibit, the 4

only thing that needs to be redacted from it is I 5

understand that the doctor had received the medical 6

records of Daniel P. and the witness supplements, 7

which are all hearsay. And I didn't want to do 8

9 that in front of the jury.

But subject to that, then, I ask the 10

11 Court to receive it into evidence.

MR. HUGHES: Your Honor, the parties already 12

stipulated it should be admitted as evidence. And 13

Ms. Do had been provided a copy of the CD. One 14

reason I agreed to have it in is it does have all 15

the information that the doctor relied upon, 16

17 including witness statements.

And so, again, Your Honor, Ms. Do moved 18

the exhibit into evidence. I don't know if she's 19

now objecting to the exhibit that she moved into 20

evidence. But it's -- it's been admitted. And the 21

reason I did not object to it, again, is because it 22

contains all the information, including the witness 23

24 statements, that the doctor relied upon.

MS. DO: Well, I think it was clear from my 25

Page 153 to 156 of 344

1 line of questioning, Your Honor, that the reason 2 why it was relevant had to do with Stephen Ray's 3 medical records. I think that the Court does have authority and discretion and the prerogative to make sure that the jury doesn't get inadmissible 6 evidence.

7

11

15

And I didn't want to make that known to the jury at the time that I moved it because then 8 it would essentially tell the jury that there's 10 inadmissible evidence on that CD.

I don't really think that Mr. Hughes is 12 trying to say now that it should go to the jury. Daniel P.'s medical records are the hearsay 13 14 statements.

THE COURT: I'm going to direct the parties to 16 talk about it because that's not what I'm going to 17 talk about right now. I'll make a ruling on that 18 if you don't have it worked out. But I'll expect that you would. 19

20 With regard to the trial days, the 21 original minute entry said June 10th. And there's 22 nine trial days, not including today, until 23 June 10th. However, when I originally had the 24 trial set and the state asked for a certain number 25 of days and I said 65, there had not been the

request for the hiatus that's going to start this 2 Friday.

So what Diane did, and I think it's quite 3 logical, is add those days back on that were -- you 4 know -- later designated as the time out of trial that Mr. Li had specifically requested. I think 6 7 Mr. Kelly had an interest in one of the days at the 8 start.

And those days were just added on. When 9 they're added on, it would be until June 21st. And 10 that allows 14 days of trial going to June 20 --11 12 21st.

13 We do need to address, I think, the issue 14 with -- and I'll just say that by number, 15 Juror No. 10 -- because of the specific request. 16 And I don't know what to say to that juror to get 17 your -- the note there. But I'm pretty sure -- and 18 you can verify this is -- but this is the juror who 19 during voir dire made very clear these plans were 20 made -- important plans.

21 And I'll ask for the attorneys -- I'll 22 ask Mr. Hughes and Ms. Polk. I think it should be addressed. And I'll just -- I want your thoughts 23 on that. If you want to think about it and tell me 24 after -- you know -- after lunch, we can do it that

1 way. And same with the defense obviously too.

MR. HUGHES: Your Honor, we don't have a copy 2 3 of the note in front of us, but I believe the juror expressed that the juror was going to be doing a --

what appeared to be a relatively short vacation

over a certain number of days.

7 THE COURT: It was the 16th and 17th, I think. A Thursday and Friday of that week.

MR. HUGHES: And, Your Honor, I -- given the 9 10 fact that it is such a relatively short period of time, the state would have no opposition to, 11

12 essentially, recessing for those two days to allow 13 the juror to -- to take the trip and then come

back. 14

17

158

THE COURT: Well, my thinking is the trial 15 just simply should be completed by the 21st.

Mr. Li.

MR. LI: Your Honor, we just want to get the 18 note. Let us think about it over lunch and then 19 20 we'll have a response.

Your Honor, just one quick issue while we 21 have you here. There's going to be a witness 22 that's going to testify -- Sergeant Barbaro who's 23 with the Yavapai County Sheriff's Office. He --24

there are two issues that I've raised with Ms. Polk

about his anticipated testimony. One we've reached agreement on, the other one I'd ask for some

direction from the Court. 3

4 The first issue that we reached agreement on is apparently in his report he says something to 5 the effect of -- you know -- when he showed up at the scene, he heard that Mr. Ray was sitting in his 7 room and having a sandwich or something like that. That's clearly hearsay. It's also subject to 403 9

10 issues. 11 Ms. Polk has graciously agreed not to elicit that testimony and also to instruct the 12 witness not to blurt it out. And so I would -- I 13

just want to put that on the record. 14

15 The other issue is apparently this 16 witness has written down that while -- when he approached the scene, various of the Dream Team 17 members were in his way and not helping him and 18 impeding his progress in speaking to various other 19 20 participants.

We would move to exclude that testimony 21 22 because there's no evidence at all that Mr. Ray has anything to do with that. In fact, Mr. Ray is not 23 on the scene at the time this witness is observing 24 this particular thing. We think it has substantial 25

403 issues. 1

2

7

8

9

10

11

It's completely irrelevant to what actually happened, and it's just -- you know -- the 3 only purpose would be to intend to suggest that there's some sort of malfeasance on the part of Mr. Ray, who has literally nothing to do with this. And maybe I've not been clear, but

basically --

THE COURT: I got the picture of what you're suggesting. I think at least --

If I could ask Ms. Polk to address that, please.

12 13 MS. POLK: Your Honor, what Sergeant Barbaro 14 will testify to is that when he arrived at the 15 scene, as he's trying to find out information about what happened, Dream Team members and someone he 16 17 refers to as Jason, who I believe is Josh 18 Fredrickson -- that they are coming up and trying 19 to get people that the Sergeant is talking to, they're trying to get them to go up to their room. 20

And he feels that it's interfering because he's 21 22 trying to get information. The Court and the jury already heard 23 24 testimony from Debbie Mercer that the defendant --

as EMS was arriving, the defendant was directing 25

people to go up to their room. This information is

consistent with what the jury has already heard.

3 And it's going to be the Sergeant's testimony simply that when he's trying to talk to 4 these people, that people in blue shirts are coming 5 6 up and trying to get participants to go up to their

7 room. 8

1

2

9

10

11

17

MR. LI: And -- and the objection is that there's some suggestion of some kind of obstructive behavior. And that's -- I mean, when -- when we interviewed him a few Fridays ago, it wasn't -- the

12 testimony was not simply just the way Ms. Polk is

13 laying it out there. It was a little more

14 suggestion of -- you know -- obstructive behavior. 15

And assuming for a second that any of this is true, which we don't concede, but even if 16 it were true, there's no possible way that particular behavior can be attributed to Mr. Ray

18

19 who, by this own witness's testimony, is not at

20 the -- at the site at the time this guy arrives. 21

So any information that he would have about that is either speculative or based on some 22 sort of hearsay. Any information that would 23

attribute any of that alleged behavior to Mr. Ray 24

25 would be hearsay or speculative.

And because of that, the 403 concerns 1

2 are -- are extraordinary important because it does

suggest that there's some attempt to obstruct 3

this -- this person -- this detective from 4

conducting his investigation. 5

6 MS. POLK: Your Honor, the facts are the facts. The jury has already heard that Mr. Ray 7

instructed participants who could to get up to 8

their rooms. And what they'll hear from the 9

Sergeant is, essentially, the same thing. 10

11 When he's trying to interview participants, that people in blue shirts are coming 12

up and trying to get them to go up to their rooms. 13 He did feel that they were obstructing.

14 And he also -- Josh -- this person named Jason, who 15

the jury will hear a little bit more about, also 16

was following the -- Sergeant Barbaro around. 17

Sergeant Barbaro will testify he turned around, 18

this person named Jason was right there until he 19

finally had to tell Jason to back off so that he 20

21 could do his job.

THE COURT: And how would that relate to basic 22 issues of causation and how everything happened? 23

I -- I've indicated before in terms of testimony 24

regarding Mr. Ray and as the incident actually was 25

162

5

completing -- I mean, through the whole incident,

2 just testimony describing the -- the scene, the --

how -- how people described demeanor with regard to 3

Mr. Ray, those kinds of things being pertinent. 4

But the relevance for actual causation

and having proof of the offense post -- in this 6

case, essentially, postincident -- and I know the 7

incident is -- the way I've described it includes 8

that. But the effect might be more postincident 9

conduct that isn't even Mr. Ray's apparently. So 10

that -- that's -- that's the relevant concern, 11

12 Ms. Polk.

I mean, I do have an interest in having 13 the jury get an understanding of what happened. I 14 think that's important in any case. But this I'm 15 having some trouble with when it's not -- it's --16 what I'm hearing. I'm just going with how the 17 evidence is being relayed to me. It's not being 18 something right from Mr. Ray. And then you get 19 into that danger of the jury taking it that way. 20

So if you'd address that, please.

21 22 MS. POLK: Your Honor, first of all, this is one -- this is one of the first representatives 23 from the sheriff's office to arrive at the scene. 24 This is not after. This is the scene is still

1 going on.

2

7

8

10

11

12

18

And what the jury has already heard is 3 that Mr. Ray told participants to go back to their room. The Dream Team members -- Mr. Ray then goes 5 back to his room. And the Dream Team members then are trying to get participants to go back to their room.

So it's not -- it corroborates what the 9 testimony of a witness, that Mr. Ray had instructed people to go back to their room. And it just is what's happening at the scene.

This is an officer who's trying to find 13 out what happened, trying to triage, trying to 14 figure out how to get information out there to --15 to first responders who need the information. And 16 the people he's trying to talk to are being told to 17 go back to their room.

MR. LI: Your Honor, just --

19 MS. POLK: It's relevant -- it's the scene.

20 It's relevant simply because it is the scene. And

21 then it's also relevant because it goes to the --

22 the -- again, what the jury has already heard is

23 that Mr. Ray is telling people to leave this scene

24 as first responders and law enforcement is

25 arriving.

166

1 MR. LI: Your Honor, just for the record, the Sergeant arrives almost an hour after 9-1-1 is 3 called. And there are other detectives on scene, and the EMTs have been there, I believe, since I 4 5 think 5:22 or something like that. They've been there for quite some time. Some people have even 6 7 been transported from the scene.

As the Court may recall -- what I'm 8 9 concerned about is this: It's entirely possible 10 that somebody would say, hey, look. Everybody 11 who's not sick, get off the scene so that the EMTs 12 can do their work. That's a completely normal --THE COURT: And that's really a different

13 14 issue. It's the -- he believed it was obstructing. 15 I mean, that's the problem with the -- with the 16 testimony. And to try to convey that and then it would not be, as I said, directly from Mr. Ray in 17 18 any event from what I'm hearing.

19 All right. The first interview was done 20 just recently? Is that --

MR. LI: That's correct. I mean, it's in his report, though. This is in his report. And -- and 22

listen, Your Honor, we would -- there were, I 23

24 think, 200 some-odd witnesses at -- at various

times. I think at times --25

21

THE COURT: I'm not -- I'm just wondering when 1 this all came about, when the -- when the issue 3 arises.

4 MR. LI: Some of these issues, Your Honor, to be perfectly honest, we don't want to deluge the 5 Court with endless amounts of paper. Some of -you know -- on the more substantive issues that we 7 feel have to do with the constitutional issues and 8 what have you that we've been arguing in the 9 courtroom, we want to put in writing. But some of 10

these issues seem to be fairly straightforward 11 12 evidentiary rules. 13 And on this one, the reality of it is

there's no evidence Mr. Ray has anything to do with 14 these purported conversations or this detective -or this Sergeant's feeling that there was 16 obstructive behavior. 17

And then, secondly -- you know -- he's --18 19 he's not even at the scene.

20 THE COURT: Here's the -- here's the ruling: There have been suggestions that the 21 investigation was not proper, however you want to 22

phrase it, or wasn't done completely. What has to 23

24 be avoided is any suggestion that -- or just

speculation that somehow obstructing. But if there 25

is real evidence -- I'm talking about 602, personal

knowledge evidence, as someone is coming up to do 2

If there's some suggestion -- and I think

3 an interview and somebody else is asking to go to

the room and it's just presented factually, that 4

5 can be done.

6

it's a fairly serious matter, Mr. Li. If it goes 7 further say -- and the witness were to say, oh, 8

this person was obstructing me, like that, just 9

10 make that leap, that could be a real problem.

MR. LI: Problem for who, Your Honor? 11

12 THE COURT: For the state.

13 MR. LI: For the state.

THE COURT: Were to suggest that --14

MR. LI: Mistrial. 15

THE COURT: I'm saying it could be -- yes. I 16 mean, when you talk about real problems, that's 17 18 one --

MR. LI: I just want to make sure.

THE COURT: But in terms of describing what's 20 happening and trying to investigate, and if it's 21 22

made clear and if there's -- if there's a

suggestion that it's coming from Mr. Ray when 23

there's not that kind of evidence, those kinds of 24

things can present real issues. I'm saying that. 25

168

But when people are arriving at the scene and they're investigating and things happen, how can you not present a factual story to the jury? MR. LI: Because -- Your Honor, because all 5 this guy does is he, basically, sets out the perimeter and he tries to figure out what's going on. And he directs people in various -- you 7 know -- directs police officers to do various things.

So it's not that he's -- there's no 11 relevance in particular of whatever. He also takes 12 the statements from Mr. Ray. And -- and they're 13 going to make some suggestion that Mr. Ray was not 14 being truthful to him. But, in fact, that's not

15 the case.

1

3

4

9

10

25

16 So -- so they have test -- there's no 17 relevance to what the Dream Team members are doing. 18 There's no -- there's no relevance at all. And the 19 risk, Your Honor, is just the prejudice. Because 20 even if we carefully craft this sort of set of 21 questions to bring out -- to elicit this sort of 22 evidence, the suggestion will hang in the air that 23 somehow Mr. Ray had any responsibility for that, 24 which he doesn't.

THE COURT: And you raise a defense. Part of

1 the defense is the investigation, what's getting done in getting in the investigation. And you talk

3 about crafting. And I -- you know -- I said many

times, like any judge would, you want relevant, 4

5 admissible evidence to go to the jury for them to

6 make their decision.

7 To just leave that out as part of the picture, I don't know that that's -- that's correct 8 9 either.

10 MR. LI: But I don't under -- Your Honor, with 11 all due respect.

12 THE COURT: Don't worry --

13 MR. LI: I don't understand what the

14 relevance --

15 THE COURT: Let's not talk over each other.

16 Mina's had a long day already.

17 MR. LI: I simply do not understand the 18 relevance of what the Dream Team members are doing.

19 Obviously the state through their detectives were

able to take mass statements from everybody, both 20

at the scene, they organized them up into the 21

dining hall. They did all of those sorts of 22

23 things.

24 The issues that we've had with the

25 investigation, Your Honor -- I mean, I think it's

been fairly straightforward -- have been forensic

issues. And you didn't follow up on various clues

that were present at various times. It's not that

you didn't interview 200, 300 people. They did 4

interview 200, 300 people. Detective Diskin on the

stand said he did several hundred hours worth of

7 interviews.

The point is that this will hang in the 8

air as if the Dream Team members were, one, trying

10 to obstruct; and, two, that Mr. Ray had something

to do with that. He didn't. It's entirely normal, 11

12 Your Honor.

If there's a casualty incident, and 13 people who are hurt and people who are not hurt, to 14 send the people who are not hurt away from the area 15

where the people are hurt. 16

We don't want to look -- you know --17 people hanging over a medical scene. Let the --18 19 let the medical folks do what they're supposed to 20 do.

21 The problem is that the state has insinuated that somehow this was an effort to -- to 22

go -- you know -- hide people. Where are they 23

24 going to go?

25

5

8

14

15

THE COURT: If the state characterizes it in

170 that fashion, that -- that's a problem. They're

not saying they're going to do that. As I

understand it, Ms. Polk presented factually.

4 Is that correct?

MS. POLK: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: So that's the ruling. 6

7 Thank you.

(Recess.)

(Proceedings continued in the presence of 9

10 jury.)

THE COURT: The record will show the 11

presence of Mr. Ray, the attorneys, and the jury. 12

Dr. Dickson has returned to the stand. 13

Mr. Hughes.

MR. HUGHES: Thank you.

16 Q. Good afternoon, Doctor. You were asked a couple questions by Ms. Do regarding -- you were 17

asked some questions about your qualifications and 18

asked, excluding the moonlighting that you'd done, 19

the -- the live patients that you've treated in 20

Yuma was from 2004 to the present date. Is that 21

22 correct?

23 Α.

What did you mean by "moonlighting"? 24 Q.

Moonlighting is when you are in

2

6

8

12

16

- residency. You are a licensed physician, but
 you're not board certified. And there are places
- that allow you to work there, I guess, to make a
- 4 little money as a side job.5 As a resident you

7

14

15

1

7

8

9

As a resident you're underpaid and overworked. And it's nice to make money on the side. And that's what I did.

- Q. And can you tell us where youmoonlighted.
- 10 A. The emergency department was Tulare11 Regional Medical Center in Tulare, California.
- Q. And what did you do at the medical centerin Tulare?
 - A. The attending emergency medicine doctor.
 - Q. And how long did you do that for?
- 16 A. I did that for my fourth year of 17 residency, so 2003 to 2004.
- Q. And you were asked about whether you hadexperience in forensic pathology. And you
- 20 mentioned something about nonforensic pathology.21 Can you tell us what the difference is between
- 21 Can you tell us what the difference is between 22 those two.
- A. That's a good question. I mean, we take pathology rotations, meaning we spend a month in medical school working with pathologists doing
 - autopsies, looking at microscopes. Pathology
- 2 involves more than autopsies. It's looking at
- 3 slides. People that have things like cancers, if
- 4 they surgically have them removed, we look at it
- 5 under the slide to see if a mass is cancerous or
- 6 not.

That's the type of pathology -- I guess it would be called "clinical pathology" than forensic, which is different.

- Q. And Ms. Do had asked you if you would -in determining the cause of death if you would
 defer to medical examiners. Do you remember being
 asked that?
- 14 A. Yes.
- Q. Now, assuming a medical examiner's testified that in cases involving heat stroke there's no specific finding that you would find in an autopsy to determine heat -- death by heat stroke.

Assuming they testified that they would
look at the surrounding medical records and the
facts and circumstances surrounding the exposure to

- 23 whatever caused the illness, is that something
- 24 similar to what you would do or what you did in
- 25 this case to determining cause of death for

- 1 Ms. Neuman, Pros. Brown, and Mr. Shore?
 - A. Yes.
- 3 Q. And you were asked a question about
- doctors having the advantage of having had hands onthe patient.
 - Do you recall that?
- 7 A. Yes.
 - Q. And in this particular case, is it
- 9 correct that you did not personally treat any of
- 10 the 18 people who went to any of the -- the
- 11 hospitals in this case?

Α.

A. That is correct.

Yes.

- **Q.** Did you have the opportunity, though, of
- 14 reviewing the medical records of all those 18
- 15 people?
- 17 Q. Do you know if Dr. Cutshall reviewed
- 18 the -- the emergency doctor from the -- the
- 19 hospital. Do you know if he had the opportunity of
- 20 reviewing the medical records for all the 18
- 21 patients?
- 22 A. I don't know.
- 23 Q. Do you know -- if Dr. Lyon, for example,
- 24 testified that he hadn't looked at the medical
- 25 records of anybody other than the -- the patients
- 174

 1 he did the autopsies on, would you believe that you
 - 2 would be at -- at least as good if not a better
 - 3 physician as Dr. Lyon in determining cause of death
 - 4 in this case?

5

- A. We talked a little earlier. This is a
- 6 clinical diagnosis. There isn't a test for -- for
- 7 heat illness. That's something you got to look at
- 8 the physical presentation. So I would say yes.
- 9 Q. And if Dr. Mosley testified he looked at
- 10 the medical records of Ms. Neuman and maybe one or
- 11 two other patients, would you have the same
- 12 opinion?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. You were asked about being retained in
- 15 this case. Did you enter into a retainer
- 16 agreement?

17

- A. Yes
- 18 Q. And did the agreement specify whether or
- 19 not you were to reach an independent conclusion in
- 20 the case?
- 21 A. Yes. It said for me to come up with an
- 22 independent conclusion.
- 23 Q. Did anybody suggest to you what opinion
- 24 you should make in this case?
 - A. No.

8

- 1 Would you have -- would you have followed 2 such a suggestion if it had been made?
 - Α. Nο

3

8

11

- You were asked some questions about a difference of opinion that -- between Dr. Lyon and Dr. Mosley as to whether to call deaths as a result of heat stroke versus hyperthermia. 7
 - Α. Uh-huh.
- 9 Q. Would that semantical difference affect your opinion in the case? 10
 - Α. No.
- Q. 12 Can you -- do you -- do you see a difference between hyperthermia and heat stroke 13 with respect to cause of death? 14
- A. In this case, no. 15
- 16 You were asked whether you would refer -defer to Dr. Paul, a person who may testify for the 17 defendant. Were you asked about that? 18
- 19 A. Yes.
- Q. If Dr. Paul were to testify that he's 20 21 never actually treated a patient who had heat stroke, is that something you would consider in 22 23 determining whether you would defer to him or not?
- 24 A. Yes.
- Q. 25 And you talked a little bit about

178

- Dr. Paul's report. And I believe you told Ms. Do
- that you believed it showed a misunderstanding of heat stroke. 3
- 4 Α. Yes.

statement?

8

9

10

13

14

- Q. And I think you -- you wanted to get back 5 to that topic. Can you explain your opinion as to 7 what the misunderstanding was or why you made that
- A. Yes. In his report -- I can read it verbatim if you'd like. But it went to discussing heat stroke. He broke up heat exhaustion and heat 11 stroke, like we had talked about. But he put into 12 his pile of mild symptoms people being -- having
- If any of you all of a sudden were 15 unconscious, I would definitely not consider that a 16 mild symptom, the change in mental status. 17
- Understanding that line of heat exhaustion to heat 18
- stroke is crossed when you start having that change 19
- in mental status. And that's the key in that. 20

syncope. Syncope is when you pass out.

- 21 That's one area where it was quite clear his
- understanding of -- of heat illness is inadequate. 22
- My other concern is -- we've already 23 24 brought this up. It's temperature. Patients, as
- 25 we talked before, cool quickly. We all cool

- ere's a stick of a number. And we quickly. But 1 talked a little about whether it's 104 or 106.
- That number is, as we talked about, a rectal 3
- temperature and that -- don't have to have that 4 number to make the diagnosis. 5

And those are two of the big things that are concerning in his report of his understanding 7 of heat illness.

- And can you explain why it is you don't 9 have to have that number to make a diagnosis. 10
- Well, that's the thing is people cool off 11 quickly. When we try to cool people down in the 12 emergency department, we put them in a cool 13 environment, basically, less than 70 degrees. We 14 wet them down, and their temperatures drop 15 dramatically, a degree centigrade every five 16 minutes. 17

So what you find in these patients is 18 that most of the time when you get -- by the time 19 they get to our emergency department, they're cool, 20 if not actually hypothermic. They're actually 21 cold. 22

The ones that are still have any warmth, 23 even 101, for example, 102, that's a bad sign. 24

Because they've actually -- they're still warm 25

180

- despite the, whatever, hour, half hour it takes to get to you.
- 2 So that's where it would be foolhardy to 3
- not treat somebody for heat illness or heat stroke 4
- based on their temperature alone. You've got to 5 look at the whole picture. You've got to think, 6
- well, were they out in the heat? Were they having 7
- these signs and symptoms? Well, it's been an hour, 8
- and their temperature is now normal. Could I just 9
- pretend that doesn't exist? No. That's a --10
- that's a pitfall that a physician can make if you 11 12 don't consider that.
- In your reports did you take into account 13 the air temperature that was documented from 14 Angel Valley? 15
 - Α.
- Q. And what was your opinion about how the air temperature that day could affect the cooling 18 19 of a patient?
- I think that height of the temperature 20 Α. was 70 degrees that day. That was as hot as it 21 got. And that's a huge thing. It means you're 22 going from whatever heat inside to 70 degrees 23 24 outside.

So now those mechanisms that we have to

25

16

- cool ourselves all work great. ou've got 1
- everything working in your advantage to cool off. 2
- If you go from a hot area to something less than --
- than your temperature, less than 98.6, you got that
- nice gradient. 70 degrees, you're at 98.6, you're
- going to cool down. 6
- 7 And Ms. Do asked if after -- did -- did
- 8 Dr. Paul's report go into detail or explain the
- 9 significance of a patient cooling from the time of
- exposure to the heat until the time of presentation 10
- 11 at the emergency department?
- 12 Α. Not that I remember.
- 13 After reading Dr. Paul's report, did you
- 14 then amend your report?
- 15 A. I did.
- 16 Q. And how is it that you amended the
- 17 report?
- 18 A. I added a paragraph discussing what we
- just talked about of the temperature being not 104,
- 106, whatever number you like to use, does not 20
- 21 preclude the diagnosis. And it actually is very
- 22 common, if not more common, to have people that are
- normal temperature o2r maybe just a little high or 23
- 24 low by the time they get to the emergency
- department. And cooling happens really, really 25
 - quickly.
- 2 Q. And Ms. Do asked a number of questions
- about the report by Dawn Sy, which is Exhibit 345.
- Do you remember being asked some of those 4
- 5 questions?

1

6

- Α. Yes.
- 7 And did you receive this report after you
- had prepared your report in January? 8
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Did Ms. Sy's report change your opinion
- 11 as to the cause of death for Ms. Neuman, Ms. Brown,
- or Mr. Shore? 12
- 13 Α. No, it did not.
- 14 Q. And why is that?
- 15 Why is that? Because these -- from my
- readings and what I've read to you, these chemicals 16
- 17 are not present in a toxic level. And the studies
- 18 that will show don't show that they would hurt you
- 19 at those levels.
- 20 And you were asked some questions about
- Mr. Shore's medical records. And I'll get to those 21
- in a couple minutes. Ms. Do asked you about the
- 23 material -- is it material safety data sheets, the
- 24 MSDS?
- 25 Yes.

- you told us about yesterday when you Q. 1
- were testifying about the different chemicals noted
- in Ms. Sy's report?
 - A. Yes.

4

5

7

8

15

19

24

2

3

5

- Where is the source of those MSDS that Q.
- you reviewed? 6
 - I got them off the internet. Α.
 - And is that -- are there places on the
- internet that compile MSDS sheets for various
- 10 chemicals?
- 11 A. Yes.
- Is that the -- is that a place -- is it 12 Q.
- or is it not a place where you would find MSDS for 13
- your work in the emergency department?
 - Α. Absolutely.
- You were asked -- I believe Ms. Do went 16
- through some of the MSDS sheets for these 17
- 18 particular chemicals. Do you remember that?
 - Α. Yes.
- Does the -- one of the MSDS sheets for 20 Q.
- this 2-ethyl-1-hexonal, does -- does the MSDS sheet 21
- actually refer to a human study where the chemical 22
- 23 was sprayed into people's eyes?
 - I have to look at it again. I don't
 - remember off the top of my head.
- 182
 - 1 Do you have that with you? Q.
 - Α. I do.
 - 2-ethyl-1-hexonal, is that what we're
 - talking about? 4
 - Q. Yes.
 - 6 Okay. Talks about eye irritation,
 - exposure to rats, studies of eye irritation by 7
 - measuring eye blink rates in human volunteers --
 - the volunteers and sprayed some stuff at them and
 - 9
 - said how much did they blink for four hours at a 10
 - constant air levels of this 2-ethyl-hexonal of 11
 - either 1.5 parts per million, 10 parts per million, 12
 - or 20 parts per million or variable levels with 20 13
 - parts per million or 40 parts per million. 14
 - And it showed a dose-related increase in 15 eye irritation. So the more they sprayed in their 16
 - eye, they irritated their eyes. 17
 - 18 Doctor, assuming in the -- this
 - particular report by Ms. Sy indicates that 19
 - volatiles were detected in a number of these 20 21 particular items.
 - Do you see that? 22
 - 23 Α. Correct.
 - And we'll go through the items. The 305
 - and 345, those are rocks. Would you agree with me?

- A. 305 and 345 are rocks. Yes.
- 2 Q. And 356 and 358 are cans containing
- 3 tarps?

4

5

8

- A. Correct.
- Q. And then 500, 502, 562, and 564. Do you
- 6 agree with me those would be cans containing pieces
- 7 of wood?
 - A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Now, if -- with respect to the tarps,
- 10 this indicates that they were heated to 95 degrees
- 11 centigrade for eight hours.
- 12 A. Correct.
- 13 Q. If the witnesses testified that the tarps
- 14 never got hot to the touch, would you believe that
- 15 to be an accurate testing to determine what
- 16 chemicals could be put off by the tarps?
- 17 MS. DO: Objection, Your Honor. Foundation.
- 18 THE COURT: Sustained as to foundation.
- 19 Q. BY MR. HUGHES: How hot is 95 degrees
- 20 centigrade?
- 21 A. Really, really hot. A hundred degrees
- 22 centigrade is boiling, so it's just below boiling
- 23 point.
- 24 Q. You were asked whether at the time you
- 25 prepared the report you had heard that statement

 - 1 from the unknown person that -- that he thought the
 - 2 possibility could be organophosphates and maybe
 - 3 carbon monoxide.
 - 4 Do you remember being asked that?
 - 5 A. Yes.
 - 6 Q. Would that statement by this unknown
 - 7 person have changed your opinion?
 - 8 A. I got to get the question again. So
 - 9 there's an unknown person.
- 10 Q. Ms. Do read you a statement --
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. -- that said something along the lines
- 13 of, it could be carbon monoxide with maybe a little
- 14 organophosphates maybe mixed in. And then it went
- 15 on to talk about some of the signs and symptoms
- 16 people should be on the lookout.
- 17 Do you recall that statement being read
- 18 to you?
- 19 A. I actually don't. I'm sorry.
- 20 Q. Okay. Would -- assuming that
- 21 hypothetically that statement had been read to
- 22 you --
- 23 A. Okay.
- 24 Q. -- would --
- 25 MS. DO: Your Honor, I'd object. I did not

- read the statement. I -- I didn't read the
- 2 statement. If we want to play it so that we have
- 3 it accurate.
- 4 THE COURT: Would you like to play it?
 - MR. HUGHES: Your Honor, I believe she did
- 6 read it.

5

- **Q.** But assuming a statement along those
- 8 lines was given to you yesterday, would that change
- 9 your opinion?
- 10 A. No.
- 11 Q. Why is that?
- 12 A. Well, it goes back to the big picture
- 13 here. You've got to look at all the different
- 14 toxidromes and what they looked through -- whether
- 15 it's carbon monoxide, whether it's
- 16 organophosphates, whether it's heat illness,
- 17 whether it's amphetamines, whatever it could be.
- 18 And you've got to rule them out as you go and go
- 19 down the path that looks most likely at the big
- 20 picture.
- 21 Q. And Ms. Do asked you some questions about
- 22 Exhibit 1014, which is an EPA document pertaining
- 23 to 2-ethyl-1-hexonal. Do you remember being asked
- 24 about that?
- 25 A. I do.

186

- 188
- 1 Q. I think she asked you about -- under use
- 2 information, she asked you about the section
- 3 involving pesticides.
- 4 Do you remember being asked about that?
- 5 A. Yes
- 6 Q. Do you know whether the report documents
- 7 other uses that this particular chemical could be
- 8 found in?

- A. I don't know.
- Q. And showing you page 4, would it surprise
- 11 you that if you heat tarps up that you would find a
- 12 chemical used to make soft polyvinyl chloride?
- MS. DO: Objection, Your Honor. Foundation.
- 14 THE COURT: Sustained.
- 15 Q. BY MR. HUGHES: Doctor, if you had this
- 16 information available to you and a patient were to
- 17 present himself in the emergency department, would
- 18 it -- would the existence of this chemical in trace
- 19 amounts surprise you if you knew that there were
- 20 hot tarps?
- 21 MS. DO: Same objection, Your Honor.
- 22 THE COURT: Overruled.
- 23 If you can answer that, you may.
- THE WITNESS: If the question is if somebody
- 25 presented to the emergency department and they

8

11

12

15

18

25

190

- found that chemical in trace amounts in heated tarps, no. That would not surprise me after
- 3 reading this.

4

7

8

9

13

24

25

3

- Q. BY MR. HUGHES: And if you later were to 5 learn the tarps didn't get hot to the touch, would that, then, be a factor that you would consider in determining whether your patient was presenting for
 - exposure to 2-EH or for some other reason? A. Yes. That would be a factor.
- 10 Q. You were asked some questions about -you were asked questions about the verbiage for the 11 words that you used in your report. 12

Do you remember being asked those?

- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Shortly after preparing your report, did you give an interview to Ms. Do and the state? 16
- 17
- 18 Q. During the interview did you talk about
- 19 the sources where your opinion came from?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. And shortly after that did you provide
- 22 Ms. Do and the state with the actual copies of
- where those sources came from? 23
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. And does those sources include the
 - eMedicine article that Ms. Do compared to your report? Is that correct?
 - 2 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. Ms. Do asked you some questions about 5 whether other doctors had an opinion as to could
- heat stroke cause miosis. 6
- 7 Do you remember being asked about that?
- Α. 8 Yes.
- Q. What's your basis for believing that heat 9
- stroke can cause miosis? 10
- 11 A. It's out of the literature in that 12 eMedicine article. It says can cause -- we talked about this -- big pupils, little pupils, or normal 13 pupils. 14
- 15 Q. And when was that article written?
- It was last updated in 2010. I have to 16 Α. 17 look.
- 18 Q. You said, big pupils, little pupils.
- Would you have any reason to dispute the article 19
- says the pupils may be fixed, dilated, pinpoint, or 20 21 normal?
- 22 Α. No. I would not dispute that.
- MS. DO: And so the record is clear, is this 23
- the eMedicine article? 24

25

Q. BY MR. HUGHES: Doctor --

- THE COURT: Mr. Hughes, since you did read 1
- that, what was the -- where were you reading from?
- MR. HUGHES: This is the article titled, "Heat 3
- Stroke, Clinical Presentation" by Robert S. 4
- Helman, MD; chief editor, Rick Kulkami, MD, (sic).
- And it says, updated October 26, 2010. 6
 - MS. DO: From eMedicine.
 - Q. BY MR. HUGHES: Doctor, let me ask you
- about -- is -- are there two phases, if you will,
- 10 or -- or two parts to eMedicine?
 - A. Two phases?
 - Q. That's not a good question.
- Does eMedicine have a point of access for 13
- laypeople to get information? 14
 - I believe it does. Α.
- And does it have a separate point of 16
- access for medical doctors to get information? 17
 - Yes, it does.
- Which part of eMedicine's website do you 19 Q.
- 20 utilize?
- A. The doctor portion. That's where I get 21
- my email through, all through that server.
- Q. And on that -- the doctor portion of 23 eMedicine, what sort of articles are presented? 24
 - You -- you name it. I mean, it's all
 - 192
 - through to the medical literature. One of the
- reasons I like it more -- it's not that I don't 2
- like the other ones. They're all very good too, 3
- but they're updated frequently. Medicine is 4
- changing at a very scary, rapid pace. And to keep 5
- up with it, there's new things you've got to always 6
- be up on. 7
- And textbooks -- unfortunately, say a 8
- textbook came out in 2006 or 2008, the articles 9
- that are in there are -- you know -- three to four 10
- years behind that. So it's a -- it's a great 11
- resource to get the most up-to-date information. 12 Q. Would it surprise you if during an
- 13 interview Dr. Paul indicated he gets information 14
- 15 from eMedicine also?
 - Α. No, it wouldn't.
- Do you know whether this eMedicine is a 17
- common source that's relied upon by experts in your 18 19
 - field for medical information?
- 20 A. We all used it in our residency, and I continue to use it with the other physicians in my 21 department. 22
 - Q. And you were asked some questions about
- this eMedicine article -- did you -- on heat 24
- stroke. Did you review other articles in addition 25

23

1

6

8

12

- to this article by Dr. Robert S. Herman and
- 2 Dr. Rick Kulkami called, "Heat Stroke, Clinical
- 3 Presentation"?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. What other sources of information did you
- 6 also review?

5

11

- 7 A. I read lots and lots on heat stroke and
- 8 heat illness. One of the ones that I put down
- 9 there is Tintinalli. It's one of the textbooks
- 10 that teach emergency medicine.
 - Q. Did you provide copies of that?
- 12 A. I did.
- 13 Q. Are there any other articles that you
- 14 relied upon?
- 15 A. I put one in from the Mayo Clinic. They
- 16 do a -- it's one more for nonphysicians. We talked
- 17 little earlier. I like to see what was presented
- 18 for my patients in -- from the nonmedical point of
- 19 view. And it does a nice talk on heat illness,
- 20 what the signs and symptoms are, in a nice, easily
- 21 understood way. So I put that as well.
- 22 And then I also put a reference into
- 23 the -- that article that we talked about where
- 24 using the tympanic, or the ear, thermometer versus
- 25 the core temperature of the rectal thermometer. It
 - 194
- 1 showed it doesn't really correlate very well.
- 2 The -- the temperature is --
- 3 unfortunately the ear probe doesn't work as well in
- 4 people when they're cooling down as the -- as
- 5 the -- a core temperature. Showing more the -- how
- 6 it's not reliable to use this temperature as a --
- 7 as a diagnosis of heat stroke.
 - Q. And the article you're referring to -- is
- 9 that titled, "Comparison of Rectal and Tympanic
- 10 Thermometry During Exercise"?
- 11 A. That's it.
- 12 Q. By a -- someone named Katherine Newsham,
- 13 MA, ATC, and Jan Saunders, DO, and Eric S.
- 14 Nordin, DO?

8

- 15 A. Correct.
- **Q.** Did you also, then, review some articles
- 17 regarding organophosphates?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. And what was the source for the
- 20 organophosphates article?
 - A. eMedicine.
- 22 Q. Is one of the articles you reviewed
- 23 called, Organophosphate Toxicity?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. By a Kenneth D. Katz, MD, FAAEM, ABMT?

- A. Yes
- 2 Q. What are -- what are -- do you know what
- 3 those initials mean after the name?
- 4 A. It's a fellow of the American Academy of
- 5 Emergency Medicine, one of those two.
 - What was the other one?
- 7 Q. ABMT?
 - A. ABMT. I bet he was American -- maybe
- 9 basic -- I don't know.
- 10 Q. I'm sorry. ABM, like mountain, T,
- 11 like --
 - A. I -- I don't know what that one is for.
- 13 Q. And then chief editor, Michael R. Pinsky,
- 14 MD, CM, FCCP, FCCM. Do you know what any of those
- 15 initials mean?
- 16 A. That's a lot of initials. No. I
- 17 couldn't tell you what they all are.
- 18 Q. Okay. In addition to the organophosphate
- 19 toxicity article by those authors, did you review
- 20 one called, "Organophosphate Toxicity Clinical
- 21 Presentation"?
- 22 A. Well, what that is is it's broken up.
- 23 That is all the same article. And, basically, it's
- 24 organophosphate. It talks about the initial
- 25 history. Then it talks about the clinical
- 1 presentation. Then it talks about the
 - 2 pathophysiology. It breaks it down into nice
 - 3 sections, physical exam findings. But it's all
 - 4 part of the same, large article.
 - 5 Q. And Ms. Do asked you some questions about
 - 6 mnemonics. Do you remember that?
 - 7 A. Mnemonics. Yes.
 - 8 Q. She was asked -- she asked you on this
 - 9 DUMBBELL whether you were right or wrong about
 - 10 including bradycardia?
 - A. Okay.
 - Q. Do you remember being asked that?
 - 13 A. I do.

11

12

18

- 14 Q. Do you know if this article,
- 15 "Organophosphate Toxicity, Clinical Presentation,"
- 16 actually references the mnemonic SLUDGE and then
- To document the second second
- 17 the one called "DUMBELLS"?
 - A. Yeah. I believe it does.
- 19 Q. And what are the -- the -- according to
- 20 this article, what are the -- the -- what does the
- 21 "B" stands for?
- 22 A. Bradycardia. Are we talking about this
- 23 one under DUMBELLS?
- 24 Q. Under DUMBELLS.
- 25 A. Yeah. I believe it's bradycardia or

2

- **Q.** Do you have the copy in front of you?
- 3 A. I do.
- **Q.** And, again, I'm referring to the -- the
- 5 section, I suppose, called, "Organophosphate
- 6 Toxicity, Clinical Presentation."
- 7 A. It lists three actually. Bradycardia, 8 bronchospasm, and bronchorrhea.
- 9 Q. And what, again, does bradycardia stand
- 10 for?
- 11 A. Slow heart rate.
- 12 Q. You were asked some questions by Ms. Do
- 13 about your report for Ms. Neuman. Do you remember
- 14 being asked some questions about Ms. Neuman's
- 15 report?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. And in particular she asked you about
- 18 cerebral edema, that your statement in the report,
- 19 cerebral edema and herniation also may occur during
- 20 the course of heat stroke.
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. Can you explain -- that was an area I
- 23 think you wanted to get back to. Can you explain
- 24 what you mean by, cerebral edema and herniation
- 25 also may occur during the course of heat stroke?
- 198
- 1 A. Cerebral edema is the brain swells. If
- your arm swells, there's lots of room for it toswell. If your brain swells, you got a skull
- 4 that's not going to let it go anywhere. There's
- 5 only one place for it to go. At the base of your
- 6 skull is a hole where your spinal cord comes out.
- 7 And what'll happen is the swelling gets
- 8 so much, so much pressure, that the brain pushes
- 9 through that hole or herniates, just like a
- 10 hernia if you have one on your stomach or somewhere
- 11 else.
- 12 The problem with that is when that
- 13 happens, you're dead. That's your -- the bottom
- 14 part of your brain is your brainstem. And that's
- 15 where it -- all the centers that make your heart
- 16 beat, make you breathe.
- 17 So that's one way people can die in heat
- 18 stroke.

21

- 19 Q. And is that something that you believe
- 20 occurred in this particular case?
 - A. I couldn't tell you.
- 22 Q. Do you know what the -- Ms. Do asked you
- 23 some questions about differentiating the
- 24 pathophysiology at the cellular level versus the
- **25** macroscopic level.

- A. Correct
- Q. And that was something you were -- you, I
- 3 believe, wanted to explain. Can you explain the
- 4 difference between the pathophysiology at the
- 5 microscopic level versus the macroscopic. And tell
- 6 us what "macroscopic" means.
- 7 A. Yeah. So pathophysiology versus the --
- $\boldsymbol{8}$ $\,$ the pathophysiology of things. When we talked
- 9 about the neurotransmitters, the acetylcholine,
- 10 that's down at the microscopic, submicroscopic --
- 11 down into chemical structures. And that's
- 12 really -- and that's been well shown in -- on how
- 13 that works in organophosphates. In heat illness
- 14 that idea, that concept, is a theory and hasn't
- 15 been well shown.
- 16 So I am not an expert at down to the
- 17 microscopic level and down to the chemical
- 18 structure level of what's happening. To my
- 19 knowledge, from what my readings are, we don't have
- 20 an answer to that.
- 21 Q. Do you recall whether you explained that
- 22 to Ms. Do during your interview in this case?
- 23 A. I don't believe I did.
- **Q.** Well, let me show you what's marked as
- Exhibit 623, referring to page 60, 61, and then
- 200
- 1 into 62. Were you asked a question about whether
- 2 you could explain things on cellular level?
 - A. I'm not sure where you're at.
- 4 Q. Well, here's -- let me show you the
- 5 question, which is here starting at the bottom of
- 6 page 61.

3

- 7 A. It says, cell --
- **Q.** If you want to read it to yourself.
- A. Okay.
- 10 Q. And then I'm going to ask you if you
- 11 explained back in the time of your interview in
- 12 January that you were not giving an opinion as to
- 13 what's happening at the cellular level.
- 14 A. Okay. It says, I said, I can't say on a 15 cellular level. I'm not a molecular biologist.
- 16 Q. And what's a molecular biologist?
- 17 A. It's somebody that works with the
- molecules in biology down to that small cellular oreven below level, smaller level.
- 20 Q. Ms. Do asked you some questions about
- 21 myoglobin. Do you recall being asked about
- 22 myoglobin?
- 23 A. Yes
 - Q. And myoglobin specifically in urme?
 - A. Correct.

24

And can you tell us what the significance 2 is of myoglobin in urine in respect to this 3 particular case.

Α. It can show the breakdown of muscle. 5 When you're worried about when people are dehydrated or there's been muscle breakdown, they can -- the process of that can clog their kidneys. We talked a little bit about that earlier, but that was yesterday.

Their kidneys are a type of filter. And 11 if you clog up the filter, then they'll -- they'll 12 fail. And so a test we use is myoglobin in the 13 urine. And you can have this kind of 14 reddish-tinged urine without -- when you look on 15 the microscope, there's not actually red blood 16 cells there. So that's a test that we look for for possibly having that problem.

And do you know whether any myoglobin was 19 found in the urine from these patients who presented at the hospital?

Α. I believe there were. And -- and the 22 way -- the way you test for that is you have -- you 23 have positive blood when you look at their urinalysis, the analysis of the urine. They'll say blood? Lots or little. But red blood cells? None

202

or maybe one or two.

6

7

8

9

10

17

18

20

21

24

25

2

4

8

9

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

If you have a lot of blood on that test but not red blood cells, that's a sign of myoglobin.

5 Now, you were asked some questions about Q. 6 the number of 40 degrees centigrade versus 41 7 degrees.

Do you recall that?

A. Yes.

10 Or 104 versus 104.5 or 105. Can you tell 11 us why it is that you used the 40 degree number in 12 your report.

Again, I -- what -- when I do my lecture Α. on this, I used to do -- I used to have all the different numbers through there. And one of the people would ask, well, what is it? 104 or 106? And I just decided to pick one for consistency.

Because the reality of it is is that we don't want to base our diagnosis only on the 19 temperature. We got to look at the big picture. So I picked one and just stuck with that one since.

22 Q. And you've talked a number of times about 23 the big picture. Can you tell us what the big 24 picture is as -- as you use that term in this case.

Well, this -- well, that's a big

question. The pig picture is you -- you presented with some -- a problem here of trying to look at what caused these people's death. 3

4 And you've got a bunch of signs and symptoms that can -- if you look at them just 5 6 individually, it can fit into a lot of different categories. But if you look at them all together 7 with the situation, then it becomes easier to make 8 9 that diagnosis.

10 And in determining this big picture, what information did you rely upon to make that 11 12 determination?

Everything you -- you see here. All the Α. 14 medical records. Everything we've discussed here.

15 And you told Ms. Do that, in your 16 opinion, the signs and symptoms, the presentation of the patients, was more consistent with heat 17 stroke -- or I think you said inconsistent with 18 19 organophosphate poisoning?

> Α. Yes.

13

20

11

15

Can you explain your opinion and the 21 Q. basis for that opinion. 22

Well, we went through this whole list of 23 things that can happen on both -- both sides of the 24 coin. They can happen on both organophosphates and 25

204

heat illness and a lot of other things. So now 1 I've got to break it down more. We discussed this 3 already.

One of the key findings here is what 4 causes death in organophosphate poisoning. And 5 that's people are not being able to breathe. And 7 that's because they have so much saliva or they're producing secretions in their bronchus down 8 in their -- in their lungs, and they're, basically, 9 10 drowning.

Don't see evidence of that in the medical 12 records. We can look at pupils. Pupils can go both ways for heat illness. They can go both ways 13 for organophosphates. We can look at nausea, 14 vomiting, diarrhea, all these other things.

16 But this is a key factor in this that you look at these patients -- we talked a little bit 17 earlier -- as these patients that they strapped to 18 a backboard and put a mask on them. They would be 19 drowning if this was organophosphate poisoning. 20

So this is why one of the big reasons it 21 pushes more towards the organophosphates -- or not 22 23 the organophosphates. Towards heat illness.

You were asked some questions -- and I 24 25 don't know if I can find the flip chart. But do

you remember the chart where you're the outlier?

Α.

2

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

18

19

Q. Perhaps it's on this one. You were asked questions about -- here we go. You were asked questions about being the outlier. First of all, do you know whether Drs. Cutshall, Lyon, or Mosley reviewed all of the 18 patients' medical records?

I have no idea.

Q. And assuming that they did not review all of the patients' medical records, would you believe that that could affect the validity of your opinion versus any opinions they expressed? 12

Say that again. I'm sorry.

14 Q. Well, how can reviewing all of the 15 medical records for all of the patients make a difference in determining what actually happened to 16 17 these three people who died?

It gives you the full picture. You need to have all the information to -- to make that judgment.

20 21 Q. And if Dr. Cutshall testified that, 22 assuming there was no evidence of organophosphates 23 at the scene, he would still believe the cause of 24 death was heat stroke, would that put him in your 25 camp or the other camp as far as being an outlier?

206

1 MS. DO: I'm going to object. That misstates 2 the testimony.

3 THE COURT: Once again, ladies and gentlemen, just use your memory recollection regarding the 4 5 testimony.

6 Overruled.

7 You may answer that.

THE WITNESS: Well, that was the whole 9 argument was. I believe these doctors -- Mosley,

10 Lyon, and Cutshall -- showed clearly in their

11 medical records that they thought heat illness was

12 involved in their deaths. So that's -- we spent a

13 lot of time on this. And I don't see the -- the

separation. 14

8

21

25

15 Q. BY MR. HUGHES: And assuming Dr. Lyon 16 had, essentially, the same opinion, that if there were no organophosphates at the scene, he would 17 18 still believe that the cause of death was heat stroke, would that -- where -- where would that put

19

20 him along your line there?

A. It puts them all in the same stack.

22 MS. DO: Objection.

23 I'm sorry, Doctor.

24 THE WITNESS: That's okay.

MS. DO: Objection, Your Honor. Misstates the

1 testimony.

3

14

15

3

4

5

8

11

15

18

19

2 THE COURT: It was a hypothetical.

You may answer that.

THE WITNESS: Puts us in the same -- puts us 4

5 all in the same pile.

BY MR. HUGHES: Now, Dr. Mosley, slightly 6 different boat than Dr. Cutshall and Dr. Lyon. 7

Assuming Dr. Mosley believed that organophosphates

did not play a role but the cause of death was heat 9

stroke and possibly hypercapnia, too much carbon 10

dioxide, is hypercapnia, or too much carbon 11

dioxide, something that's inconsistent with your 12

13 findings in this case?

> Α. No. It's not inconsistent.

And can you explain the role that Q.

hypercapnia can have in the deaths of, for example,

Ms. Neuman, who was Dr. Mosley's patient. 17

A. Well, when all of us ultimately do die, 18 you ultimately stop breathing. And when you stop 19 breathing, your oxygen level goes down and your 20 carbon dioxide level goes up. And hypercapnia is 21 too much carbon dioxide. So it's kind of -- that's 22 part of all of our demises one way or another. 23

You were asked some questions about 24

Ms. Neuman's medical records and specifically 25

whether certain records might be generated for 1

2 insurance purposes.

Do you remember being asked that?

Α. Yes.

And referring to Exhibit 365, Bates Q.

No. 2657, have you ever had a patient who, despite

7 your best efforts, has died on you in the hospital?

Α.

And when you've had that patient, have 9 Q.

you noted the chart with the death note? 10

Α.

12 Q. When you make that notation, are you

making up something so you can just give the 13

insurance company some technical term? 14

Α.

What's your purpose for you when you make 16

a death note in a patient's chart? 17

To give your impression of what happened.

And this is -- unfortunately you've heard

the jokes, I'm sure, about doctors' handwriting? 20

21 Α. Right.

This is -- can you read the handwriting 22 Q.

23 on Bates page 2657?

Well, part of it says, patient critically 24 ill with heat stroke. And then there's an arrow. 25

52 of 86 sheets

- It says, DIC, anoxic brain injury. I can't make out the next one.
- Q. Do you know what the term "ARF" stands4 for?
 - A. Yes. It's acute renal failure.
- Prolonged family discussion with mother,
- 7 ex-husband, children, who all agree patient -- I
- can't quite say. Extubated. That means take the
- 9 tube out of their mouth. Take them off the
- 10 ventilator with family present at 3:45.
- 11 Q. Now, this section in here about the --
- 12 the heat stroke and then the arrow leading to the
- 13 words "DIC and anoxic brain injury" -- is some of
- 14 that medical notation?
- 15 A. Correct.
- 16 Q. Can you tell us what that arrow stands
- **17** for.

18

24

25

2

8

12

5

- A. Well, heat stroke causes the DIC, the acute renal failure, the anoxic brain injury.
- acute renal failure, the anoxic brain injuryQ. And then turning your attention to
- 21 Exhibit 366, Bates No. 3018, as a doctor have you,
- 22 then, prepared a formal death summary for a
- 23 patient?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. And what is the purpose for you as a --
 - 210
- 1 as a doctor in preparing that formal death summary?
 - A. Document what happened.
- **Q.** Is it -- is the reason for preparing that
- 4 for insurance purposes?
- 5 A. No. Well, I'm sure it's used in. But
- 6 that's not the reason you do it.
- **Q.** What's the reason you do it?
 - A. For the medical record to show what
- 9 happened with this patient.
- 10 Q. And is this something that you reviewed
- 11 in the course of making your determination?
 - A. Yes.
- 13 Q. Ms. Do asked you some questions about
- 14 Dr. Lyon and whether or not he was 51 percent
- 15 certain or not.
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. Assuming hypothetically that Dr. Lyon was
- 18 part of a profession or group of doctors where they
- 19 have a medical association where they have a
- 20 standard for reaching causes of death. Well, first
- 21 of all, are you a member of any professional
- 22 medical association?
- 23 A. The American Academy of Emergency
- 24 Medicine.
- **Q.** Does your medical profession or this

- association have certain standards that doctors
- 2 that are members need to comply with?
 - A. Yes.
- **Q.** And assuming Dr. Lyon was a member of a
- similar association for medical forensic
- 6 pathologists, and their standard was a doctor had
- 7 to have at least a 51 percent certainty as to the
- cause of death when they -- when they ruled the
- 9 manner under legal terms as an accident, do you
- 10 know whether that equates to the same thing as the
- 11 doctor only having a 51 percent certainty?
- 12 A. Sounds like it's falling back on the 13 standard. I think they would be different. I 14 can't speak to --
- 15 Q. Do you know whether or not Dr. Lyon
- 16 actually had a higher confidence than the minimum
- 17 that he hypothetically told the jury was 51
- 18 percent?
- 19 MS. DO: Calls for speculation.
- THE COURT: It was whether or not Dr. Dickson
- 21 knows.

22

6

8

- THE WITNESS: No idea.
- Q. MR. HUGHES: You were asked questions
- 24 about Mr. Mehravar's medical records. Let's see if
 - I can find that. Bear with me a moment, Doctor. I
 - 212
- 1 have to dig through a pile here.
- 2 Do you have any medical records that have
- 3 been admitted as exhibits?
- 4 A. These ones have all been admitted as
- 5 exhibits. I think they all have --
 - Q. Are those your personal copies?
- 7 A. These are my personal copies.
 - **Q.** Okay.
- 9 A. I've got a stack. No. This is a
- 10 transcript. No. I don't think so.
- 11 Q. You were asked some questions with
- 12 respect to whether Dr. Furrey, who was the doctor
- 13 for Mr. Mehravar, had reached certain opinions
- 14 about Mr. Mehravar. Do you remember being asked
- 15 about Mr. Mehravar's record?
 - A. I do.
- 17 Q. Do you know whether Dr. Furrey had access
- 18 to the same information that you did? In other
- 19 words, access to not only all the patients' records
- 20 for the 18 patients but witness statements from the
- 21 scene.
- 22 A. I would say no. I don't think he would
- 23 have them.
- **Q.** And in this particular case, do you know
- 25 whether Mr. Mehravar, on October 8th at least, was

able to recall even what had happened to him or 2 provide information to the doctor?

The diagnosis was confusion. So I would say no. It says here, he does not recall what happened due to loss of consciousness.

And Ms. Do asked you about, then, this opinion by the doctor that I explained we did not have a cause for his symptoms or the other people's symptoms, including the two people that died.

10 The two that died would be Ms. Brown and 11 Mr. Shore: correct?

12 Α. Correct.

1

3

4

6

7

9

16

2

13 Q. Because on this date -- on this date of October 8th, Ms. Neuman was still alive in a 14 15 different hospital; correct?

> Correct. Α.

17 Do you know whether, as an emergency room doctor, knowing the conditions inside the sweat 18 19 lodge, very, very, very hot and humid environment 20 with a prolonged exposure for maybe two hours --21 would that be significant in trying to reach a 22 determination as to what had happened?

23 A. Yes.

24 Q. If you didn't have that information, could that hamstring you as a doctor in trying to

determine what had happened? 1

Α. Yes.

3 MS. DO: Your Honor, for Rule 106 I'd ask 4 counsel to show the jury Exhibit 192, Bates stamp 5 1808.

6 MR. HUGHES: Okay. And I think I just had it 7 up, but I'll put it up again.

8 Q. And Doctor, on Bates No. 1808, do you know whether this document -- whether the patient 9 10 could recall what happened?

11 A. That's what it says. It says, the history of the present illness. It says, the 12 13 patient does not recall what happened due to loss 14 of consciousness.

15 MS. DO: Your Honor, again, for Rule 106, I would ask that the entire sentence be read.

16 17 THE WITNESS: The patient presents with 18 altered mental status, and patient was at a sweat lodge approximately 1500 and does not recall what 19 20 happened due to loss of consciousness. Patient having headache, nausea. The onset was unknown. 21

22 The course, duration, of symptoms is constant. The

degree of onset was unknown, et cetera, et cetera, 23

24 et cetera. Associated symptoms: Nausea, abdominal 25 pain, headache. No evidence of trauma.

BY MR. HUGHES: Is there any evidence in 1 that record of this drooling that you say you've 2 actually seen in -- in live patients who have 3 presented with organophosphate poisoning? 4

5 A. Look on the physical exam. Under ears, nose, mouth, and throat, oral mucosa is moist. No 6 7 pharyngeal erythema or exudate. So that was --8 that means no.

9 Is that something you would expect to see Q. 10 documented?

> Α. Yes.

11

16

23

10

13

16

18

19

21

214

12 Q. And with respect to this section, history 13 of present illness, do you have a similar section in the forms that you fill out for patients who 14 present to the emergency department? 15

> Α. Yes.

And if you had a patient that presented 17 Q. and you knew that they were in a very hot 18 19 environment, a very humid environment, for several hours, is that -- if you had that information, is 20 21 that something significant enough to note in the 22 history of present illness?

A. Yes.

Q. And was that noted for Mr. Mehravar, the 24 patient who couldn't recall what happened?

216

Just says, the patient was at a sweat 1 Α. 2 lodge.

Q. Turning your attention to Exhibit 193, 3 which is Mr. Mehravar's EMS records, do you know 4 whether those records document this sort of heavy 5 drooling that you would expect to see, heavy 6 salivation you would expect to see, and that you've 7 seen in the organophosphate patients you've 8 9 treated?

I don't see any evidence of that here. Α.

Q. In fact, have you reviewed the records of 11 12 all 18 patients?

> Α. Yes.

Q. And in those records did you see signs of 14 this heavy drooling that you would expect to see? 15

Well, that -- that was where we went to earlier. There was the one patient that initially 17 they thought, well, is this drooling? And I think it's Stephen Ray. And then when they looked 20 further, when they looked in the mouth, it wasn't drooling. It was vomiting.

22 And then when they looked forward, the oral mucosa, put the patient on his back, that goes 23 back to the whole thing. If someone who's drooling 24 that profusely, put them on their back and stick

them -- put them on their back and stick a mask on them is not going to be a good thing.

Q. You were asked some questions about

1 Dr. Mosley's opinions. I think Ms. Do said

something along the lines that she believed

6 Dr. Mosley testified that the foaming and the

7 pinpoint pupils were inconsistent with heat stroke.

Do you remember being asked that?

9 A. Yes.

8

10 Q. Now, assuming Dr. Mosley actually said

that the foaming is caused by pulmonary edema andpulmonary edema is a late-stage finding for heat

13 stroke, do you know whether or not Dr. Mosley was

5 Stroke, do you know whether of hot Dr. Mosley wa

14 correct or incorrect about pinpoint pupils not

15 being a sign for heat stroke?

A. Well, as we read to you, the eMedicine article says that it can be. The eMedicine article on heat stroke is you can have pinpoint pupils.

Q. Do you know whether Dr. Mosley has everactually treated a live patient who suffered from

21 heat stroke?

22 A. I don't know.

23 Q. Have you treated live patients who've

24 suffered from heat stroke?

25 A. Yes.

218

Q. How many would you reckon you've had to
 treat over your career?

A. I'd say probably 20 to 30 a summer in the

4 last seven years. We have -- Bakersfield gets warm

5 in the summer too. That's where I did my

6 residency. And we saw them there as well. Not to

the degree we get them in Yuma. But --

Q. Have you seen patients present with

9 pinpoint pupils for heat stroke?

10 A. Yes.

7

8

15

18

22

11 Q. Ms. Do asked you some questions about the

12 Goldfrank's <u>Toxicological Emergency</u> article. Do

13 you remember being asked about that?

14 A. Yes.

Q. Let me see if I can find that exhibit.

16 That's Exhibit 1008. And you asked when the

17 document or when the article had been published.

Do you remember that?

19 A. Yes.

20 MR. HUGHES: Your Honor, may I approach the

21 witness?

THE COURT: Yes.

23 Q. BY MR. HUGHES: Showing you Exhibit 1008,

24 is there a -- I used to know what this page was

25 called. But is there a page in this document that

1 talks about when it was published?

A. Yes. Says copyrighted 2006.

Q. And had it been published prior to that

4 date?

2

3

5

9

12

15

3

4

5

7

17

18 19

20

25

A. 2002, '98, '94, '90, '86, '82, '78.

6 Q. The article that you referred to on heat

7 stroke, or at least one of those articles -- what

8 was its publication date?

A. 2010.

10 Q. And Ms. Do asked you some questions -- do

11 you have the exhibit about page 1497?

A. Okay.

13 Q. Do you remember being asked some

14 questions about that page?

A. Yes.

16 Q. And does page 1497 devote itself to

17 talking about a case study of someone who had been

18 exposed to organophosphates?

19 A. Starts out with, a 40-year-old, suicidal

20 man who was brought by ambulance to emergency21 department after drinking two sips of 50 percent

22 malathion about three hours earlier.

23 Sounds like a case study.

24 Q. And for this patient who actually drank

25 50 percent malathion three hours before, what was

220

1 his condition as far as unconscious or being awake,

2 alert or not alert?

A. It says, the patient was awake but confused and extremely diaphoretic. Means sweaty.

Q. And did the article document what that

6 patient's -- whether he was drooling or not?

A. The patient was drooling between episodes

8 of vomiting.

9 Q. And then below that paragraph, two

10 paragraphs down, Ms. Do asked you whether or not

11 this Goldfrank article confirmed that you could see

12 pink-tinged, frothy sputum in a patient who was

13 suffering from organophosphates.

14 Can you tell us whether or not that

Can you tell us whether or not that

15 ping-tinged, frothy sputum was actually caused by

16 pulmonary edema in the patient?

A. Generally, pink, frothy -- pink, frothy, foaming exudate from your mouth is -- is from

pulmonary edema.

Q. And in this particular case, does that --

21 prior to that statement about the pink-tinged,

22 frothy sputum, does that article document that the

23 patient had some sort of a radiograph showing

24 bilateral pulmonary edema?

A. And it says he had a portable chest

Page 217 to 220 of 344

radiograph, which was a chest X ray. Showed 1 bilateral pulmonary edema with a normal sized 3 heart.

Q. Do you know what actually ultimately 4 happened to this fellow who had drunk the 6

50 percent malathion?

Α. I don't.

7

8

9

18

23

1

8

9

11

21

22

Q. Is that documented towards the end of that first page?

10 A. It says, on hospital day three the patient reported feeling much better. His 11 12 pralidoxime, which is 2-PAM infusion, was stopped.

He was evaluated by the psychiatric service. 13

14 He had some -- he says that afternoon he 15 reported two episode of diarrhea, some nausea. As 16 a result, he was given some more atropine.

Atropine was administered. 17

And then at the end it says, the patient 19 required no further atropine during his 20 hospitalization. The pralidoxime infusion was stopped on hospital date five, and he was 21 22 discharged to a psychiatric facility on hospital day seven.

24 MS. DO: Your Honor, if counsel wishes, we can

25 move this into evidence since we're reading large

portions of it.

2 Q. BY MR. HUGHES: Well, let me ask you 3 another question, Doctor, regarding this article.

Ms. Do asked you about the documentation, at least 4

5 at the time the article was prepared, that eight

6 people had died in a particular year from exposure

7 to organophosphates.

A. Yes.

Q. And does that article indicate whether or not those people, those eight, have been exposed to 10 common household organophosphates or to industrial 12 organophosphates?

13 I don't know.

14 MS. DO: Your Honor --

15 THE COURT: Mr. Hughes, if you would address

16 that. There was an offer to stipulate to

17 admission.

18 MR. HUGHES: Your Honor, I have no objection.

19 It's Exhibit 1008.

20 THE COURT: By stipulation, 1008 is admitted.

(Exhibit 1008 admitted.)

THE COURT: Thank you.

23 Q. BY MR. HUGHES: Doctor, do you know

24 whether, given the population of the United States, 25

eight deaths in that population in a year indicates

how dangerous or not organophosphates are? 1

2 Not very dangerous. A lot more people die from this stuff every year. 3

And for purposes of the record, what are 4 Q. you holding up? 5

6 Α. Water.

7 And how -- how would somebody die from

8 water?

9

15

Α. Drowning.

10 You were asked some questions about Q. Mr. Stephen Ray's medical records. Do you recall 11 12 that?

13 Α. Yes.

Let me see if I can find that. 14 Q.

Can you explain to us what records you

16 had available to you prior to testifying today.

Α. All these. 17

And did you have available to you prior 18 Q.

to testifying today all of Mr. Ray's medical

20 records?

21 A. I assume so. Yes.

Did you have -- Ms. Do asked you if you 22 Q.

23 had the EMS report.

24 Α. I -- I do have that available. And I

25 did.

1

3

4

5

9

222

224 And she asked you about Dr. Kennedy and

Dr. Neff's report. 2

> Α. Yes.

Q. Let me see if I can find those.

Doctor, I think I asked you already. Do

you have any of the marked exhibits in front of

7 you?

Part of them I do. 8 Α.

Q. With the sticker on the back?

10 Oh. I'm sorry. No. I do not.

THE COURT: Do you think this might be a good 11

12 time?

13 MR. HUGHES: It would be a very good time,

14 Your Honor. Thank you.

THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, we'll take 15

the afternoon recess at this time. Please be 16

17 reassembled in about 15 minutes to get started

18 again at 3:00.

Remember the admonition. 19

20 And Doctor, you're excused at this time,

as well. 21

22 I'm going to ask the parties to remain a

23 moment, please.

24 (Proceedings continued outside presence

25 of jury.)

THE COURT: I wanted to address some of the things that were brought up right before the recess.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8 9

11

16

17

18

19

20

21

8

9

First, with regard to the exhibits -- I'm sorry. Excuse me. The exhibit containing the medical records that were given to Dr. Dickson. I asked did the parties want to talk about that. If you still -- do you still have the same positions? MR. HUGHES: Your Honor, I did tell Ms. Do I

10 would agree to redact out Mr. Pfankuch's medical records from the CD. My concern is Ms. Do asked the doctor about the transmittal letter for that

12 13 CD. And the jury heard that the doctor was

provided with -- at least according to the 14

transmittal letter, with a number of things. 15

And then Ms. Do began to call into question, did the doctor get all of Mr. Ray's records or not and called into question my opinion -- his opinion as to the causes of death.

And then the jury heard that this CD that was transmitted to him with all these things on it 22 that they heard about was now in evidence.

23 If we remove from it, for example, the 24 witness statements and the medical records, the other -- which are the things the jury heard about,

25

226

the jury is going to have the opinion that along with perhaps Mr. Ray's records, Mr. Stephen Ray's 2 records, other things were intentionally kept out or not given to the doctor, which I think would be 4 intentionally misleading since the jury heard this is the CD. This is what they can expect to find on 6 7 it.

And, Your Honor, the other reason those witness statements, which are on the exhibit, which

10 Ms. Do moved into evidence -- the reason they're

11 relevant is Ms. Do asked quite a bit of time

12 particularly with that chart down there on the

13 bottom trying to explain, well, what did

14 Dr. Dickson know? What did he have available that

made him the outlier? What information did he 15

have? What information did the other doctors have? 16

17 The witness statements, which the doctor

has already testified he's relied upon, are 18

relevant to his opinion that he's given in court 19

20 and, therefore, would not be hearsay because

21 they're -- again, they're relevant to why he

reached that opinion. It explains, again, the 22

23 quality of his opinion, which Ms. Do has called

24 into question.

25 THE COURT: Mr. Hughes, why don't we get at it this way: What did you think Ms. Do was offering at the time she asked that the records be admitted?

MR. HUGHES: Your Honor, it was the CD that 3 the state had sent the doctor. We provided a copy 4

contemporaneously to Ms. Do. And what I thought 5

was exactly what was on that letter that Ms. Do 6 read to the jury that included the witness 7

statements. It included the medical records. And

9 I think there's something else that she -- that she

10 read from the letter.

11 But it was, basically, the transmittal in December of all the information that we, 12 essentially, provided to the doctor prior to him 13 14 reaching his opinion.

So, again, it was my understanding that 15 given the line of Ms. Do's questions, which was 16 attacking what did the doctor know, that's why I 17 had no objection to that exhibit coming in. 18

19 THE COURT: Ms. Do, what did you think you were asking to be admitted? 20

MS. DO: Your Honor, I think clearly from my 21 22 questions I was directing my attention and the 23 witness's attention to whether or not he had all of Stephen Ray's medical records, because there was a 24 lot of testimony that he relied on the complete 25

1 set.

2

It's clear from the transmittal sheet

that he'd only gotten partial regards, specifically

38 pages on December 16, 2010. He handed that CD 4

to me right at the lunch break. 5

6 Based upon that line of questioning, when

Mr. Hughes began his redirect and started 7

questioning him about Stephen Ray, I just wanted to

make sure, since we had discussions earlier, that 9

the jury has evidence of what this witness had of 10

Stephen Ray's records on December 16. 11

12 At the time that I moved it in, that was all that I was concerned with. And then I realized 13 that there were additional things on that CD that 14 have been ruled inadmissible under a number of 15 court rulings -- the Daniel P.'s records on there, 16 which I believe Mr. Hughes has agreed to redact. 17

There are a number of supplements. 18

19 And if I could approach the Court? I had identified the various supplements that was 20 transmitted to Dr. Dickson. A lot of these are --21 they're all witness statements. A lot of them are 22 from 2005, the Daniel P. incident, 2007 and 2008, 23

from witnesses who have not even testified. 24

So I apologize that I caused this issue

21

22

23

24

25

6

9

not realizing that the various rems that are not admissible would be in that CD. I understand from the witness' testimony that he's already said that he did receive witness statements. The jury has that.

6

7

9

10

11

21

25

7

9

10

11

12

13

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

When I asked him about what was in the December 16 transmittal letter, I specifically directed his attention to paragraphs 1 and 2 and 3, which had to do with the medical records and the autopsy.

The witness began to go into paragraph 4, which had to do with the witness supplements, and I 12 13 stopped him because that wouldn't -- that, first of 14 all, wasn't relevant to my line of questioning. 15 That would have been gone into hearsay.

Rule 703 is specific, Your Honor. This 16 17 expert can testify to the basis of his opinion. But it doesn't allow us to backdoor in inadmissible 18 evidence by revealing to the jury what -- what the 19 20 actual data is.

THE COURT: I -- I know the technical matter, 22 Ms. Do. The guestion is with a stipulation as to 23 evidence. And that's kind of what we're dealing with now -- that is what we're dealing with now. 24

And I thought it related solely to

230

medical records. That was my impression at the time that was the context of the questioning. And

3 what needs to be done is it needs to be made clear

that that's -- that other information was provided.

All this exhibit contains are medical records so 5

that there's not any misleading. 6

But to just -- to bring in evidence where there's been so much litigation over inadmissible evidence and then just to have it come in by inadvertence, that just would not be an appropriate trial process.

But they have to know -- this can't mislead them into thinking this is everything. They have heard the testimony, and they know that 14 15 Dr. Dickson was given other information. So there has to be some kind of labelling, some kind of 16 stipulation -- there should be -- as to what limits there are to the information provided.

MS. DO: And I appreciate that. And perhaps the way that we could cure this is to -- either the state could do it or I could do it -- redact the inadmissible information and leave solely the medical records on there.

And then the Court can tell the jury 24 25 that -- that something's been done to this exhibit and all that is being provided are the medical records, not all the other information.

THE COURT: Something of that nature. But 3 both sides -- you know -- give me what you think 4 needs to be done to clarify that.

MS. DO: Thank you.

THE COURT: The -- the other thing I -- I need 7 to get these exhibits because they've been in -there's a dispute that's been going on. And I 9 think they're numbered 401, 406, and 410. That --10 that's -- I just haven't seen them since -- since 11 it was left open. 12

13 If I've got the numbers right, those are the records that relate to paying for seminars and 14 other seminars attended. If those are the correct 15 numbers. I just want you to know I do need to go 16 look through those in -- in some more detail. And 17 I didn't want that to come up with Sergeant Barbaro 18 or something without me having done that. 19

With regard to juror -- juror No. 10. 20

Mr. Li, you asked that --

MR. LI: We would like very much to finish this trial on time and as quickly as possible and are troubled about the idea of losing two days for a juror vacation. And we all have our calendars

232

that we have to deal with, and I understand the 1

Court's decision to add days to the 21st, 23rd. 2

THE COURT: Well, it was the 21st. But I 3 indicated that there are other days lost as well 5 for various reasons.

But go ahead, Mr. Li.

MR. LI: But that's -- you know -- Your Honor, 7 to be fair, that's to be expected in many trials. 8

THE COURT: True. That's true, but --

MR. LI: Some of -- some of these have been 10 the result of things that we've been litigating 11 and -- you know. So as a consequence, we would 12

like very much to finish this trial on time. And 13

we worry that losing two days out of the remaining 14

14 trial days would be -- you know -- it would make 15 it very difficult to finish on time. And we would

16 17 like to finish on time.

So, I mean, the bottom line is we would 18 be -- we would consent to letting that particular 19 20 juror go.

THE COURT: Ms. Polk.

21 MS. POLK: Your Honor, the state would object 22 to that. That Juror No. 10 made that information 23 available to us, I believe, during the jury 24 selection, and he was allowed to be empaneled. And 25

so to let him go at this point based on something
 that was known to everybody at the time would not
 be appropriate.

The state's suggestion is -- I believe
the days that Juror No. 10 has that conflict -- I
think it's a Thursday, Friday, the 16th and 17th,
of June, as I recall. We will have matters to
resolve without the jury being present, such as
jury instructions and some other matters.

I would think that if we're at that point that those would be good days for the parties to do the work that doesn't require the jury present anyway.

But the state would object to excusing
him for a reason that was known at the time that he
was empaneled.

MR. LI: Judge, for whatever it's worth, Your Honor, and for the record, at least at the time he made that known, the understanding among all the parties was -- according to the court order was that the trial would terminate on June 10.

I understand the Court indulged my

I understand the Court indulged my request early on. And I -- I want to make this clear. I think I said to this Court, you tell me what to do, Your Honor. I need to decide, and I

234

15

18

1 have to pay or not pay for this particular thing
2 that I have to do associated with this board that
2 the analysis of the posture that I gut it in

3 I'm on. And that was the posture that I put it in.4 But at the time we were doing jury

5 selection, it was all of our understanding that the6 trial would terminate on June 10th. And it was

7 also all of these respective juror's understanding

8 because I think that's what the Court advised them.

9 So -- you know -- we'll leave it to the

10 Court's discretion as to -- you know -- how to best

11 resolve this. But our -- our view is that we

12 should finish this case. We have two more

13 alternates, and -- you know -- number -- the juror

14 may be excused.

THE COURT: Mr. Li, you advocated quite strongly for that time for yourself. I'm not --

17 I'm not blaming you. But it was not just presented

18 as -- you know -- make the call, Judge. It was --

19 MR. LI: Your Honor, I mean --

THE COURT: You stressed the importance to you

21 and --

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 MR. LI: It is -- it is --

23 THE COURT: -- and the state respected that.

24 I respected that. So --

MR. LI: And I appreciate that, Your Honor.

1 But for -- I sincerely do appreciate that. But the

2 posture that it came up in is that I was at a

3 decision point where I had to tell the board

4 whether I was going or not. And there was a

5 decision about paying or not paying.

6 And I -- I made the record that, Your

7 Honor, it's clearly the Court's -- listen. I

8 respect and appreciate --

9 THE COURT: I know it -- I know it is. But 10 you don't need to say anymore on that. Absolutely.

11 The Judge makes the -- the call on that.

12 MR. LI: I think we all -- just for whatever

13 it's worth, I think we all thought this case would

14 end sooner. I mean, I think we --

THE COURT: I think so. That's true.

MR. LI: And so I think we all truly believed

17 that we would be done already.

THE COURT: All right. I think it's important

19 to talk to Juror No. 10. I'm going to ask him to

20 stay over. I think the only thing I can tell him

21 is at this point that the trial may be over and

22 it -- and may not. And that's what I can tell him.

23 I don't know whether planning he might be

24 able to do otherwise, but I just don't really want

25 to release him at this time or even look -- or make

1 that plan right now, put that in as a plan right

2 now. Of course, he wouldn't be released now

3 because the trial may well be over by then. At the

4 same time, I don't want him to believe he's being

5 ignored either with his concern.

6 MS. POLK: And, Your Honor, does the Court

7 object to telling him that he can take the two

8 days, understanding the state's suggestion that we

9 do have work to do that probably is going to be

10 time consuming? We have work to do anyway that

11 doesn't require the presence of the jury.

THE COURT: Ms. Polk, I'm really hesitant to do that. It just depends on where the trial is at

14 that point.

12

13

15

MR. KELLY: Your Honor, my suggestion would be

not to discuss this matter with him today.THE COURT: He's had that note out there for

18 some time. And the -- the problem when you have

this come up is you don't want somebody thinkingabout something other than the evidence that's

21 being presented. That's the problem. And you've

22 got to address this in some fashion.

I need to look at the note again. At the very least, today I'm going to let him know that

25 it's being considered. But I need to pull that

1 note out and look.

2

4

6

7

9

20

21

22

Heidi, one thing I want you to do is let 3 the jury know we're obviously running through the whole break, so they need to know that we're going to run longer and ask them to reassemble by now.

So I'm going to tell him that, that just that his concerns are known and they -- I think that's all I can tell him right now.

The other thing is is that last issue that was right before the -- the recess that came 10 up with Sergeant Barbaro. 11

12 And that question, Ms. Polk -- it's been 13 presented before.

14 The whole issue of what I call "postevent 15 evidence or testimony" is not -- is not a simple 16 issue. And I remember when the original motion was 17 made. And it had to do directly with evidence that could possibly relate directly to Mr. Ray. 18

19 Looking into the case -- looking into the 20 cases -- and there's a case out in New Jersey 21 involved an athlete. And what happened -- it was a shooting -- and what happened afterwards and what 22 23 was done with the weapon.

24 And the first appellate level in New Jersey had reversed and said that it was just all 25

prejudicial because of this postevent conduct, the 2 evidence that came in and then a split decision of 3 the supreme court, as I recall, whatever they call the supreme court in New Jersey, went the other 4 **5** way.

6 It's a very -- it's a -- it is a 7 difficult issue when you're talking about events that happened after the conduct or omission that 9 might have caused the actual -- or constitute the 10 offense.

11 So in that regard I can see that if there is some direct tie to what somebody did with an 12 13 officer, if it's made clear who was responsible for it in that event, it could well relate to the 14 investigation but just on its own. 15 16 Just to put it out there, Ms. Polk, it

17 would seem to me that what it invites mostly is just a negative inference. When, as the defense 18 19 points out, it doesn't necessarily have to be a negative inference at all. And you get into that whole -- that whole problem of just putting the evidence out there to draw some kind of inference.

23 And I bring up the distinction between 24 what would be -- you know -- preevent or postevent, 25 because it's a whole different situation when

you're talking about what goes into causing or --1 you know -- what's the real conduct involved.

Then you do have pretty large leeway for 3 the jury to consider those things and whether or 4 not it's -- it's actually part of what constitutes the offense. But when it's afterwards, you don't.

7 It's a -- it's different situation. The case is

treated that way.

So I'm saying it may well be that there 9 is some relevance to this. If there really was 10 something that happened as a -- to the 11 investigation because of that, and it has to do 12 13 with direct observation, and it's made clear it doesn't go -- it's not attributed to anybody other 14 than who actually -- you know -- made the statement 15 or whatever, then I could see relevance. But 16 unless it gets to that point, I don't think it can 17

And that's to say, once again, when you 19 get these kind of issues, apparently there's a lot 20 more about this -- and people know a lot more about 21 this than I do -- either in the report form that's 22 been mentioned or in the subsequent interview form. 23

And I don't know without hearing trial testimony 24

what the correct ruling would be. 25

238

1

18

So that's where that stand.

come in just as a general proposition.

MR. LI: Judge, I would ask, then, that the 2 state's proffer what the -- what the relevance is 3

and what the actual effect on the investigation.

5 This guy isn't even the case agent. He just

6 secures the scene.

THE COURT: And that -- you have an interview, 7 though, and you have the report. And I assume 8

that --9

10 Well, Ms. Polk, is that in the report, 11 evidence from that?

MS. POLK: It is. And Mr. Li did interview 12 13 Sergeant Barbaro.

MR. LI: I'll proffer to the Court that that's 14

what -- that's -- I'll proffer that's what --15 that's what the -- he's a sergeant. He's not a 16

17 detective. He's not even in charge of

investigating this scene. All he does is secure 18

19 the scene.

THE COURT: And I know that we had 20 21 argument before the noon recess. It was presented 22 as really kind of here's what's happening out there -- you know -- even though it's after 23 whatever was caused -- what was caused is done. It 24

still explains what -- what was occurring close to 25

the time or during what this extended incident was. 1

2 Ms. Polk.

3 MR. LI: I'm sorry. Just for the record, it's 4 an hour after.

5 THE COURT: You said 55 minutes or something like that? 6

7 MR. LI: Yeah. I mean, just an hour -- almost 8 an hour.

9 THE COURT: Okay.

10 MS. POLK: Your Honor, a couple things were going on. One was that when the detective was 11 12 trying to talk to participants to find out what had happened, Dream Team members came up and were 13

14 trying to get the participants to leave, to go up

15 to their room, in spite of the fact that a

uniformed officer was talking to the participants. 16

17 The --

6

18 MR. LI: He's a sergeant.

19 MS. POLK: Sergeant.

20 The sergeant actually threatened to 21 arrest one of them because of their interference.

22 The second thing that was going on was

23 that somebody that we believe to be Josh

24 Fredrickson, but the detective remembers as someone

named Jason, came up, identified himself as

14

3

241

242

Mr. Ray's personal assistant, and then shadowed

this sergeant as he was trying to talk to different 2

people. The sergeant -- every time he turned 3

4 around, this man named Jason was there. 5

Those two things were going on.

THE COURT: And then the -- your direct --

7 your argument as to the direct relevance?

MS. POLK: Just that this detective was trying 8

9 to triage the scene as one of the early responders,

10 trying to figure out who needs help, what happened,

11 what does he need to do in -- you know -- is there

a crime scene here that I need to preserve. 12

13 And that as he's trying to talk to

14 participants, a Dream Team member in one instance

15 is leading -- is trying to get the participants to

16 leave to the point that he threatens to arrest this

17 Dream Team member.

And then the second thing is that

Mr. Ray's personal assistant is right there 19

shadowing him everywhere he's going as he's trying 20

do his job, essentially, get to a scene, triage it 21

as quickly as he can, and decide what needs to be 22

23 done.

18

24 THE COURT: And Ms. Polk, you expressed your

25 view before about 403 and -- when it's been

mentioned. The -- the implication, this -- you --1

I haven't heard anything indicating that this was

Mr. Ray. And this case has to do with Mr. Ray. 3

And the -- while it does certainly 4

explain, here's the very initial investigation, 5

where people are and what they're doing, how does 6

it really -- how is it really relevant to -- to the 7

state's case on what -- what you believe

constituted an offense? 9

10 MS. POLK: Your Honor, it's the general scene

investigation. It's the facts. It's what 11

happened. It's this officer's factual recitation 12

of what happened when he got to the scene. 13

I think it goes to cross-examination to

the weight of the information that it's not Mr. Ray 15 who is doing it because Mr. Ray had already left

16 the scene. And certainly, Mr. Li can establish 17

that Mr. Ray is not present and he's not the one 18

telling them -- telling a participant to leave 19

while the sergeant is trying to talk to them. And 20

he's not his personal assistant who is shadowing 21

the sergeant. That would be -- he can establish 22

23 that. 24 But what the jury has heard is that

Mr. Ray did stand up and announce to everybody, if 25

244

you can, go back to your room, and that this is 1

2 consistent with that information. THE COURT: Mr. Li.

MR. LI: I think the Court is aware of my 4

argument. I mean, it's not consistent. The 5

implication that's being made here is some 6

obstructive conduct to the point where Ms. Polk 7

says that the officer even threatened to arrest one 8

of the Dream Team members. 9

10 It's one thing to say, listen, if you can walk, go and clear the scene so the EMT folk can do 11

their work. It's another thing, as Ms. Polk is 12

suggesting, that if these folks are actually 13

engaging in, quote, unquote -- you know -- alleged 14

obstructive conduct to which you can't even begin 15

to attribute to Mr. Ray. And that's the problem. 16

17 This is actually about -- maybe 55

minutes, almost an hour, after 9-1-1 is called. So 18

we're not talking about the immediate scene. There 19

had been EMTs on scene for almost 40 minutes by the 20

time this particular officer arrives -- sergeant. 21

23 securing the scene.

THE COURT: I haven't heard how that might 24

He's not even an investigator. He is simply just

have really affected the -- the quality of the 25

investigation, whether information could not be 1 obtained. It seems the primary thrust of this would be to just imply that for the wrong reason, 3 4 Mr. Ray made some command.

And that's putting that kind of evidence -- putting that kind of evidence out when it's really after whatever causal omission or conduct occurred alleged is a problem.

I haven't heard how -- well, I'm going to 9 10 have to -- if there was something where it really inhibited some information or something and there's 11 12 a proper instruction, that might come in in that fashion. But I -- it doesn't -- it sounds -- it's 13 14 very tenuous it appears.

15 MR. LI: I would ask that there be a ruling before the witness takes the stand. Because I 16 think that the state has to make the proffer. 17 Because what's going to happen is they're going to 18 19 ask the question and we're going to have to object 20 and have a sidebar. All they have to do is make the proffer what that connection is. If they can't 21 22 make that connection, then there is a 403 and a 23 401, 402 relevance issue.

24 And the Court is weighing -- properly 25 weighing the various prejudices and undue prejudice

versus the probative value there. And we -- you 1 know -- the state needs to make this proffer

3 because otherwise we're going to do it in front of 4 the jury.

5 MS. POLK: And, Your Honor, I -- I will not

7

8

9

22

24

25

5

7

ask this information of the witness. I do want to caution Mr. Li, however. He knows that in front of this witness -- he's already asked that the state not establish that Mr. Ray was up at his room. He

10 had showered. He was eating a sandwich when he was

11 contacted and asked to come down to the scene.

12 That would not be appropriate through 13 this witness anyway. He doesn't have firsthand information about I told this witness to stay away 14 15 from that.

16 Secondly, Mr. Li knows that then, and 17 this witness is present, the lieutenant arrived. 18 And after some brief questioning Mr. Ray said he 19 wanted to call his attorney, which he did, and then 20 ultimately said he was not willing to make any 21 statements.

I have cautioned this witness that that 23 is absolutely not to come in under any circumstances, whether through direct examination or cross-examination.

But if Mr. Li is going to try to paint a 1 picture for the jury that somehow Mr. Ray was at 2 the scene and cooperative and a picture that is not 3

true, then certainly these -- my offer to withdraw 4

what had happened, I would -- I would withdraw 5

that, my position, and just state that there cannot 6

7 be some unfair characterization of Mr. Ray's

conduct at the scene with Mr. Li knowing what his 8

9 conduct was.

THE COURT: And that -- that could be just a 10 11 classic open-the-door situation.

12 MR. LI: Yeah. Look, if counsel's suggestion 13 is that I would -- I would lie to this jury, I won't do it. So I think -- I think it's fairly 14 clear I'm just simply asking that certain 15 information relating to the Dream Team members not 16 17 come in.

I think it would be structural error to 18 have the state elicit the fact that Mr. Ray 19 invoked. That would be immediately reversible 20 whether we objected or not. 21

And so we're not going to make a 22 suggestion that's untrue. If that's -- if that's 23 24 the stipulation the state is asking me to make, that's no problem. 25

248

THE COURT: I think exuberance sometimes can 1 take over perhaps. I'm just speaking in general, 2

3 Mr. Li. So -- that's all.

4 MR. LI: I'm not sure I understand.

THE COURT: Well, I'm just saying it's what --5

you know -- when doors get open, people don't

intend to do that sometimes. It's just in the 7

examination, and the next thing a question gets

asked, and there it is. We've had instances of that in this case. 10

11 MS. POLK: And, Your Honor, just very quickly 12 on another completely unrelated matter. It's a scheduling matter. 13

At this point we still have to finish our 14 redirect of Dr. Dickson. We're calling 15

Sergeant Barbaro, and then we're calling Sara 16

Mercer for tomorrow. Other witnesses have 17

conflicts. We have no other witnesses lined up for 18

the week, and we're not meeting on Friday. Because 19

20 most of our witnesses come from out of town, it

makes it problematic to try to bring in somebody 21 for a couple of hours Thursday afternoon.

logistically it's not working to bring in some

22 I apologize because I know how important 23 every hour is to getting this trial finished. But 24

2

14

19

3

8

9

11

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

witness from out of state for the possibility of 1 being able to testify for perhaps a couple hours 3 tomorrow afternoon.

Unfortunately, I don't have somebody lined up is what I'm saying beyond two additional witnesses for the rest of the week.

7 THE COURT: Well, is somebody else here 8 ready --

MS. POLK: Yes. We have --

10 THE COURT: -- for this afternoon?

MS. POLK: We have Sergeant Barbaro this afternoon. And then we have Sara Mercer, who will be here at 9:00 tomorrow. And then we have nobody

after that. 14

4

6

9

11

12 13

18

21

1

2

3

16

19

21

22

23

24

25

15 THE COURT: Okay. I assume we proceed, and when we're done tomorrow, that's it. 16

17 Mr. Li.

MR. LI: That's fine, Your Honor.

19 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.

20 (Recess.)

(Proceedings continued in the presence of

22 jury.)

THE COURT: The record will show the presence 23

of Mr. Ray, the attorneys, the jury. And 24

Dr. Dickson is on the witness stand.

250

Mr. Hughes.

MR. HUGHES: Thank you.

Q. Doctor, I believe when we took the break,

4 we were talking about Stephen Ray's medical

5 records. Ms. Do had asked you some questions --

I'm referring to Exhibit 213, Bates No. 7098 --

7 about an opinion by someone named Dr. Kennedy.

Do you remember being asked about that, 8 whether her opinion, which is set forth here, 9

10 victim of mass-casualty incident involving a sweat

11 lodge, and going on from there -- whether that

opinion was something that you had considered? 12

A. Yes, it was. 13

14 **Q.** And is that something that you 15 considered?

A. Yes. It was something I considered.

17 Q. Okay. And specifically -- and then she 18 asked whether Dr. Neff had the same opinion.

Do you remember being asked that?

20 A. Yes.

> Q. Do you know whether this language that's used in assessment and plan -- does that -- I don't want to use the word "cut and paste," which we were using yesterday or was used yesterday.

> > But does this language get carried

forward in the chart from one record to another?

Do I know if got carried forward?

Q. Well, let me ask you this: Dr. Kennedy's 3 report contains some verbiage about victim of 4

mass-casualty incident involving a sweat lodge. 5

There was some concern for, basically, anoxia or 6 carbon dioxide poisoning. 7

The patient does not appear to have had 8 heat stroke, and there is no evidence for carbon 9 10 monoxide poisoning. His acute respiratory failure appears to have resolved. 11

12 That was diagnosis -- or assessment and 13 plan No. 2. Do you see that?

A. Yes.

Q. No. 3, acute renal failure likely related 15 to his fasting state, resolved with rehydration. 16 And then you were asked about Dr. Neff's opinion, 17 which is here on Bates 7095. 18

Do you remember being asked about that?

20 A. Yes.

Q. And Dr. Neff's opinion is -- uses the 21 exact, same verbiage. Victim -- for No. 2, victim 22 of mass-casualty incident involving a sweat lodge. 23 No. 3 is the exact same verbiage. Acute renal 24

failure, likely related. 25

252

And then you see he's added a No. 4 onto 1

2 that assessment and plan?

A. Correct.

Q. Is that something that's commonly done 4 where if something -- an opinion from one doctor 5 gets carried forward into a medical record that's 6 used by another doctor? 7

A. Yes, it is.

MS. DO: Objection. Calls for speculation.

10 THE COURT: Overruled.

Answer stands.

Q. BY MR. HUGHES: Now, also up on No. 1 it 12 indicates, he may -- it talks about anoxic brain 13 injury. It says, I anticipate the patient will 14 have needs upon discharge. He may be able to live 15 independently but may not be able to care for his 16 elderly mother. Then it says, trial of meclizine.

A. Yeah. Meclizine.

Q. What's meclizine?

A. It's for dizziness. It's commonly used in people that have vertigo or seasickness.

Q. Do you know whether a patient who suffered from heat stroke to the point where they've gone into a coma, like Mr. Shore did, and then comes out -- can they suffer long-term effects

upon discharge? 1

2

8

9

10

13

15

18

19

1

2

3

4

5

- A. To my knowledge, I've read that -- they talk about it in the articles about long-term problems after heat illness. I personally don't deal with patients with these long-term rehab problems. But from my reading, it does show that.
- 7 Q. And what's an anoxic brain injury?
 - A. An anoxic brain injury is when you don't get enough oxygen to your brain for a certain amount of time, and it causes damage to your brain.
- 11 And is that damage that can always be repaired or recovered from? 12
- A. That's the problem. We can -- none of us are producing new brain cells. So it's -- people 14 can do somewhat better from it, just like people 16 who have had strokes. Different parts of their 17 brain start to work that didn't before. But generally when the damage is done, the damage is done.
- 20 Q. Now, can organophosphate exposure 21 cause -- particularly if you had a massive 22 ingestion, can that cause an anoxic brain injury?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. Can you explain how it could cause anoxic
- 25 brain injury.

254

- Same problem. When you have so much of the fluid going into your lungs and you're not getting enough oxygen to your brain, you get anoxic brain injury. And so the brain doesn't get enough oxygen, it can die or part of it can die.
- 6 Q. Can heat stroke cause an anoxic brain 7 injury?
- A. 8 Yes.
- Q. 9 And can you explain the mechanism for
- 10 that.

18

19

20

21

24

- 11 Α. Same mechanism as far as if you're getting pulmonary edema fluid in your lungs. And 12 13 there can also be direct injury just from swelling 14 in the brain that can cause damage to your brain as well. 15
- Q. And can hypercapnia, or too much carbon 16 17 dioxide, cause an anoxic brain injury?
 - A. Well, they kind of go hand in hand. When you're -- generally when you're oxygen level is going down, your carbon dioxide level is going up. So your lungs exchange those two gases all the

So when your oxygen level drops,

- 22 time. Your -- your cells are giving off CO2, and
- 23 you got to get rid of it and taking up O2.
- 25 generally your carbon dioxide level is going up.

- 1 And in this case Ms. Do had asked you
- about a finding in the emergency department, I 2
- believe she highlighted up on the screen, that the
- pupils in the emergency department for Mr. Ray were 4
- 5 seen to be pinpoint.
 - Α. Correct.
 - Q. Do you remember being asked that?
 - Α.
- Do you know what Mr. Ray's pupils were 9 Q.
- 10 like at the -- prior to arrival in the emergency
- 11 department?

6

7

8

12

16

17

25

- A. It says that they were dilated.
- 13 And can you explain for us the difference between dilated and pinpoint when it comes to 14 15 pupils.
 - Dilated are big and pinpoint are very Α. small, like the point of a pin.
- And we talked about the statement in here 18 about the conversation with Dr. Boyer. With 19 respect to this record, Dr. Daniel indicated he 20 continued to believe that acute hyperthermia and 21 subsequent seizure was the most likely cause. 22
- 23 Can hyperthermia or acute hyperthermia 24 cause seizure?
 - Α. Yes.

256

- Q. And can you explain how you could have a 1 2 seizure from somebody suffering from acute 3 hyperthermia.
- Goes back to that whole list of what the 4 changes in the mental status are. It can go from 5
- just acting a little unusual to seizures to 6
- sleeping or obtunded or all the way to just you're 7
- not -- you're in a complete coma. So the whole 8
- 9 spectrum can be present.
- 10 You were asked some questions about --Ms. Do about testing for organophosphates. Do you 11 12 remember those questions?
 - Α. Yes, I do.
- Okay. And you discussed cholinesterase 14 and another compound similar to cholinesterase; 15 16 correct?
- 17 Α. Correct.
- Q. And can you explain for us how the 18 testing looks at those compounds and what the 19 20 significance, if any, is.
 - Go back to the picture, if that's okay. Α.
 - Okay. If we can find it. Why don't you
- 23 look on that one, and I'll look on this one. I got
- 24

21

22

25

13

Is this the chart you're talking about?

Yes, it is. So what the test that we discussed was -- back to -- we have a cell here and a cell here. And they need to talk to each other.

And they talk by sending this as cholinesterase.

Produces it, and it goes to the binding site or the receptor and sends its signal across.

The problem is is what normally occurs is this acetylcholinesterase is the breakdown -- it breaks down those acetylcholine chemicals getting the information across.

11 When they are bound -- when this thing is 12 bound by organophosphates, it stops working. So 13 what happens is it builds up too much of this --14 acetylcholinesterase builds up. It's going across 15 but not being broken down.

16 Now, makes sense that you would test this -- you would test how active this is. If they 17 18 were all bound up, something with the 19 organophosphates or lots of other things, can make 20 them inactive or active.

21 And so that's the test. And you're 22 looking for the activity of how much that's 23 working.

24 Q. And Ms. Do asked you some questions about 25 Exhibit 1008, this -- this Goldfrank's

<u>Toxicological Emergencies</u>. And you were asked regarding the reliability of this test and whether

3 the article discussed that.

4 A. Yes.

Q. And, Doctor, showing you that report, do you know whether the report talks about whether the 7 person's level of cholinesterase can fluctuate

8 based on genetics or even on your daily circadian

rhythm? 9

2

5

6

10

13

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

1

2

6 7

8

9

10

Δ. We talked about the -- based on lots of 11 things we read from the other article that there's 12 so many things that can vary that level. I haven't read this whole article, so I couldn't tell you if 14 it says -- it says --

15 Q. And to speed things up, Doctor, let me ask you about the final paragraph. 16

> Α. Okay.

Q. Does that talk about some factors that might affect the reliability of using a test such as this and deriving any meaningful numbers from it?

A. It says, genetic and circadian variations are also common with daily fluctuations within the same individual as high as 10 percent. The -- the level can change if it's the morning or the

1 evenina.

2 That's what I went through, reading all the different things that can cause this level to 3 change. That's why it's not such a reliable test. 4

And you mentioned that the -- in your 5 answer to one of Ms. Do's questions that in that 6 7 Goldfrank's article it talks about a low-protein 8 diet can affect cholinesterase levels.

9 Α. That was one of the things that was 10 listed.

11 Would a vegetarian diet typically be a low protein diet? 12

Α. It can be.

13

19

7

8

13

15

258

14 Q. And would a person, if they had fasted for a day or a day and a half and then consumed a 15 16 light meal and then you test maybe 12 hours after they consume a light meal -- can that fasting for 17 36 hours or so affect the cholinesterase levels? 18

> Α. I couldn't answer that.

Q. Are there a number of things that affect 20 21 cholinesterase levels?

There's a huge list that we -- we read of 22 all the things that affect this. So that's why 23 it's -- it's not the best test, especially in a 24 25 single value.

260

1 Talked a little about earlier where the time you could use it is if you had -- you did have 2 a level and you were -- somebody had been poisoned 3 and you gave them the -- the antidote. Is the level getting higher? That means your treatment is 5 6 working.

You have to have a baseline level. A singular level out there doesn't seem to have a lot of meaning from what we've read here.

10 If I were to present as a patient in your emergency department, would you have any idea what 11 a normal level would be for me? 12

> Α. No.

Q. Or for anyone else in the courtroom? 14

> Α. No.

You mentioned something about the 16 17 emergency medical treatment that you would expect 18 to see in the patients who were displaying that

classic sign of organophosphate, the heavy 19

20 salivation or drooling.

21 How would that be different from somebody -- the treatment of a patient who wasn't 22 23 drooling from the mouth heavily?

24 Well, the drooling problem is the -- why 25 they're -- they're going to have trouble breathing. 1 And what you want to do is stop that. You want to 2 stop -- you want to dry them up immediately. And there's a medication called "atropine" that's used to -- to dry it up so you don't get all that secretions.

So, again, it comes back to organophosphate poisoning, the reason they die, get so sick, is because they have respiratory problems and can't breathe. And you want to address that issue.

11 MR. HUGHES: Thank you, Doctor. I don't 12 believe I have any other questions.

13 THE COURT: Are there questions from the jury? 14 Counsel, please approach to read the

15 questions from the jury.

16 (Sidebar conference.)

17 MR. HUGHES: No objection, Your Honor.

MS. DO: I have to read this request one more

19 time, Your Honor.

6

7

9

10

18

1

2

3

4

10

13

15

16

17

18

20 THE COURT: Take your time.

21 MS. DO: Your Honor, I think the question

22 doesn't seem appropriate or relevant to the -- the

23 defense would object to this question, Your Honor.

24 It does not seem to be relevant to the actual

elements of the charges. It's a request for 25

262

survival advice. This man has not -- it doesn't seem to go to that. So --

MR. HUGHES: Your Honor, the state does believe it is relevant. The jury has heard from a

number of the lay witnesses about things that they 5

6 did to try and survive while they were inside.

7 They were laying down close to the floor or they

were sitting up based upon what Mr. Ray had 8

9 recommended or not recommended.

Actions that they took inside the sweat 11 lodge, I think it would be directly relevant. What 12 can you do to improve your outcome if you're in a very hot environment for a prolonged period of

14 time?

> MS. DO: That seems to go to negligence and not to reckless -- the reckless standard of manslaughter, which requires a much higher standard than what this question is direct to. And I think

19 that the way that the question -- the form of the

question -- it just doesn't go to the charges, Your 20 21 Honor.

22 MR. HUGHES: Your Honor, it does go not only 23 to negligence, but it does go to the reckless

24 charges, as well. It's pertaining to formative

25 actions by Mr. Ray in giving this advice, telling 1 people what they need to do.

2 And it also goes to explain -- if the jury understands what can effect your survival 3

while you're in there, it helps the jury to 4

ascertain whether the injuries that they observed 5

were as a result of people following and doing 6 7 these things or not.

8 So if they -- if the doctor says you need

to do "X," "Y," "Z," and you'll come out fine, if 9

the witnesses who have already testified and said 10

having done "X," "Y," and "Z," and I didn't come 11

out fine, I think that would be relevant as to 12

13 causation.

14

21

25

1

THE COURT: Ms. Do.

15 MS. DO: Well, Your Honor, again, the

manslaughter charge requires conscious disregard, a 16

substantial risk of death. This question asks, 17

first of all, from the perspective of the victim 18

19 what they could do. That goes to, I think, a far

20 lower standard. It goes to negligence.

THE COURT: This has been an ongoing thing.

22 Let's say this, this question of level of charging,

as I've put it. The question is actually quite 23

careful. It says from a physician's perspective. 24

MR. HUGHES: Your Honor, I think because of

that, again, it's limiting it to causation. If you

2 do "A," "B," and "C," will it make a difference?

If you don't see that difference, then it calls 3

4 into question were the injuries caused by heat or

5 not caused by heat.

6 MS. DO: If Your Honor remembers, we had

7 raised an issue regarding the doctor's report

wherein he had in there a paragraph about what 8

could have been done so the victims could have

10 survived.

THE COURT: I remember that. As soon as I saw 11

12 the question, I remembered that.

13 MS. DO: And so that obviously was not appropriate. The Court ruled that would not be 14

15 allowed from this witness. And I think this

question, while I understand the jurors' curiosity 16

17 even though it's written from a physician's

perspective, it goes to the survivors' conduct. 18

19 What would you advise a survivor to do?

And I think that that moves in the concerns that we 20

had regarding the opinion that the Court excluded, 21

and that goes to, basically, what should have been 22

done. And this is a manslaughter charge. 23

MR. HUGHES: Your Honor, that may be somewhat

related in the sense of what could have been done.

264

24

Part of his report talked about recommending the 1 2 EMS people be there. It goes to something that Mr. Ray could have done. This is referring, again, 3 to actions by participants. Again, it's from a physician's standpoint, actions by a participants. 6 And we've already heard participants have 7 done "A," "B," and "C," and other people did "X," 8 "Y," and "Z." I think it's directly relevant to 9 assessing what the effects were doing those. It 10 goes right to causation. 11 THE COURT: Because -- with it being on a 12 physician's perspective, I'm going to ask the 13 question. 14 MR. HUGHES: Thank you, Your Honor. (End of sidebar conference.) 15 16 THE COURT: Doctor, I'll ask the questions.

17 The attorneys may wish to follow up. From a physician's perspective, what 19 survival advice would you give a patient of yours 20 to help prepare her for a forthcoming event in which she will be exposed to an enclosed, extreme

22 heat environment for over two hours? 23 Do you want me to reread it?

THE WITNESS: Yeah. Read it one more time,

25 please.

18

21

24

8

9

10

1 THE COURT: From a physician's perspective, 2 what survival advice would you give a patient of yours to help prepare her for a forthcoming event in which she will be exposed to an enclosed, 5 extreme heat environment for over two hours? 6 7

THE WITNESS: So if a patient came to me, as their doctor -- that's what I'm getting -- and asked that they were going to be exposed to a heat environment for two hours, and what would be my advice?

11 I'm just rewording that. Does that 12 sounding --

13 THE COURT: I really --

14 THE WITNESS: You can't. Okay.

15 THE COURT: I can read the question.

16 THE WITNESS: Sorry. Okay.

17 THE COURT: From a physician's perspective --

18 THE WITNESS: Okay.

19 THE COURT: -- what survival advice would you

20 give a patient of yours to help prepare her for a

21 forthcoming event in which she will be exposed to

an enclosed, extreme heat environment for over two 22

23 hours?

24 If you can answer that.

THE WITNESS: I can. There's several things 25

that somebody can do, and this is what we recommend 1 2 to our people that are going to be exposed to hot

3 environments.

One is time to acclimate. It takes a 4 couple weeks. We have patient -- people that move 5

here, especially the Marine Corps. You get these 6

7 new recruits in in the middle of July, and they

haven't had time to acclimate. So time to 8

9 acclimate can help you survive better.

Another thing you can do is keep well 10 hydrated. The -- we talked a little bit -- this is 11 physiologic response to -- to this heat is your --12 13 your arteries and veins dilate, and your heart's 14 got to pump a lot. And if you don't have the fluid in there, your heart's got to pump a lot more. So 15 16 keeping well hydrated ahead of time would be an

And then -- this is part of my lecture that I give -- is if you are going to be exposed to 19 20 heat, you need to hydrate continuously to keep up, especially if you're working out or being exposed for several hours. Something that if you 22 continuously drink fluids, that would at least help some -- help alleviate some of the problems. 24

And ultimately if I had a patient that

266

17

18

21

23

25

important thing.

said they were going to be in a hot environment for

two hours, I would recommend that they -- I would 2

educate them on the signs and symptoms. When they 3

have just little signs and symptoms -- nausea,

cramping, malaise -- get out, cool off before you 5

start going into the problems of heat stroke. 6

And that's where the problem can arise is 7

once you get to that level of heat stroke, you 8

might not recognize that you're getting worse 9

because you've got that change in mental status. 10

So I think those would be the things that 11 I would recommend to a patient if they were going 12

to do that. 13

15

16

21

22

THE COURT: Follow up, Mr. Hughes? 14

MR. HUGHES: Thank you.

FURTHER REDIRECT EXAMINATION

17 BY MR. HUGHES:

Q. Doctor, would you recommend that they 18 fast for a period of, say, 36 hours before they go 19

20 into that environment?

> Q. Why is that?

A. I would not.

23 A. Well, I mean, that's going to make you weaker. Anything you're going to do that's 24

strenuous, whether it's being in an enclosed, hot 25

3

5

10

18

21

25

3

5

area for a long period of time or exercising, 2 being -- being at your top physical condition is going to do you the best in that stressful time.

Q. How about sleep? Would you recommend they get a lot of sleep or very little sleep?

A. Absolutely lots of sleep. Again, whenever you're going to do a stressful activity, you want to be at your best.

Q. I think you had mentioned earlier in testimony you advised firefighters and paramedics 10 to keep an eye on each other when they're out -down in Yuma working. Would you give similar 12 advice to people going into this environment? 13

A. Absolutely.

MR. HUGHES: Thank you.

I have no further questions.

17 THE COURT: Ms. Do?

MS. DO: Thank you. 18

RECROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MS. DO: 20

1

3

4

5

6

7

9

11

14

15

16

19

2

6

21

25

21 **Q.** Good afternoon again, Doctor.

22 Α. Hello.

23 Q. So you would give the following advice as

24 a doctor: You would tell the person to hydrate,

25 hydrate, hydrate; right?

270

1 A. I would recommend hydrating. Yes.

Q. Okay. And so if you had an event that

was going to be the end of a five-day retreat, you 3

would tell the person to hydrate, hydrate, hydrate,

5 from the very beginning of the event?

A. Correct.

7 MR. HUGHES: Object to foundation as to -hydrate during the sweat lodge or before the sweat 8 lodge? 9

10 THE COURT: Okay. As to foundation.

11 MS. DO: Sure. I'll clear it up.

12 **Q.** I understood, Doctor, in answering this 13 question you said that it was important to be well hydrated continuously? 14

A. Correct. 15

16 **Q.** So assuming you had a five-day event

where the two-hour heated environment occurred at 17

18 the very end of those five days. You would begin

19 at the very -- at day one telling people to

hydrate, hydrate, hydrate? 20

A. Correct.

Q. You would also tell them to get out if 22

23 they needed to; right?

24 A. Correct.

> Q. So you would tell them if you have to

leave, then leave? 1

A. That would be part of it.

Q. Right. And get out and -- and cool off

if you need to; correct? 4

A. Correct.

6 Q. And so if there were electrolytes and fruits made available, you would advise the person 7

if you need to leave, then leave, go out and cool

off, drink if you need to; right? 9

A. Absolutely.

Q. And since Mr. Hughes asked you, Liz 11

Neuman, one of the decedents that you reviewed her 12

case for -- you understood that she did not fast; 13

14 correct?

A. It's possible. 15

16 Q. Do you know that?

A. I've read it, but it could be --17

Q. Okay.

I'll have to take your word for it. Α. 19

20 **Q.** Thank you.

No reason to dispute that she did not

22 fast; right?

A. No. 23

24 **Q.** And if the juror heard -- if the jury

heard testimony that she also slept before the

272

actual two-hour event, you would also consider 1

2 that; correct?

A. Yes. Definitely.

MS. DO: Thank you very much, Doctor. 4

THE WITNESS: Thank you.

6 THE COURT: Thank you.

Dr. Dickson, you'll be excused as a 7

witness at this time temporarily. You'll be 8

technically subject to recall. So that rule of

10 exclusion of witnesses will continue to apply. So

this isn't the time to talk to -- to other 11

12 witnesses in the case that are medical people.

There's been some talk about that during 13

14 examination.

But -- but a number of witnesses in this 15

case are subject to possible recall. So it's very 16

17 important you continue to follow that rule of

exclusion, not communicate -- not communicate with 18

any other witness until the case is completely 19

20 over, the trial is over.

Do you understand that?

THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. 22

THE COURT: You can talk to the lawyers,

however. You understand that, as well? 24

THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.

21

23

21

22

23

24

25

Α.

Q.

2001?

Around 2001.

became our Special Crimes Unit.

What did you do, then, between 1998 and

I became one of our DUI officers and,

basically, worked traffic. And the DUI squad

Page 273 to 276 of 344

Q. And you said you started where then? You

In what capacity did you work in 1995?

I worked in the jail as a detention

started at the Yavapai County Sheriff's Office

before you went to the academy?

A. Yes.

Q.

A.

21

22

23

24

25

69 of 86 sheets

9

17

- Q. And is that a specialty working DUI?
- Α. Yes.

1

2

7

15

24

2

5

- Q. And explain to the jury how so.
 - We'd go out and work traffic and look for drivers who were driving while impaired. We would go out and assist the other deputies. If they made a DUI stop, we'd help them process the arrest and take it over for them.
- 9 Eventually I became certified as an instructor and started teaching at the academy and 10 teaching other deputies on the road how do the DUI 11 12 investigations.
- 13 Q. How long did you work with that
- 14 particular detail?
 - A. About a year and a half.
- 16 Q. And in that capacity did you receive
- an -- an honor or an award? 17
- Α. Yes. 18
- 19 **Q.** Tell the jury what that was.
- 20 Α. I got an award from Mothers Against Drunk 21 Driving for the DUI enforcement.
- 22 Q. And was that for the -- for the work as
- an officer in that unit? 23
 - Α. Yes.
- 25 Q. Was that for Yavapai County?
- 278

- Α. 1 Yes.
 - Q. Did you also get trained in the Special
- Crimes Unit? 3
- 4 A. Yes.
 - Q. And explain to the jury what that is.
- 6 A. Special Crimes Unit was a unit --
- 7 originally we became a traffic unit, and we would
- 8 make traffic stops. And if we got a chance to
- 9 further drug investigations, we'd go forward with
- 10 that.

16

18

19

25

- 11 That eventually -- Special Crimes Unit eventually became part of our investigations unit 12 and transitioned to an undercover narcotics unit. 13
- 14 Q. How long did you work with the Special 15 Crimes Unit?
- When the Special Crimes Unit got formed, 17 I was there for a couple months. Then I became a regular detective. And then before I got promoted to sergeant, I went back in there and worked.
- 20 Have you been or are you also a member of 21 the SWAT team?
- 22 A. Yes. I was a member of the SWAT team for 23 ten years.
- 24 Q. And tell the jury what the SWAT team is.
 - We're a specialized weapons and tactics. Α.

- We'd go out and -- if there were certain situations
- that regular law enforcement wasn't equipped to 2
- handle, like somebody barricaded or search
- warrant -- high-risk search warrants, made threats 4
- against officers or hostage situations, our team 5
- would go out there and try to resolve that. 6
- 7 You then became a detective, you said, in
- 8 2001?
 - Α. Yes.
- 10 Q. And as a detective what were your
- 11 assignments?
- 12 I worked everything from burglaries and Α. frauds to homicides and sex offenses. 13
- And in that capacity did you receive any 14 training in how to do interviews, crime-scene 15
- 16 interviews?
 - Α. Yes.
- And specifically what training have you 18 Q.
- 19 received?
- Besides the training that we would just 20 Α. get at work and from mentoring from other 21 detectives and supervisors, I went to a Reid 22 23 **Interview School.**
- 24 Q. And tell the jury what the Reid Interview
- 25 School is.
- A. It just shows -- there's -- shows 1
 - different procedures for conducting interviews and 2
 - conducting interrogations of different people, 3
 - different types of personalities, and how to deal
 - with them and -- you know -- how to structure the 5
 - interview to be able to extract information. 6
 - Did you then -- how long were you -- how 7
 - long were you a detective with the criminal 8
 - 9 investigations unit beginning in 2001?
 - 10 A. About six years.
 - 11 Q. Then what did you do?
 - Then I went into Special Crimes Unit.
 - Then after that I promoted to sergeant and was a 13
 - Verde patrol sergeant. 14
 - Q. How long did you do that for?
 - 16 For about a year and a half.
 - From what year to what year? 17 Q.
 - About 2007 till around 2009. Α.
 - 19 At that time, Sergeant, did you begin to
 - 20 work specifically with the K-9 unit?
 - Α. Yes.
 - 22 Q. Tell the jury what that involved.
 - I supervised four K-9 handlers. And what
 - we used to do is work on I-17 and do narcotics 24 25
 - interdiction and -- basically, it was a traffic

280

12

15

18

21

1 2

7

10

14

17

18

20

21

25

1

2

9

unit. And we supervised the ---- supervised the officers and made sure that the dogs were being taken care of and dog training stuff.

And just to make sure we understand, tell the jury what a K-9 unit refers to.

K-9 unit is one officer who's assigned a dog and the dogs that we have are trained to detect the odors of narcotics.

Q. How long did you supervise that unit?

10 Α. For a little over a year.

And as a supervisor did you have duties 11 Q.

12 in addition to simply being -- I shouldn't say

simply. But duties in addition to being on the 13

14 road and doing the work itself?

> A. Yes.

16 Q. And describe for the jury what those

17 duties were.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

15

18

19

20

21

2

3

5

9

12

Α. Basically, at the sheriff's office -- if you're a sergeant, you're a sergeant over at the sheriff's office. So if there's anything going on or any other -- you know -- you'd have to respond 22 and help the other deputies with calls or providing 23 backup or -- you know -- respond to any -- because

24 we don't have a supervisor on in every area,

sometimes I'd have to go to different areas and 25

282

supervise things. 1

Did you also take on some administrative duties, such as grant writing?

4 Α. Yes.

> In -- did you get another promotion after Q.

6 that or another assignment?

7 Α. Yes.

8 Q. And what to?

> Α. Criminal investigations.

Q. Is that what you do today? 10

11 Α.

> Q. And what is your position with

13 criminal -- the Criminal Investigations Unit?

14 I'm the sergeant over the Criminal 15 Investigations Unit based out of the Verde.

16 How many people do you supervise in that Q. 17 capacity?

18 A. I believe eight.

19 And what are your duties as supervisor of

the Verde Criminal Investigations Unit? 20

21 I approve reports, approve search warrants. I supervise our computer forensics 22

23 person. I supervise our sex crimes unit and our

24 sex offender registration unit as well as just

manage day-to-day cases.

We also have a couple cold cases that we

keep up on and -- you know -- just try to make

sure -- try to provide leadership and make sure 3

that everybody is getting stuff done. 4

5 Q. You also handle your cases -- you also

6 handle cases in addition to supervising others?

Α.

Q. And in terms of supervising others on 8

cases, do you get involved in their cases? 9

Α. Sometimes.

Let's go back to October of 2009. What Q. 11

was your assignment then -- what were your duties 12

13 then?

> I was supervising the K-9 unit. Α.

And on October 8th of 2009, do you recall 15

16 where you were?

> Yes. I was working in the Verde area. Α.

And at the time did you hear some

information that caused you to take some action? 19

Α.

Where did you hear information from? Q.

I heard it on the radio. 22

And when you say "the radio," do you mean 23 Q.

24 KYCA or --

> Α. Police radio.

Q. What did you hear?

> One of the deputies said he was somewhere Α.

3 and he had several people down.

Do you recall what deputy that was that 4 Q.

5 you heard?

6 A. Yes. It was Deputy Sutton.

And were you here close to this 7 Q.

8 courthouse at this time?

> A. Yes. I was leaving the jail.

10 And what did you do upon hearing that

radio traffic from Deputy Sutton? 11

12 I tried to call dispatch and see -- tried to reach Sutton on the radio. And then I tried to

13 call dispatch and find out what was going on. 14

Q. And did you reach anybody? 15

Yeah. But they really didn't quite know 16

what was going on. They just said there was some 17

type of -- something was going on out at 18

Angel Valley, and there were some injured people. 19

20 And they didn't really have a lot of other

21 information.

22 Had you heard of Angel Valley before this Q.

23 day?

24

Α. No.

25 Q. What did you do then?

2

8

9

10

11

21

22

A. I called up the other deputies over the radio, the police radio, and told them to all respond to that area Code 3 until we found out what was going on.

Q. And, Sergeant, why would -- why did it fall to you, then, to call other deputies and tell them to go to the scene?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

12

15

16

17

18

19

25

1

5

7

8

9

16

19

20

21

22

25

Α. There wasn't another supervisor on at the time.

10 Q. Do you recall about what time it was that 11 you got this information?

A. Probably around 6:00 at night.

13 What did you personally do after ordering 14 other deputies to go to the scene?

I made sure that the Verde area was going to be covered. So I made some arrangements for some other deputies to come out there and cover the area until I knew what was going on, and I headed out there.

20 Q. Do you know how long it took you to get 21 out?

22 Α. Maybe 20, 25 minutes.

23 Q. How many deputies had you ordered to go 24 to the scene?

Α. I think we wound up having three guys --

286

all three guys that were on.

2 Q. Do you recall what time it was that you 3 arrived at the scene at Angel Valley?

A. Not really. 4

Q. And, Sergeant, as you were -- how did you

6 find out where Angel Valley was located?

They had -- they had directions in the calls, and there was somebody who was standing out there off -- off of Highway 89 flagging people in.

10 There was some guy standing next to a motorcycle.

11 I had no idea what he was doing there, but he

12 was -- somebody said turn over where the guy's at 13 with the motorcycle.

14 Q. And as you drove into Angel Valley, did 15 any vehicles pass you coming out?

> Α. Yes.

17 Q. Tell the jury what sort of vehicle passed 18 you as you were driving in.

Α. Ambulance.

Q. Do you recall how many ambulances?

> Α. I know I saw one, maybe two.

Q. Did you also see air traffic?

23 Α. Yes.

24 Q. As were you driving in?

> Α. Yes.

Q. And tell the jury what you saw.

Α. It was a medical helicopter.

Q. 3 Was it arriving or leaving?

4 Α. I believe it was leaving.

5 When you got down to the scene, what 6 information did you have before physically seeing 7 the scene, visually seeing it?

Didn't really have any information. Just that there were some people -- bunch of people down and people being medevaced out. And that was it.

And limiting your comments now to what 12 you personally observed when you first arrived at the scene, tell the jury what you saw. 13

There were people around this sweat lodge 14 that were - some people were sitting there. Some 15 people were catching their breath. There was --16 EMS was working on some people. And there -17 oxygen bottles laying around. So there's a lot of 18 stuff going on. There were some ambulances, fire 19 20 department people.

And had deputies from your office arrived Q. before you did?

23 A. Yes.

Was there a period of time, Sergeant, 24 Q.

that you were actually the -- in charge of the 25

scene in terms of the Yavapai County Sheriff's 1

2 Office?

5

12 13

14

15

18

19

20

21

3 Α. Yes.

Q. 4 And for how long?

Probably for about 40, 50 minutes. Α.

6 Why was it that you were in charge in

terms of your office's response for the first 45 7

8 minutes?

9 Α. Well, the area lieutenant had gone home already, and the sergeant that would have been on 10 in the area at that time was out on training. 11

And so upon your first arrival, were you calling the shots in terms of your offices and your deputies -- your office and your deputies?

> Yes. Α.

16 When you first arrived at the scene, were you aware of any patients who were down? 17

> Α. Yes.

Q. How did you become aware of that?

Α. As far as physically or being worked on?

What was your attention called to first

22 when you arrived at the scene?

I saw one person getting CPR done and 23 other people getting various aid from the medical 24 25

Q. I'm going to put up on the overhead Exhibit 145. And I realize it is not the scene as you saw it. I'll represent to you that this is the scene before the sweat lodge ceremony began.

But you just mentioned that somebody was down doing CPR. Can you orient yourself looking at this photograph as to where that person was?

- Α. Yes.
- Q. And show the jury where.
- 10 I believe it was probably right around here.
- 11

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

- 12 Q. And did you ever learn who that person
- 13 was?
- 14 A. I'm not sure -- no. I'm not sure.
- 15 Q. Was the fire department there before you
- arrived there, Sergeant? 16
- 17 Α. Yes.
- 18 Q. And was there somebody in charge of the
- 19 scene?

25

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

19

20

21

22

- A. Yes. 20
- Q. And who was that? 21
- 22 They had a battalion chief or a chief
- 23 over there. And he was doing the incident command
- 24 out of his truck.
 - Q. Can you explain to the jury in terms of

290

- 1 jurisdiction and -- and managing a scene like this,
- 2 how do you work with the fire department and the
- 3 incident commander?
 - A. Well, basically, the fire department is going to render aid and try to take care of what are the problems causing the thing. So they're going to be in charge of it at that point.

So they're in charge of the first responders. They're setting up the incident commands where we keep track of who's being transported medically, what hospital they're going to, how many people have been transported. And they're going to call in more resources.

14 So we're kind of there at that point to 15 just to kind of secure the scene and render any aid 16 we can.

- 17 Q. And what did you do, then, upon arriving 18 at the scene?
 - A. Talked to the deputies, told them to make sure they -- I can't remember if there was crime-scene tape up or not. But I had them extend the crime scene.
- 23 Q. And let me stop you right there. Tell the jury what you mean that you had them extend the 24 crime scene.

- 1 Weil, somebody put up some tape. And I 2 don't know if it was EMS or what. But we had them -- I had them extend it and make the area 3 4 bigger.
- 5 Q. And did you do that while first 6 responders, while medical personnel, were at the 7 scene working?
 - Α. Yes.

8

19

20

21 22

23

25

1

2

8

- 9 Q. How is it that you put up crime-scene tape if you have first responders rendering medical 10 11 aid to victims?
- There was -- I believe that there was one A. 12 spot that already had some crime-scene tape up. 13 14 And I think they were working on somebody on the other side of that. But they tried to put it 15 16 around -- you know -- if there are people there, you just put it around. So it was a pretty wide 17 18 perimeter.
 - Q. And when you are instructing somebody to put up crime-scene tape when, in fact, you have medical personnel working on victims, what is the priority at that time?
 - Α. The medical people.
- The medical people are the priority? 24 Q.
 - Α. Yes.

Q. Do you know when it was that you instructed one of your deputies to expand the

- 3 crime-scene tape?
- Α. 4 No.
- Q. And in terms of your arrival, do you 5 6 recall today how much time had passed before extending the crime-scene tape became one of your 7 priorities?
- 9 Α. A little bit.
- Q. 10 Some other things had happened first?
- 11 Α.
- Q. At that scene what was, then, your first 12 13 priority?
- Well, I started talking to people and 14 Α. just trying to figure out what's going on. We 15 didn't know -- you know -- we didn't know if this 16 was some weird cult thing or some mass suicide, or 17 we didn't know what happened to these people or 18 19 whv.

20 So we had to preserve what was there just in case it needed to be investigated. We had to 21 render aid. We -- like, somebody said there was a 22 23 guy who crawled through some rocks and burnt his arm. And we couldn't find that guy. 24 25

Some people were going up into this

- lodging area. I guess there's a notel on this
 property. So I sent my guys up there to -- you
 know -- first of all, go and make a search of this
- 4 whole area and see if there's any other people. I
 5 told them to contact everybody up in the lodge to
- 6 make sure that they were okay.
- Q. And let me stop -- stop you there. You
 just referred to this property as a hotel. Will
 you tell the jury whether you ever actually went to
 the lodging area at Angel Valley.
 - A. No, I didn't.
- Q. Where did you stay the whole time youwere there?
- 14 A. Mainly down there by the area where the 15 sweat lodge was.
- Q. And you made the decision to send
 deputies to go up to the lodging to find additional
 people?
- 19 A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

15

22

23

24

25

- Q. What instructions did you give yourdeputies?
- 22 A. I said, check everybody up there to make 23 sure they're okay.
- Q. Did you tell your dep -- deputies how toqo about checking on everybody?
 - A. I told them to make sure that they went into every room and contacted -- you know -- made sure that if there was somebody in the room or not in the room, make sure that they contacted people.
- Q. Did you instruct your deputies what to do
 if you -- if they found somebody who appeared not
 to be okay?
- 8 A. Well, I'm sure they would just -- you 9 know -- call for EMS to go up there.
- Q. What else, then, in terms of prioritiesdid you do at the scene?
- A. Contacted the lieutenant for the area and told him what was going on and told him that we needed some follow-up at the hospital.
 - Q. And what else?
- 16 A. After that I also requested that 17 investigations comes out after I found out that 18 some people had died.
- 19 Q. Do you recall about what time it was that20 you learned that people had passed away?
 - A. Probably within about 30 minutes. After we got the thing settled down a little bit, I was able to talk to the fire department, the IC. And he said there had been a couple people who died and a couple people weren't looking too good.

- Q. Did you also order that photographs be
- taken?

Α.

Yes.

1

2

3

7

14

21

25

294

- MS. POLK: Your Honor, counsel has stipulatedto the admission of Exhibit 274 and 276.
- 6 THE COURT: 274 and 276 are admitted.
 - (Exhibits 274 and 276 admitted.)
- 8 Q. BY MS. POLK: Sergeant, when you arrived,9 do you recall how much daylight there was?
- 10 A. Yeah. It was light for a little bit 11 longer, probably 40 minutes, an hour.
- 12 Q. And just jumping ahead, what time did you 13 leave the scene?
 - A. I think around midnight or 1:00 o'clock.
- Q. I'm going to put up on the overhead
 Exhibit 276, which has just been admitted. And I
 know it's not the greatest of photographs.
- Does this appear to be one of the photographs that your deputies took after you asked them to take some photographs?
 - A. Probably.
- Q. Do you recognize -- I -- again, I knowit's not a good photograph. Do you recognize
- 24 what's depicted in that photograph?
 - A. Yeah. It looks like the sweat lodge and

1 the fire pit in front of it.

- Q. And you had said -- earlier mentioned
 that somebody over on the tarp to the left was
 receiving CPR when you arrived?
- 5 A. Yeah. I don't think they were on the 6 tarp. I think they were further past the fire pit 7 in that direction.
- 8 Q. Does this photograph show that area or 9 does it not?
- 10 A. No.
- Q. Sergeant, I just want to bring this
 photograph up to you and ask you if you're able to
 recognize what's over there.
- 14 A. That looks like an oxygen bottle.
- **Q.** Okay. Does that look like a person by
- 16 it?

17

21

22

25

- A. Yes.
- 18 Q. And, as you testify here today, do you19 have any recollection of what was going on in that
- 20 area where you see a person and an oxygen bottle?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. What do you recall?
- 23 A. I think that might be one of the people 24 that were getting CPR.
 - Q. When you were at the scene, do you recall

1 if there was more air traffic?

2 MR. LI: Your Honor, just for the record, if

3 we could just see where the detective -- or the

4 sergeant was pointing.

5

6

8

15

24

1

2

5

7

9

14

15

21

THE COURT: Okay. Ms. Polk is doing that, I believe.

7 MR. LI: Thank you.

Q. BY MS. POLK: I just put Exhibit 276 back

9 up on the overhead. Can you circle on your screen

10 the area that you just said you believed somebody

11 was getting CPR at.

12 Was there additional air traffic while

13 you were at the scene?

14 A. I believe so.

Q. Do you recall more helicopters taking off

16 with patients?

17 A. I think they transported one more while I

18 was there.

19 Q. Okay. And I'm going to put up on the

20 overhead Exhibit 274. I'll ask you if this appears

21 to be another photograph taken by one of your

22 deputies?

23 A. Yes.

Q. I'm going to put up on the overhead

25 Exhibit 275. Do you recognize this photograph?

298

A. Yes.

Q. And do you recognize any of the people in

3 this photograph?

4 A. I believe the deputy is Deputy Sutton.

Q. Will you circle where you see

6 Deputy Sutton on this -- this photograph.

And do you have any recollection of what

8 is in this photograph and where I just circled?

A. I think they're working on somebody there

10 too.

11 Q. Then I'm going to put up on the overhead

12 Exhibit 274. Does this photograph also look

13 familiar to you?

A. Yes.

Q. I put up on the overhead Exhibit 278,

16 Sergeant, and ask you if you recognize that to be

17 the sweat lodge that you saw on October 8th

18 of 2009?

19 A. Yes.

20 Q. Did you ever go over to the sweat lodge?

A. Yes.

22 Q. For what purpose?

23 A. Well, I wanted to make sure nobody else

24 was still in it.

25 Q. How did you do that? How did you make

1 sure nobody was still inside?

2 A. I just walked up to it and stuck my head

in there and made sure no one was there.

4 Q. Did you put your head in the door or

through one of the side areas that had been opened

6 up?

5

7

8

14

18

22

25

2

3

6

11

12

15

17

21

Page 297 to 300 of 344

A. Through the door.

Q. Did you see anybody in when you put your

9 head in the door?

10 A. No.

11 Q. When you put your head in the door, did

12 you also take note of whether or not there were any

13 odors present?

A. Yeah. I didn't smell anything that --

15 that I didn't expect to smell.

Q. Were you purposely looking for smells

17 when you put your head in the door?

A. No.

19 Q. And when you say you didn't smell

20 anything you didn't expect to smell, what do you

21 mean?

A. It just smelled like air in there.

23 Q. Did you make any general observations

24 about people who -- did you make any observations

about whether or not people still at the scene had

300

1 been inside the sweat lodge?

That was probably a poor question.

Were you able to determine whether

4 anybody still at the scene had been inside the

5 sweat lodge?

A. Yes.

7 Q. And did you make any general observations

8 about -- for those who were not being worked on by

9 emergency medical personnel, did you make any

10 general observations about them?

A. Yes.

Q. And, generally, what did you observe?

13 A. They just looked like they were fine.

14 Q. Did you have a concern for carbon

monoxide poisoning when you first arrived at the

16 scene?

A. Yes.

18 Q. And have you had some training in

19 recognizing somebody who might be suffering from

20 carbon monoxide poisoning?

A. Yes.

Q. And specifically, is there a symptom that

23 you've been trained to look for to determine

24 whether someone has been exposed to carbon

25 monoxide?

BY MS. POLK: Did you ask a question of

25

Α.

Yes.

			305			307
	1		n you believed was named Jason?	1	seated in	
	2	Α.	Yes.	2		LI: We'll stipulate that this is James
	3	Q.	And what did you ask him?	3	Ray.	The state of the s
Ì	4	Α.	Who was in charge.	4		POLK: The state will accept accept
•		Q. And without telling us the answer, what		5	that stipu	
	6	did you do		6		COURT: Okay.
	7	Α.	I had the deputies go look for him.	7	_	POLK: And
	8	Q.	And who did you send the deputies to look	8		COURT: That's so stipulated.
	9	for?		9		POLK: Thank you.
	10	A.	For James Ray.	10	Q.	Is it this man, then, that you had a
i	11	Q.	Did you at that time determine whether or	11	conversat	ion with?
	12	not James	Ray was at the scene of the sweat lodge?	12	A.	Yes.
	13	A.	Yes.	13	Q.	Your first question was what?
	14	Q.	And was he?	14	Α.	I believe I just asked him you know
	15	A.	No.	15	what his	name was, what he did for a living.
	16	Q.	What instructions did you give to your	16	Q.	And what was his answer?
	17	deputy to	go look for him?	17	A.	He said, help people change their lives
	18	A.	I told him to go up to the lodging area	18	and	
	19	and find	him.	19	Q.	And then what did you ask him?
	20	Q.	After some time did James Ray come back	20	A.	I asked him who was conducting the sweat
į	21	to the sce	ne?	21	lodge.	
	22	A.	Yes.	22	Q.	And what did he say?
	23	Q.	And did you observe him come back to the	23	A.	He said it was Ted.
	24	scene?		24	Q.	And what did you say?
	25	A.	Yes.	25	A.	I asked him how many people were in the
			306			308
1	1	Q.	And how did he get back to the scene?	1	sweat lo	dge.
	2	A.	He came in a golf cart.	2	Q.	And what was his answer?
	3	Q.	And who was he with somebody or was he	3	A.	Around 40.
	4	alone?		4	Q.	And was there did you ask him anything
	5	A.	I believe he was with the owner of	5	else?	
l	6	Angel Va	Angel Valley.			Did you ask the defendant where he lived?
	7	Q.	Did you approach James Ray at that time?	7	A.	Yes.
	8	A.	Yes.	8	Q.	And what did he say?
	9	Q.	And how did you know it was James Ray who	9	A.	He said, Las Vegas.
	10	had arrive	ed?	10	Q.	Sergeant, have you been in a sweat lodge
	11	A.	I think he was pointed out.	11	before?	
	12	Q.	And then what did you do when you	12	A.	Yes.
	13	approache	ed James Ray?	13	MR.	LI: Objection. Relevance.
	14	A.	Just asked him what was going on.	14	THE COURT: I'm going to let that that	
	15	Q.	And let's go through it question by	15	answer can stand.	
	16	question i	f if you can.	16		Overruled.
	17		What was the first question you asked the	17	Q.	BY MS. POLK: When you asked the
	18	defendant	? Did you ask him his name?	18	defendant	t who was conducting the sweat lodge, did
19		A.	A. Yes.		that choic	e of words mean something to you?
ļ	20	Q.	And what was the response?	20	MR.	LI: Objection. Relevance.
N	21	A.	He told me he was James Ray.	21		COURT: Overruled.
	22	Q.	And, for the record, do you see James Ray	22		You may answer that.
	i	here in th	e courtroom?	23	THE	WITNESS: Yes.
	23	mere in en	C 054: 1: 00111.			W1111255. 1C3.
	23	A.	Yes.	24	Q.	BY MS. POLK: And what did that mean?
				ı	_	

2

And what was -- what were the words you used to the defendant when you asked him that auestion?

7 I asked him who was conducting the sweat 8 lodge.

Q. And what was his answer?

Α. 10 He said it was Ted.

Q. 11 Did he tell you who Ted was?

12 I don't know if he did, but I eventually Α.

13 figured out who Ted was.

14 Q. Let's stick with the conversation that 15 you had with the defendant. I believe you said you 16 asked him how many people were inside?

> Yes. Α.

Q. And what was his answer?

Α. 40. 19

4

5

6

9

17

18

25

3

20 Q. Did you ask him anything about the length

21 of the sweat lodge ceremony?

22 A. Yeah. He said it was two hours.

23 Q. And did you ask him anything about where

24 the defendant had been during the ceremony?

A. Yes. He said he was in the sweat lodge

310

the whole time. 1

2 Q. The whole time?

How did you learn who Ted was?

4 A. Somebody pointed him out -- pointed him 5 out to me. And he had brought some food down for Mr. Ray while we were doing this thing and --6

7 Q. Who had brought food down?

8 A. I believe Ted did.

9 How long, Sergeant, did it take you to

10 identify a person named Ted at the scene?

11 A. It wasn't too long. I think we

12 originally saw him during -- when we first got

13 here.

16

19

14 Q. Did you have contact or a conversation

15 with Ted? You personally?

Α.

17 Q. Did you at the scene interview someone

18 named Fawn Foster?

> Α. Yes.

20 Q. Do you recall, Sergeant, where you were 21 when you interviewed Fawn Foster?

22

Α. Somewhere by the comfort station.

23 And I'm going to put up on the overhead

24 Exhibit 275. Does that show the area where you

25 were when you interviewed Fawn Foster? Α. Yes. Around there.

Q. Do you recall where Fawn Foster was when

3 vou interviewed her?

4 Somewhere in the area. I think she's by 5 the golf cart. But I think at that time the golf 6 cart might have been moved over toward the side of 7 the comfort station area.

8 Did you ask -- what did you ask Fawn Q.

Foster when you interviewed her? 9

10 MR. LI: Objection. Hearsay.

MS. POLK: My question is what did he ask Fawn 11

12 Foster.

14

17

18

22

13 THE COURT: And that's overruled.

You may answer that question.

BY MS. POLK: Now, this is just what you 15

asked Fawn Foster, not how she responded. 16

She said that she --

THE COURT: Wait. Excuse me. I mean it. And

Sergeants, I said very specifically answer that 19

20 question.

21 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry.

THE COURT: So Ms. Polk, ask it again.

23 And then please listen carefully to the

24 auestion.

25 Q. BY MS. POLK: I'm not asking you to tell

the jury what Fawn Foster told you, because that's

her testimony. But what questions did you ask Fawn 2

3 Foster?

A. I asked her what she did. I asked her 4

how long the sweat lodge was. And that was about 5

6 it.

12

15

7 How long would you say your contact with

Fawn Foster was? 8

9 Α. Just a few moments.

10 Did you attempt to record the

conversation with Fawn Foster? 11

> Α. Yes.

Q. And were you successful? 13

Α. 14

> Q. What happened?

16 Α. For some reason it didn't get on my

17 recorder.

Q. What else did you do at the scene that we 18

have not talked about? 19

20 MR. LI: Objection. Form of the question.

MS. POLK: I'll ask -- I'll ask more direct 21

22 questions.

23 THE COURT: Thank you.

Q. BY MS. POLK: Did you conduct any other

interviews at the scene?

312

	2	Q.	And did additional personnel from your				
	3	office continue to arrive?					
Ų	4	A.	Yes.				
	5	Q.	At what point were you no longer the				
	6	n from your office in charge of the scene?					
	7	A.	Around the time Lieutenant Parkinson got				
	8	there.					
	9	Q.	Lieutenant Parkinson?				
	10	Α.	Yes.				
	11	Q.	And you said that was about 45 minutes				
	12	after you	had arrived?				
	13	A.	Yes.				
	14	Q.	At that point, then, who was directing				
	15	the activi	ty at the Yavapai County Sheriff's				
-	16	Office?					
-	17	A.	The lieutenant was.				
-	18	Q.	What time did you leave the scene?				
	19	A.	Between midnight and 1:00 o'clock.				
	20	Q.	And have you had further involvement in				
	21	this case	since leaving that scene around midnight				
	22	or 1:00 a.m.?					
	23	A.	No.				
	24	MS.	POLK: Thank you, Your Honor.				
	25		Thank you, Sergeant.				
P			314				
1							
	1	THE	COURT: Thank you, Ms. Polk.				
	2	THE	Mr. Li.				
_	2		Mr. Li. CROSS-EXAMINATION				
_	2 3 4	BY MR. LI	Mr. Li. CROSS-EXAMINATION :				
	2 3 4 5	BY MR. LI Q.	Mr. Li. CROSS-EXAMINATION Good afternoon, Sergeant.				
	2 3 4 5 6	BY MR. LI Q. A.	Mr. Li. CROSS-EXAMINATION Good afternoon, Sergeant. Good afternoon.				
	2 3 4 5 6 7	BY MR. LI Q. A. Q.	Mr. Li. CROSS-EXAMINATION C: Good afternoon, Sergeant. Good afternoon. How are you?				
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	BY MR. LI Q. A. Q. A.	Mr. Li. CROSS-EXAMINATION CROS				
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	BY MR. LI Q. A. Q. A. Q.	Mr. Li. CROSS-EXAMINATION CROS				
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	BY MR. LI Q. A. Q. A. Q.	Mr. Li. CROSS-EXAMINATION C: Good afternoon, Sergeant. Good afternoon. How are you? Good. Now, you testified that you saw somebody of CPR on you saw some EMTs conducting				
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	BY MR. LI Q. A. Q. A. Q. conductin	Mr. Li. CROSS-EXAMINATION C: Good afternoon, Sergeant. Good afternoon. How are you? Good. Now, you testified that you saw somebody of CPR on you saw some EMTs conducting				
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	BY MR. LI Q. A. Q. A. Q. conductin	Mr. Li. CROSS-EXAMINATION CROS				
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	BY MR. LI Q. A. Q. Conductin CPR on th A. Q.	Mr. Li. CROSS-EXAMINATION CROS				
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	BY MR. LI Q. A. Q. Conductin CPR on th A. Q.	Mr. Li. CROSS-EXAMINATION CROS				
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	BY MR. LI Q. A. Q. conductin CPR on th A. Q. you saw I	Mr. Li. CROSS-EXAMINATION CROS				
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	BY MR. LI Q. A. Q. conductin CPR on th A. Q. you saw I	Mr. Li. CROSS-EXAMINATION CROS				
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	BY MR. LI Q. A. Q. conductin CPR on th A. Q. you saw I by the do A. Q.	Mr. Li. CROSS-EXAMINATION For a cross-examination CROSS-EXAMINATION				
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	BY MR. LI Q. A. Q. conductin CPR on th A. Q. you saw I by the do A. Q.	Mr. Li. CROSS-EXAMINATION CROS				
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	BY MR. LI Q. A. Q. conductin CPR on th A. Q. you saw I by the do A. Q. Friday. Y	Mr. Li. CROSS-EXAMINATION CROS				
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	BY MR. LI Q. A. Q. conductin CPR on th A. Q. you saw I by the do A. Q. Friday. Y A.	Mr. Li. CROSS-EXAMINATION CROS				
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	BY MR. LI Q. A. Q. conductin CPR on th A. Q. you saw I by the do A. Q. Friday. Y A. Q.	Mr. Li. CROSS-EXAMINATION CROS				
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	BY MR. LI Q. A. Q. Conductin CPR on th A. Q. you saw I by the do A. Q. Friday. Y A. Q. A. Q.	Mr. Li. CROSS-EXAMINATION CROS				
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	BY MR. LI Q. A. Q. conductin CPR on th A. Q. you saw I by the do A. Q. Friday. Y A. Q. misrecolle	Mr. Li. CROSS-EXAMINATION CROS				

No.

actually behind the sweat lodge. Is it in front or 1 behind? I just want to make sure we're clear on 3 this. The picture that I was shown where the 4 fire bit was. 5 Q. Yeah. 6 7 So it would be more --MR. LI: Can I get 145 up. This might help. 9 Q. Is that -- is that a --10 Yes. Α. Q. -- picture will help you? Okay. 11 12 Okay. So it was not on this tarp but passed that area. 13 14 Q. Somewhere around here? No. Farther on the other side of the 15 fire. There was just one person -- they had a 16 bunch of people they were working on. But there 17 was one person that was probably out -- out there 18 farther that's not shown in the picture. Q. All right. Can you just help me just 20 21 directionally. So we're -- we're sort of going --22 I'm going to draw an arrow. Kind of like this direction? 23 A. Yeah. Probably a little more this way. 24 Q. Okay. So I'm going to clear that again. 25 316 This way? 1 A. Yes. 2 Q. Okay. And about how far? 3 I'm not really sure. It was somewhere 5 over that area. Q. Okay. So it was definitely not here? 6 A. Well, they were working on people in all 7 different areas when I got there. 8 Q. CPR, though. I just want to focus on 9 CPR. 10 11 A. I'm pretty sure that they were doing CPR on somebody here, but --12 Q. Okay. Don't point. The problem is we 13 can't see where you're pointing. 14 A. I don't think -- well, I don't remember 15 if somebody was getting CPR in this area too. 16 17 Q. Okay. So you don't recall one way or 18 another whether folks were getting CPR in this particular area? 19 Α. 20 21 Q. Okay. So no -- no knowledge one way or the other? 22 23 Yes. Okay. But you did know of one person --

25

and I believe you said it was a woman.

25 folks who were receiving the CPR. They were

6

Α. Yes.

1

- 2 Q. And she was getting CPR in -- in this
- area. So -- and -- you know -- the perspective 3
- 4 would be sort of --
- 5 A. Yeah. The perspective got me messed up.
- But yeah. Somewhere around that area, from what I 6
- 7 remember.
- Q. Now, you know that EMTs, when they 8
- 9 give -- CPR is for somebody whose heart is stopped?
- 10 Α. Yes.
- Q. 11 Right? You don't give somebody CPR if
- 12 their heart is racing -- you know -- at 130 beats
- 13 per -- per minute or something like that, would
- 14 you?
- 15 A. That sounds -- sounds right.
- 16 Q. I mean, you've been trained in CPR?
- 17 A. Yes.
- Q. And that's part of your duties as a -- as 18
- 19 a patrol deputy, as a sergeant, all of the sorts of
- 20 things; right?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 And if somebody is down, you've got to
- 23 know when to -- when to do CPR on them; right?
- 24 A. Yes.
- Q. 25 And the time to do CPR on them is when
- 1 their heart is stopped; correct?
- 2 Α. Sounds like a good idea.
- 3 Q. Right. And when they're not breathing --
- 4 Α. Yes.
- 5 Q. -- correct?
- 6 Now, you know that EMTs, when they arrive
- 7 at a scene and they do things, they have to write a
- report? 8
- 9 Α. Yes.
- 10 Q. Just like you do; correct?
- 11 Α.
- 12 Q. And they have to make that report as
- 13 accurate as possible.
- 14 Α. Yes.
- 15 Q. Just like you do; correct?
- 16 Α. Yes.
- 17 So that when if they have to come talk to
- 18 this jury or something like that, everybody knows
- what happened a year and a half ago; right? 19
- 20 Α. Yes.

21

- Q. Just like you do?
- 22 Α. Yes.
- 23 Now, if I represent to you -- so -- so
- 24 one thing that they would have to write down is if
- there was a patient who was in full code, that 25

- means no heartbeat, no breathing, they'd have to 1
- 2 write that down, wouldn't they?
 - Α. Yes.
- And it's not something you would forget 4 Q. to write down if you were either a deputy; correct? 5
 - A. Yes.
- 7 You wouldn't -- you wouldn't forget to
- 8 write that down; right?
- 9 And an -- an EMT is supposed to write
- 10 that down too; correct?
- Well -- you know -- I'm just guessing. 11
- 12 But I would guess so.
- 13 Q. If I told you -- and this is not a big
- 14 deal. Okay? But if I told you that there were
- only two people on the entire scene who received 15
- 16 CPR and those two people were located where the
- circle is, would you have any reason to dispute 17
- 18 that?

19

25

2

5

318

- Α. No.
- 20 Q. Okay. So is it possible that your
- 21 recollection that the person who was receive --
- receiving CPR was sort of located where the arrow 22
- 23 is drawn? Is that possible that your recollection
- 24 is wrong?
 - It's possible. But for some reason that Α.

 - area stuck out to me. 1
 - Q. Okay. But the perspective might be off.
 - It was -- it was later in the day. All of those
 - sorts of things; right? 4
 - Α. Yes.
 - And you wouldn't have any reason to 6
 - disagree with the various medical records that have 7
- 8 been admitted into this case or the testimony of
- 9 the various EMTs who've -- who've been here, would
- 10 you?

11

16

19

22

- Α. No.
- 12 Q. And so if those records say that the only
- two people who received CPR were in that sort of --13
- in this location, you wouldn't have any reason to 14
- dispute that, would you? 15
 - A.
- 17 Q. Okay. So you could be incorrect about
- 18 the location --
 - Α. Yeah.
- 20 Q. -- of the -- of the -- of the folks who
- 21 were receiving CPR?
 - Α. Yes.
- 23 All right. Now, you told Ms. Polk that
- you don't recall exactly when you arrived on scene? 24 25
 - Α. Yes.

321 Q. Okay. Would it refresh your recollection 1 2 to look at your report where you write the approximate time you -- you arrived? A. Yes. 5 MR. LI: If I could approach, Your Honor? 6 THE COURT: Yes. 7 THE WITNESS: Actually, I have a copy of my 8 report, so I'm going to look at it. 9 Q. BY MR. LI: Okay. Great. Yeah. Take a 10 peek at it. 11 And just so we're looking in the same 12 place, I'm going to --13 If I may approach? 14 THE COURT: You may. Q. BY MR. LI: Okay. So --15 A. Yeah. It says -- be about 6:10 at night. 16 17 Q. All right. So you arrived on scene at about 6:10 at night; correct? 18 A. Yes. 19 20 Q. And this was after you had gone -- you'd heard the dispatch on the radio; right? 21 22 And then -- correct? A. Yes. 23 24 **Q.** And then you made the arrangements you needed to make to make sure that the Verde was 322

1 Okay? You had told me that there -- there was a 2 two-man team and they were working on this female 4 who was down; correct? 5 A. Yes. Q. Now, I had asked you whether or not you 6 7 had seen an AutoPulse on this -- on this person who was down, this female? 8 9

A. Yes.

Q. Do you remember that? 10 And -- and did you see an AutoPulse on --11 12 on this -- on this person who was down?

A. No. Not that I recall.

14 Q. Okay. Do you -- you -- can you tell the 15 jury what an AutoPulse is.

A. It's like a backboard, and it has a strap 16 on it, and it does chest compressions 17 automatically. 18

19 Q. And it also has various leads into it that hook up to a heart-rate monitor; correct -- or 20 a heart monitor; correct? 21

A. Yes.

23 Q. And -- and the purpose is to keep that compression going; right? 24

A. Yes.

25

1

7

9

12

15

18

22

24

22

13

324

covered; correct? 1

2 A. Yes.

3 **Q.** And then you ordered some deputies to the 4 scene to -- to help secure the scene and to -- you

know -- assist in any way necessary; correct? 5

A. Yes.

6

10

21

Q. And then you also went there to supervise 7 all that: correct? 8

9 A. Yes.

Q. And you arrived at approximately 6:10?

11

12 Now, you had told me, and you can tell 13 this jury whatever your recollection is, that this 14 person that you saw the CPR being conducted on was

a female? 15

A. Yes. 16

Q. And there was a two-man EMT crew 17 conducting CPR? 18

19 A. That's what I thought. Or two people 20 helping her.

Q. Okay. And -- you know -- in CPR or

22 CCR -- are you familiar with the term CCR?

23 A. No.

Q. It's kind of a fancy version of CPR. All

24 25 right? So folks in Verde Valley now use that. Q. At about a hundred compressions per

2 minute. 3 A. I guess.

Q. Okay. Now, do you know that the -- the 4

EMT who worked on the woman who was down back 5

there -- his name was Dustin Chambliss. 6

Did you know that?

8 Α. No, I didn't.

Q. Do you know Dustin?

A. No, I don't. 10

Q. Big, tall guy, nice guy. Never met him? 11

Well, maybe. Don't know him.

Q. All right. And he -- he wrote a report, 13 which is in evidence. 14

If I could have Exhibit 374 up.

Have you seen these kinds of reports 16

before here? 17

A. No.

Q. Not this particular one, but have you 19 seen a -- sort of a Verde Valley Fire District EMT 20 21 report before?

A. Yes.

Q. Okay. That's all I'm asking. 23

And I'm going to just walk it up here because it's probably a little easier to see.

```
1
              You know, usually the guys who are the
2
   EMT guys -- they sign it. You see his name, Dustin
3
   Chambliss?
4
```

A. Yes.

5

6

7

8

11

12

13

21

25

1

2

5

12

15

18

21

that.

Q. Right? And here he is. He's working on a patient who is unresponsive, pulseless -pulse -- pulseless, and apneic. Do you see that?

A. Yes.

9 MR. LI: And, Ms. Do, it's -- it's just right 10 in the middle. Actually -- well, that's fine too.

And so the person was -- the patient was unresponsive. CPR was in progress. All of that? Do you see that?

14 Α. Yes.

15 Q. Okay. So you - you don't have any 16 reason to dispute that this report of the CPR that was conducted is accurate and that Dustin 17 Chambliss, who testified here -- you know -- gave 18 the jury accurate information about what happened? 20 A. Yeah. I don't have any disputes with

22 Q. Okay. And this patient was -- I'm going 23 to just mark right here. She was on an AutoPulse. 24

Do you see that?

Α. Yes.

Okay. So now, you arrived on scene at 6:10, 1810; correct?

3 Α. Yes.

In military time, 1810; right? 4 Q.

Α.

6 Q. And I'm going to show you this report 7 again. The -- the EMTs also use military time too;

8 right?

9 A. Yes.

10 Q. So they were dispatched at 1721?

Α. 11

> Q. And they were en route at 1722?

A. 13 Yes.

14 Q. Arrived on scene at 1740?

> A. Yes.

16 Okay. And then they left for the

17 hospital at 1756. See that?

A. Yes.

19 Okay. And then they arrived at the

hospital at 1810. See that? 20

A. Yes.

22 So they were actually at the hospital 23 with this patient, this female patient they were 24 conducting CPR on, at 1810.

25 Α. Yes. 1 Okay. And you don't have any reason to

2 dispute Dustin Chambliss' records, do you?

> Α. No.

3

7

8

10

18

21

25

2

12

20

21

326

4 All right. You say you arrived on scene Q.

5 at about -- at the scene of the -- of the accident

6 at 1810 yourself; correct?

> A. Yes.

So when you were arriving at the accident Q.

9 scene, they were already at the hospital?

A. Yes.

Q. According to this record; correct? 11

12 A. Yes.

13 Q. So is it possible that you're

misrecollecting -- and it's not a big deal. This 14

is, like, a year and a half ago. 15

But is it possible that you're 16

misrecollecting this CPR incident? 17

No. Somebody else was getting worked on.

Okay. And your testimony is that someone Q. 19

20 else is getting worked on -- was getting worked on?

> Α. Yes.

Q. 22 And if I represent to you that there's no

record of anybody else getting worked on except for 23

24 Ms. Brown and --

MS. POLK: Your Honor, objection. That

1 misstates the evidence.

MR. LI: I'm not done with the question.

3 THE COURT: Go ahead and finish the question.

Q. BY MR. LI: If I represent to you, sir, 4

that there is no record of anybody else getting 5

worked on except Ms. Brown and one other male 6

7 patient, would you have any reason to dispute that?

8 MS. POLK: Your Honor, same objection.

9 THE COURT: It's probably worked on?

10 Q. BY MR. LI: I'm sorry. CPR. Having CPR 11 conducted on them.

THE COURT: You may answer that.

THE WITNESS: Can you restate the question? 13

Q. BY MR. LI: Sure. If I represent to you 14

that there is no other record of anybody else 15

16 receiving CPR at Angel Valley other than Ms. Brown,

17 which is the report I just showed you, and a male

who received CPR, would you have any reason to 18

19 dispute that?

> A. No.

MR. LI: If I could have Exhibit 379 up.

22 Q. Now, this is another Verde Valley Fire

23 District report. And this is where -- if I can --

if I represent this to you as this is James Shore's 24

report from the EMT -- okay?

2

8

18

22

1

11

17

20

21

24

25

- A. Okay.
- 2 **Q.** Are you with me?
- 3 And so this is a guy named Greg
- 4 Vanderhaar?

1

5

14

17

21

24

2

- A. Yes.
- Q. And he came -- do you know him? 6
- 7 A. No.
- 8 Q. Another good guy. He came in here and
- testified about the CPR he did on Mr. Shore. 9
- A. Okay. 10
- 11 Q. Okay? And Mr. Shore was in cardiac
- 12 arrest and pulseless and not breathing. Do you
- have any reason to dispute that? 13
 - A. No.
- 15 Q. Okay. And those are the circumstances
- under which you're supposed to conduct CPR; right? 16
 - A. Yes.
- 18 Q. So bystander CPR was in progress, and 19 they hooked up the AutoPulse, and there was no
- 20 pulse. He was unconscious and unresponsive.
 - Sorry. You can -- see there, unconscious
- 22 and unresponsive.
- A. Yes. 23
 - Q. And he was in cardiac arrest,
- pulseless -- pulseless and apneic.
- 330

- 1 A. Okay.
 - **Q.** Okay? And then you -- he's in cardiac
- arrest, he has no pulse, and he's not breathing. 3
- A. Yes. 4
- 5 **Q.** And those are the circumstances under
- which you do CPR? 6
- 7 A. Yes.
- Q. All right. Now, Mr. Vanderhaar also uses 8
- military time to describe his activity. 9
- 10 And if we could just blow up the times.
- 11 You agree with me he was dispatched at
- 1719? 12
- A. Yes. 13
- Q. He was en route 1722? 14
- 15 Α. Yes.
- Q. He was on scene 1740? 16
- A. Yes. 17
- **Q.** And he left for the hospital at 1803? 18
- A. Yes. 19
- 20 **Q.** So is it fair to say that he left for the
- 21 hospital, had the guy loaded up in -- in the
- ambulance and was already on the way to the 22
- hospital about the time you were arriving? 23
- 24 A. Yes.
- And he might have actually been one of 25

- the ambulances you saw going by you --
- A. Yes.
- Q. -- in the other direction? 3
- Okay. Now, just so we're clear, if I 4
- 5 represent to you that these two folks are the only
- people for whom there are records that show CPR --6
- 7 MS. POLK: Your Honor --
 - MR. LI: May I finish?
- THE COURT: Yes. 9
- 10 Q. BY MR. LI: -- would you have any reason
- 11 to dispute that?
- 12 THE COURT: Ms. Polk.
- MS. POLK: Your Honor, counsel would agree 13
- that those are records limited to what has been 14
- here at trial as opposed to records in general. 15
- THE COURT: I think that was the nature of the 16 17 auestion.
 - MR. LI: Yeah.
- 19 **Q.** I mean, of the medical records for any of
- the participants in this case, would you have any 20
- 21 reason to dispute that?
 - A. No.

A. No.

- Q. And, again, it's a year and a half ago. 23
- And is it possible that you're just misremembering
- 25 that somebody was getting CPR?
 - 332
- THE COURT: It's getting close, Mr. Li. You 2
- want to stop now, we can do that. 3
- 4 MR. LI: Well, I'll take the three minutes
- just to be -- just so we can be as efficient as 5
- 6 possible.
- 7 THE COURT: All right.
- Q. BY MR. LI: And you had discussed with 8
- Ms. Polk on direct the use of various sort of a 9
- HazMat device, a sniffer device? 10
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Right? 12
- Now, that's -- you're -- you're a first 13
- 14 responder; right? I mean, you're one of the people
- 15 who actually shows up to a scene when something is
- going on; correct? 16
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And you -- you work at -- you work in the 18
- drug detail? 19
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Okay. And unfortunately one of the real
- big problems there are throughout the United States 22
- is meth labs; correct? Methamphetamine labs? 23
 - A. Yes.
 - And you've worked a lot of meth labs

2

6

9

13

15

16

20

1 in --

5

2 A. A couple.

- 3 **Q.** Is meth a big problem in Yavapai County?
- A. Not as much as it used to be.
 - Q. Okay. So that's good. But one of the
- things you see is that there's a lot of toxic
- 7 chemicals that are being stirred up in these meth
- labs; correct?
- A. Yes. 9
- Q. And some of them are extremely 10
- explosive --11
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. -- correct?

14 And so what you use the sniffer device

- 15 for is you -- you poke it in through the door to
- make sure that when you go in there you don't
- 17 breathe in some toxic fume or it doesn't blow up on
- 18 you --
- A. Yes. 19
- 20 Q. -- correct?
- 21 And now, these -- these devices, they
- 22 don't -- they don't -- they're not forensic
- 23 devices. They're not -- it's not the same as
- 24 sending something to the lab, is it?
- 25 A. No.

334

- **Q.** It's just a am I going to be in danger or 1
- am I not going to be in danger; correct? 2
- 3 A. Correct.
- Q. And, in fact, the -- the Verde Valley 4
- Fire Department uses the Orion Multigas Detector; 5
- 6 correct?
- 7 A. Yes.
- Q. That's what you saw them use; correct? 8
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 **Q.** And you've probably used them yourself?
- A. No. 11
- 12 Q. Okay. Well, you know, though, that the
- Orion Multigas Detector only checks for about four 13
- gases? 14

25

- 15 A. Yes.
- Q. And those gases are sulfur dioxide? 16
- 17 A. Yes.
- Q. Oxygen? 18
- A. Yes. 19
- Q. Carbon monoxide? 20
- A. Yes. 21
- 22 Q. And then what's called "LEL." But it's
- 23 basically, is it going to explode?
- 24 A. Yeah. Flammable vapors.
 - Flammable vapors; right?

- It doesn't test for say, methamphetamine?
 - Α.
- 3 **Q.** If you wanted to test methamphetamine, you would take the powder, stick it in an evidence
- 5 bag; correct?
 - A. Yes.
- 7 Q. You'd get a sample from a criminalist;
- 8 correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And then they would send it to DPS or 10
- somebody to test it for the presence of 11
- methamphetamine; correct? 12
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Where do you send it? 14
 - A. To DPS.
 - Q. DPS. Okay.
- And also you know these -- these Orion 17
- Multigas Detectors -- they don't test for -- they 18
- don't check for organophosphates; right? 19
 - A. That's correct.
- 21 Q. And I mean, I don't know if you've been
- 22 in the military. But the folks who actually have
- the devices that check for organophosphates, those
- are, essentially, military -- you know --24
- special -- specialized tools to actually -- you 25
- 336
- know -- stick it in to search for organophosphates; 1
- 2 correct?

3

6

- A. Yes.
- 4 **Q.** Because of terrorism and those sorts of
- 5 thinas: riaht?
 - A. Yes.
- 7 **Q.** Now, it also is used at shipping yards
- and what have you to test for pesticides. Did you 8
- know that? 9
 - A. No.
- 11 Q. Okay. Well, when a container ship comes
- in from, say, Costa Rica filled with bananas, you 12
- don't want to open it up and have the workers suck 13
- down a bunch of insecticides, do you? 14
- A. No. 15
- Q. And so what -- what they do -- do you 16
- 17 know that what they do is they stick in a draeger
- 18 tube and -- and check and see if there's any
- organophosphates? Do you know that? 19
- 20 A. I do now.
- 21 Q. Okay. That's not a tool you have,
- though; correct? 22
- 23 Α. No.
- Q. And that's not a tool that was being 24
- deployed that night; correct?

A. That's correct.

Q. And all that was being checked for is oxygen, carbon monoxide, sulfur dioxide, and explosive gases?

A. Yes.

1

2

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

19

20

21

24

25

5

7

8

9

10

11

Q. Not organophosphates?

A. That's correct.

MR. LI: Your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Li.

Ladies and gentlemen, we will take the evening recess at this time. Please remember the admonition. Follow that, of course. And reassemble usual time at 9:15.

14 And you are excused at this time too. 15 Sergeant. The rule of exclusion of witnesses has 16 been invoked, and I'm sure you under -- know what 17 that means.

18 THE WITNESS: Yes.

> THE COURT: You can't communicate or discuss the case with any other witness until the matter is over -- the trial is over. You can talk to the lawyers as long as other witnesses aren't present.

22

23 There's a little reminder.

So you are excused at this time.

I'm going to ask the parties to remain a

338

moment. 1

2 Thank you.

3 (Proceedings continued outside presence

of jury.) 4

> THE COURT: I'm sorry to hold you. I'm going to start the discussion anyway. It doesn't have to do with evidence.

I'm asking Heidi to bring Juror No. 10 in for a brief discussion. And Heidi had the concern about being on camera. But he won't be. I mean, we'll have the discussion, but obviously the -- the 12 usual rule that there won't be any showing of the 13 juror.

14 And I -- just to -- to let people know 15 what I'm going to say. I covered this but I

16 thought about it some more. Just to let him know

17 that we have been considering this, that it's

important to everybody, that the trial may or may 18

19 not be completed by those dates, June 16, 17.

20 And if this trial is still proceeding at 21 that time, he can make requests. I may -- you

22 know -- I'll consider what I'm going to do with

regard to either continuing it or -- you know --

24 just whatever request might be if it's not. That's

going to be something that will be decided at that 85 of 86 sheets Page 337 to 340 of 344

1 point.

11

14

15

16

17

18

21

22

23

24

15

18

24

2 And what I want to know is because I can't be any more certain whether that's going to be a distracting factor for him.

5 Okay. And it'll be recorded, but the --6 Dennis is well aware not to -- to have anybody 7 shown. So before -- just give us about -- well, iust wait here. 8

9 He can't hear; right?

10 THE BAILIFF: I need to make sure of that.

THE COURT: Okay.

12 MR. LI: Your Honor, regarding the camera, 13 could we just not have the camera for this?

THE COURT: I don't --

MR. LI: Does the state object? I don't -- I don't know if this is something the public has to know about. It seems unnecessary to have the cameras.

19 THE COURT: Well, I -- it's just going to be a 20 pretty basic process here. So let's do that.

Now, that I've just finished a little recitation, did anybody have anything to add to that?

Ms. Polk.

25 MS. POLK: Your Honor, I -- I believe I

340

understand what you said. Are you going to make it

2 clear to him that he is not necessarily going to

have to miss his trip? 3

THE COURT: I -- not necessary -- that's the 4 way to put it. Not necessarily. Not necessarily. 5

6 But I'm not going to be able to make that decision

7 unless that comes up. It may not come up.

8 MS. POLK: May I have a moment, Your Honor?

9 THE COURT: Yes.

10 Mr. Li, anything to add?

MR. LI: No, Your Honor. 11

12 THE COURT: Okay.

13 MS. POLK: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. 14

(Juror No. 10 enters the courtroom.)

THE COURT: Thank you, sir. If you could just 16

17 have a seat right there.

JUROR NO. 10: Sure.

THE COURT: First of all, I want you to know 19

20 that -- that I have received that -- the note

and -- and the parties have seen that. I'm not 21

22 ignoring you in any way. It's important to all of

23 us. And -- and it's -- it's being considered.

I have to tell you right now, I can't

give you all the information I think you'd like to 25

```
343
                                                    341
    have at this time. I'm pretty sure you told us at
                                                             1
                                                                      MS. POLK: No, Your Honor.
 2
    the very start, right during the voir dire, you had
                                                             2
                                                                      THE COURT: Mr. Li?
    these plans. So this is not something that's new.
                                                             3
                                                                      MR. LI: No, Your Honor.
    It's something that we've all known.
                                                                      THE COURT: Okay. We'll be in recess. Unless
 5
              And the -- I'll tell you this: The trial
                                                                there's a legal matter, I want to start as close to
    may be completed by June 16 and 17. I think those
                                                                 9:15 as possible. If there is something that comes
 7
    are the two days you're concerned with. Correct?
                                                             7
                                                                 up, please let me know so we can do that without
 8
         JUROR NO. 10: Right.
                                                                 delaying the trial.
                                                             8
 9
         THE COURT: Is that a Thursday and Friday?
                                                             9
                                                                          Thank you.
10
         JUROR NO. 10: If you'll allow me, I don't
                                                            10
                                                                          (The proceedings concluded.)
11
    need an answer. I just -- I'm committed to the
                                                            11
12
    trial. And, I mean, that -- in fact, I've -- I've
                                                            12
13
    even scheduled an operation, a minor operation, to
                                                            13
    be done during our -- our week off -- you know --
14
                                                            14
15
    just so there's no conflict.
                                                            15
16
              And I'm flexible on that. It would be
                                                            16
    great if I could attend that. But if I can't, I'm
17
                                                            17
    committed to the trial. I just want you to know
18
                                                            18
19
    that.
                                                            19
20
         THE COURT: Okay. And I -- we all appreciate
                                                            20
    that. I'll just say, I don't -- I don't know. If
21
                                                            21
    we get to the 16th and 17th, the situation is what
                                                            22
23
    it is, there can be -- you can make a request, and
                                                            23
24
    we can deal with it then.
                                                            24
25
              But I need to know this: I want to make
                                                            25
                                                    342
                                                                                                                  344
```

```
sure that you would not be distracted and
 2
    preoccupied because there's this element of
 3
    uncertainty. That's what I need to know.
 4
              Are you -- is the fact that you've had
   this long and -- this trip planned for -- for some
 5
    time and it's an important occasion for the
   family -- is that going to distract you so that you
7
    would not be able to focus on the evidence here in
9
    court?
10
         JUROR NO. 10: No.
11
         THE COURT: Okay.
12
         JUROR NO. 10: Worst case scenario is I leave
13
    after 4:00 on Friday and go up there and only have
    a day and a half with them. That's -- or two days.
14
15
    That's the worst case scenario. So --
16
         THE COURT: Okay.
17
         JUROR NO. 10: No. That would not be an
18
    issue.
19
         THE COURT: Thank you, sir. You are excused.
20
              (Juror No. 10 leaves the courtroom.)
21
         THE COURT: Please be seated.
22
         MR. LI: That answers that.
23
         THE COURT: Is there anything else you want to
```

25

take up this evening?

Ms. Polk?

```
STATE OF ARIZONA
                                  REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
     COUNTY OF YAVAPAI
               I. Mina G. Hunt. do hereby certify that I
     am a Certified Reporter within the State of Arizona
     and Certified Shorthand Reporter in California.
               I further certify that these proceedings
     were taken in shorthand by me at the time and place
     herein set forth, and were thereafter reduced to
     typewritten form, and that the foregoing
11
     constitutes a true and correct transcript.
               I further certify that I am not related
12
     to, employed by, nor of counsel for any of the
     parties or attorneys herein, nor otherwise
14
15
     interested in the result of the within action
               In witness whereof, I have affixed my
16
     signature this 23rd day of May, 2011.
17
18
19
21
22
23
                 MINA G HUNT, AZ CR No 50619
CA CSR No. 8335
24
25
```

1	STATE OF ARIZONA)
2) ss: REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE COUNTY OF YAVAPAI)
3	
4	I, Mina G. Hunt, do hereby certify that I
5	am a Certified Reporter within the State of Arizona
6	and Certified Shorthand Reporter in California.
7	I further certify that these proceedings
8	were taken in shorthand by me at the time and place
9	herein set forth, and were thereafter reduced to
10	typewritten form, and that the foregoing
11	constitutes a true and correct transcript.
12	I further certify that I am not related
13	to, employed by, nor of counsel for any of the
14	parties or attorneys herein, nor otherwise
15	interested in the result of the within action.
16	In witness whereof, I have affixed my
17	signature this 23rd day of May, 2011.
18	
19	
20	
21	ţ
22	
23	MINA G. HUNT, AZ CR NO. 50619
24	MINA G. HUNT, AZ CR ÑO. 50619 CA CSR NO. 8335
25	